

CITY OF HOLLISTER

HOUSING ELEMENT OF THE GENERAL PLAN



Final Housing Element, April, 2016

CITY OF HOLLISTER
HOUSING ELEMENT 2015-2023
OF THE GENERAL PLAN
TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF CHARTS	v
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	v
NOTE TO THE READER ABOUT HCD STREAMLINED REVIEW.....	1
SECTION 1.0 - INTRODUCTION TO THE HOUSING ELEMENT	2
1.1 - Purpose.....	2
1.2 - Organization	3
1.3 - Relationship to Other Elements	3
1.4 - Citizen Participation.....	4
1.5 - Review of the Previous Element.....	13
1.5a. Effectiveness	13
1.5b. Progress of Hollister’s Housing Program	15
1.5c. Appropriateness of Goals, Objectives, and Policies.....	49
1.6 – Community Profile.....	50
SECTION 2.0 – EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA	54
2.1 - Summary of Existing Conditions.....	54
2.1.a. Population Trends.....	54
2.1.b. Employment Trends.....	58
2.1.c. Household Trends	60
2.1.d. Overpayment.....	66
2.1.e. Housing Units.....	70
2.1.f. Special Needs	70
2.1.f. (1) Elderly	71
2.1.f (2) Disabled Persons	76
2.1.f (3) Large Households.....	80
2.1.f (4) Farmworkers	82
2.1.f (5) Single-parent Households.....	84
2.1.f (6) Homeless Persons (Persons in Need of Emergency Shelter)	84
2.1.g Overcrowding.....	88

2.2a. - Inventory of Resources.....	92
2.2.a. Existing Housing Characteristics	92
2.2.b. Housing Conditions	92
2.2.c. Residential Construction Trends.....	94
2.2.d. Vacancy Trends.....	95
2.2.d (1) Multifamily Vacancy.....	96
2.2.d (2) Single family Vacancy.....	96
2.2.d (3) Foreclosure Vacancy	96
2.2.e. Housing Costs and Affordability	97
2.2.e (1) Single-family Sales Units	98
2.2.e (2) Current Single Family Listings	98
2.2.e (3) Rental Units	99
2.2.e (4) Affordability.....	99
2.2.f. At-Risk Housing	100
2.2.g. Inventory of At Risk Rental Housing Units.....	102
2.2.h. Cost Analysis	102
2.2.h (1) Rehabilitation	102
2.2.h (2) New Construction/Replacement	103
2.2.i. Preservation Resources.....	103
2.2.j. Successor Agency (Former Redevelopment Agency)	105
2.2.k. Residential Zoning and Density.....	106
2.2.k (1) Zoning.....	108
2.2.k (2) Density	114
2.2.l. Infrastructure Availability	117
2.2.l (1) Wastewater Service and Capacity.....	117
2.2.l (2) Water Service and Capacity	117
2.2b. - 2014-2023 Sites Inventory	120
2.2.a. Hollister RHNA (2014-2023)	120
2.2.b. RHNA Allocations and Sites Inventory	121
2.2.b (1) Income Group Goals.....	122
2.2.c. Residential Development Projects.....	122
2.2.d. Available sites from lands zoned for residential and mixed use in the City limits. Development Projects.....	124
2.2.e. Land Suitability	125
2.3 - Constraints, Efforts and Opportunities	141
2.3.a. Governmental Constraints.....	141
2.3.a (1) State and Federal Policy	141
2.3.a (2) Land Use Regulations.....	141
2.3.a (3) Local Entitlement Fees and Exactions.....	144
2.3.a (4) Processing and Permit Procedures	150
2.3.a (5) Growth Management.....	154
2.3.a (6) Building Codes and Code Enforcement	156
2.3.a (7) On- and Off-site Improvements.....	157
2.3.a (8) Wastewater Treatment.....	157
2.3.a (9) Off-Street Parking/Garages.....	158
2.3.a (10) Open Space.....	159
2.3.a (11) Persons with Disabilities.....	159
2.3.b. Non-governmental Constraints	160

2.3.b (1) Land and Construction Costs	160
2.3.b (2) Availability of Financing.....	162
2.3.b (3) Condominium Conversions	162
2.3.c. Constraint Removal Efforts.....	163
2.3.c (1) Traffic	163
2.3.c (2) Fee Reductions and Other Considerations.....	164
2.3.c (3) Wastewater Treatment Capacity	164
2.3.c (4) Density Bonus Programs	164
2.3.c (5) Growth Management Rating Scale	165
2.3.c (6) Mixed-Use Zoning.....	165
2.3.c (7) Second Units.....	166
2.3.c (8) Lot Consolidation Incentives	167
2.3.c (9) Infill Development.....	167
2.3.d. Opportunities for Energy Conservation	167
SECTION 3.0 - HOUSING NEEDS, ISSUES, AND TRENDS	169
3.1 - State Issues and Policies	169
3.2 - Trends	170
3.3 – Policy Goals.....	171
3.4 – Quantified Objectives	172
SECTION 4.0 - HOUSING PROGRAM	173
4.1 – Key Housing Strategies.....	173
4.2 – Housing Element Goals and Policies.....	174
4.3 – Implementation Measures	184
1 Year Time Frame (2010)	184
2 Year Time Frame (2011)	187
3 Year Time Frame (2012)	190
5 Year Time Frame (2014)	191
On-going Time Frame.....	192

ATTACHMENTS

APPENDICES

A – Public Meeting Summary Information
B – Data Sources
C – Potential Homeless Shelter Sites
D – List of Non-Profit Housing Agencies
E – Preservation Resources List of Non-Profit Housing Agencies
F – RHNA Sites Land Inventory and Map
G – Measure Y Exemption Area
H – Lot Consolidation Candidates
I – 2008, 09, and 10 Project Allocations
J – Reasonable Accommodation Policy and Resolution
K – Density Bonus Article II Hollister Municipal Code
L – Definition of Developmental Disability and List of Organizational Resources

CITY OF HOLLISTER HOUSING ELEMENT 2015-2023 OF THE GENERAL PLAN

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 -	City of Hollister 2000 through 2009 RHNA Achievement Levels.....	14
Table 2 -	2009-2014 City of Hollister Housing Element Progress in Meeting Objectives	15
Table 3 -	Housing Construction in Hollister	49
Table 4 -	Housing Demolitions in Hollister –2002-2009	50
Table 5 -	Population Trends –San Benito and Neighboring Counties.....	55
Table 6 -	Population Trends- Neighboring Cities and Communities	55
Table 7 -	Population Trends – City of Hollister.....	57
Table 8 -	Population by Age Trends – City of Hollister.....	57
Table 9 -	Population by Race and Ethnicity City of Hollister (2000).....	58
Table 10 -	Employment By Industry – City of Hollister	58
Table 11 -	Hollister Area Major Employers	59
Table 12 -	Employment by Commuting Patterns (1990-2000) County of San Benito.....	60
Table 13 -	Household Formation Trends	61
Table 14 -	Household Size Trends.....	62
Table 15 -	Tenure by Households.....	63
Table 16 -	Median Household Income Trends – Surrounding Counties	63
Table 17 -	Median Income by Census Tract and Block Group – City of Hollister.....	64
Table 18 -	Households by Income – City of Hollister	65
Table 19 -	Households by 2008 Income Categories – City of Hollister – 2008 AMI (4-Person Household): \$78,000.....	66
Table 20 -	City of Hollister Households Overpaying by Income and Tenure (2000)	67
Table 21 -	Housing Issues for all Households CHAS Data Book.....	68
Table 22 -	Owner/Renter Ratios by Housing Type (2000).....	70
Table 23 -	Senior Population Trends (65+).....	71
Table 24 -	Senior Household Trends (65+).....	73
Table 25 -	Senior Households by Income – City of Hollister	74
Table 26 -	Senior Households by Income Category, 2008 – 2008 AMI (2-Person Household): \$62,400.....	74
Table 27 -	Senior Households by Shelter Payment (2000)	75
Table 28 -	Seniors by Limitation Type (2000).....	75
Table 29 -	Disabled Persons by Employment Status (2000).....	79
Table 30 -	Households by Tenure by Bedroom Type (2000).....	81
Table 31 -	Household Size Distribution.....	82
Table 32 -	Farmworkers – City of Hollister	83

Table 33 – Household Type and Presence of Children 18 Years Old and Under – City of Hollister (2000)	84
Table 34 – Households by Poverty Level – City of Hollister (2000).....	84
Table 35 – Overcrowded Units by Tenure (2000)	89
Table 36 – Housing Units by Type – City of Hollister.....	92
Table 37 – Housing Conditions – City of Hollister	93
Table 38 – Building Permits by Year – City of Hollister.....	95
Table 39 – Income Groups by Affordability (2008)	97
Table 40 – Current Sales Listings for Single Family Homes.....	98
Table 41 – Current Sales Listings for Condominiums.....	99
Table 42 – Current Average Rents	99
Table 43 – Affordable Rental Rates	100
Table 44 – Affordable Housing Developments at the Risk of Conversion	102
Table 45 – Rehabilitation Costs	103
Table 46 – New Construction/Replacement Costs	103
Table 47 – Hollister Redevelopment Agency, Set-Aside Funding Historical and Projected	105
Table 48 – Residential Zoning, Useable Density, Off-Street Parking.....	115
Table 49 – Hollister Regional Allocation (2014-2023)	121
Table 50 – 2008, 2009, 2010 Growth Allocations.....	122
Table 51 – Hollister Surplus Sites for Future Residential Development	125
Table 52 – Actual Market Rents.....	127
Table 53 – TCAC Affordable Rents.....	127
Table 54 – RHNA Sites; Units Summary	138
Table 55 – Planning Fees	145
Table 56 – Development Fees for Single Family and Multifamily Dwellings	147
Table 57 – Planning Application Deposits – Surrounding Jurisdictions	148
Table 58 – Development Review and Processing Procedures/Timeframe.....	153

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 1– Annual Growth (2000-2008)	56
Chart 2– Senior Households by Tenure (1990-2000).....	73
Chart 3– Disabled Persons by Age (2000)	79
Chart 4– Large Family Comparison (2000).....	80
Chart 5 - Housing Units by Year Built – City of Hollister.....	93
Chart 6– Median Sales Price Trend – City of Hollister.....	98

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – LAFCO Approved Annexations.....	136
--	-----

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Region Location	51
Exhibit 2 - City of Hollister	52
Exhibit 3 - City of Hollister Redevelopment Area Map	53

Note to the Reader about HCD Streamlined Review

To provide a streamlined approach, both in the preparation of the updated element as well as in review by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), jurisdictions that adopted a housing element in the fourth cycle that HCD found in substantial compliance with State law may opt to use the Streamlined Update template (provided by HCD) to show where changes were made in the previously adopted housing element. The City of Hollister has opted to use the Streamlined Update template.

Use of the Streamlined Update does not relieve the jurisdiction of its obligation to address all statutory requirements of State housing element law, but rather provides a guide to updating the necessary portions of the housing element and facilitates State review of housing element submittals. For eligible jurisdictions, HCD review will rely upon the element in compliance in the prior planning period and will be limited to changes that have occurred since the prior planning period. HCD will not review areas that have not changed since their content continues to be sufficient to meet statutory requirements.

The Streamlined Update template option is applicable to the following areas only:

- Sites Inventory and Analysis
- Analysis of Governmental and Non-Governmental Constraints
- Housing Needs Assessment, including special needs groups (excluding the quantification and analysis of homeless individuals and families)
- Units At-Risk of Conversion to Market Rate
- General Plan Consistency

The Streamlined Update is not available for the following areas:

- Review and Revise (i.e., evaluation of the 2009 Housing Element policies and programs)
- Public Participation
- Programs and Quantified Objectives
- Any new statutory requirements since the prior update

For jurisdictions using the Streamlined Update template, the housing element must indicate where changes have been made. The yellow, green, and aqua blue highlighting throughout this Housing Element identifies new information and information that has been changed from the 2009 Housing Element. The highlighting is only used in sections of the Housing Element that are subject to streamlined review.

SECTION 1.0

INTRODUCTION TO THE HOUSING ELEMENT

Recognizing the importance of providing adequate housing in all communities, the State of California has mandated a Housing Element within every General Plan since 1969. Article 10.6, Section 65580 - 65589.8, Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code sets forth the legal requirements of the Housing Element and encourages the provision of affordable and decent housing in all communities to meet statewide goals. Specifically, Section 65580 states the element shall consist of "... *an identification and analysis of existing and projected housing needs and a statement of goals, policies, quantified objectives, financial resources and scheduled programs for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing.*" The element must also contain a five-year housing plan with quantified objectives for the implementation of the goals and objectives of the Housing Element. The contents of the element must be consistent with the other elements of the General Plan.

Meeting the housing needs established by the State of California is an important goal for the City of Hollister. As the population of the State continues to grow and scarce resources decline, it becomes more difficult for local agencies to create adequate housing opportunities while maintaining a high standard of living for all citizens in the community.

This Housing Element (2015-2023) was created in compliance with State General Plan law pertaining to Housing Elements and was reviewed by the Hollister Planning Commission on December 17, and by the Hollister City Council on December 21, 2015.

1.1 PURPOSE

The State of California has declared that "*the availability of housing is of vital statewide importance and the early attainment of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family is a priority of the highest order.*" In addition, government and the private sector should cooperate to provide a diversity of housing opportunity and accommodate regional housing needs. At the same time, housing policy must recognize economic, environmental and fiscal factors and community goals within the general plan.

Further, State Housing Element law requires "*An assessment of housing needs and an inventory of resources and constraints relevant to the meeting of these needs.*" The law requires:

- ❑ An analysis of population and employment trends
- ❑ An analysis of the City's fair share of the regional housing needs
- ❑ An analysis of household characteristics
- ❑ An inventory of suitable land for residential development

- ❑ An analysis of the governmental and non-governmental constraints on the improvement, maintenance and development of housing
- ❑ An analysis of special housing needs
- ❑ An analysis of opportunities for energy conservation
- ❑ An analysis of publicly-assisted housing developments that may convert to non-assisted housing developments

The purpose of these requirements is to develop an understanding of the existing and projected housing needs within the community and to set forth policies and schedules which promote preservation, improvement and development of diverse types and costs of housing throughout Hollister.

1.2 ORGANIZATION

Hollister's Housing Element is organized into four primary sections:

Review of the Previous Housing Element: This section includes an evaluation of the effectiveness and progress of the implementation of the 2009 Hollister Housing Element, as well as an examination of the appropriateness of housing goals.

Summary of Existing Conditions: This section includes current demographic information, an inventory of resources, housing cost and affordability, at-risk units, suitable land for development, and a section discussing constraints, efforts and opportunities.

Housing Needs, Issues/Trends: This section includes a discussion of State issues and policies, regional housing policies, Hollister's Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), and current housing issues.

Housing Program: This section identifies housing goals, policies and objectives. Funding sources are identified and schedules for implementation are set forth. In addition, a quantified objectives summary is provided.

1.3 RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER ELEMENTS

State Law requires that "...the general plan and elements and parts thereof comprise an integrated, internally consistent, and compatible statement of policies...." The purpose of requiring internal consistency is to avoid policy conflict and provide a clear policy guide for the future maintenance, improvement and development of housing within the City.

This Housing Element is part of a comprehensive Hollister General Plan. The plan was adopted in 2005. All elements of the Hollister General Plan have been reviewed for

consistency and the Housing Element was prepared to assure compatibility with the remaining elements. The City will annually review the housing element for consistency with the general plan as part of its general plan progress report.

1.4 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

In the past, the City of Hollister has made diligent efforts to solicit public participation pertaining to the development of the 2005-2023 General Plan, and subsequent revisions, specific plan developments, and development ordinances. These processes included workshops, public review and citizen meetings. Meetings are held at various times to ensure that all members of the community have access to the participation process.

Public participation for the current 2009-2014 Housing Element included several types of public outreach. The City of Hollister partnered with San Benito County for outreach efforts with social service organizations and community groups. City staff and San Benito County staff attended meetings between July and September of 2008 of organizations that serve special housing needs groups, provide jobs or represent community business interests. Commissioners and Council persons also served in a capacity as a liaison for the meetings. The City and County met with the following organizations on the following dates:

Date	Organization
July 21, 2008	Hollister Youth Alliance
July 23, 2008	Homeless Task Force
July 30, 2008	Community Action Network (CAN)
August 6, 2008	San Benito County Chamber of Commerce
August 7, 2008	One Stop Career Center Partner's Meeting
September 11, 2008	Community Action Board (CAB)
October 1, 2008	Jovenes de Antaño
October 23, 2008	Planning Commission public hearing to receive input on Housing Needs (bilingual public notice)
October 25, 2008	Joint City/County Housing Element Outreach for Special Needs Housing Workshop in the morning
November 3, 2008	City Council public hearing to receive input on Housing Needs (bilingual public notice)

In addition to the above outreach efforts, the City also participated as an invited guest at the Senior Lunch program at Hollister Community Center and provided bi-lingual translation.

Further, a bi-lingual rental housing survey was prepared to determine the specialized or underserved housing needs in the community; surveys were conducted at some

apartment complexes and were made available at the Building Departments, a local property rental company, the library, at the Community Food Bank distribution days and at the Health and Human Service Agencies.

The City of Hollister and San Benito County collaborated to host a housing needs workshop on October 25, 2009; bi-lingual translation was available. Decision-makers as well as representatives from a variety of interest groups attended. The workshop included a summary of issues identified from outreach efforts with community groups and social service organization. Input received from workshop participants was recorded and the suggested solutions were identified. A complete summary of the topics, issues and recommended solutions that came out of the workshop is contained in a table included as Appendix A. The City has made an effort to incorporate all feedback from the workshop and other sources into the Draft Housing Element.

All workshops were open to the public. As noted above, the City Council held a public hearing on November 3, 2008, to receive input on the Housing Element update. The City Council and Planning Commission held a joint study session on March 16, 2009 to review the draft element. Members of the community were invited to address concerns and give input on the contents of the Housing Element. Notices were posted in the local newspaper, at the City Hall, the community center, on the City's web site, the post office, at the public schools, and in the Hollister library. Copies of the draft will be made available in public facilities such as the City Hall, the library, the community center, the Development Services Department, and the chamber of commerce. Service providers and individuals representing all economic segments of the population will be notified of the availability of a draft for review.

The City has maintained an effort to involve the public throughout the housing element process by consistently and conscientiously reaching out to members of the public throughout the process (as indicated above). All potentially affected agencies will be contacted by direct mailing (at minimum) prior to subsequent hearings and meetings held by the City in reviewing and ultimately adopting the final housing element.

Public participation for the 2015-2023 Housing Element included several types of public outreach. The City Council and Planning Commission held a joint study session on April 13, 2015 to review a comprehensive housing approach which included future residential developments, multi-family housing, affordable housing programs including tax credits, housing fund and impact fees. Members of the community were invited to address concerns and give input. A complete summary of the topics, issues and recommended solutions that came out of the study session is contained in Appendix A. The Planning Commission held a duly noted public hearing on October 22, 2015 another on November 19, 2015, and another on December 17, 2015 to receive public input on the 2015 revision to the City of Hollister Housing Element and to review strategies to comply with the state requirement to make sites available for housing. The City Council and Planning Commission held a second joint study session on November

19, 2015 to review and make recommendations on the inventory of sites, policies and programs for the 2015 City of Hollister Housing Element. The City Council held another public hearing on December 21, 2015, to receive input on the Housing Element update. Notices were posted in the local newspaper, at the City Hall, the community center, on the City's web site, the post office, at the public schools, and in the Hollister library. Copies of the draft will be made available in public facilities such as the City Hall, the library, the community center, the Development Services Department, and the chamber of commerce. Service providers and individuals representing all economic segments of the population will be notified of the availability of a draft for review.

Invitation was provided to various members of the public including but not limited to:

Bill Avera, City Manager for the City of Hollister
Bob Randle, Community Media Access Partnership (CMAP)
Building Department, City of Hollister
Charlene Hannibal, Member of the public
Christina Lee, CMAP
David Huboi, Hollister Planning Commission Chairman
David Rubcic, City of Hollister Engineer
Debbie Fisher, San Benito High School teacher
Don Ridenhous, Sunnyslope County Water District
Free Lance, local newspaper
Harry Blohm, San Benito County Water District
Hollister Downtown Association
J Qlaurain, Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo Law Firm
Jack Bachofer, Hollister School District
Jan Janes, CMAP
Jason Noble, local realtor
Laura Ruggieri, Construction Company
Lorraine Hall, RJA engineering
Lynn Shippely, City of Hollister Engineering Department
PG&E
Pinnacle News, local newspaper
Pauline Valdivia, Executive Director of Jóvenes de Antaño Senior Services
Raymond Friend, City of Hollister Council Member
San Benito County Planning Department
Talli Robinson, Senior Planner with Benchmark Communities
Al Guerra, local business owner
Barbara Mauro, San Benito County Water District
Becca King, CMAP
Brad Sullivan, City Attorney for City of Hollister
Brenda Weatherly, Hollister Downtown Association
Carol Lenoir, Hollister Planning Commission Vice-Chair
Cecilia Rios, Public
Cecilia Rodriguez, City of Hollister Finance Department
Charlie Bedolla, Public

Cheryl Mullen, City of Hollister employee
Christine Hulley, Public
Clay Lee, former City of Hollister employee
Danny Hillstock, City of Hollister Utilities Department
David Rubcic, City of Hollister Engineer
David Westrick, City of Hollister Chief of Police
Delia Ramon, City of Hollister Fire Department
Denise Thome, San Benito County Clerk of the Board
Desiree Martinez, City of Hollister Code Enforcement
Diana Hillstock, City of Hollister Human Resources Department
Donna Dawson, Revell Communications
Elaine M. Cass, Former City of Hollister Attorney
Eva Foster, City of Hollister Police Department
Gabriel Torres, CHISPA Inc., and Hollister Planning Commissioner
Geri Johnson, former City of Hollister City Clerk
Gordon Machado, Public
Heidi Quinn, Public
Hydie McDonald, City of Hollister Public Works Department
Inez Romero, Public
Janet Laurain, Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo Law Firm
Jason Noble, local realtor
Jill Morales, City of Hollister Development Services Assistant Planner
Jocelyn Jackson, SCS Development
Joe Paul Gonzalez, San Benito County Recorder/ Auditor
John Parrinello, Public
Josh Young, CMAP
Juli Vieira, San Benito County Chamber of Commerce
Kathina, local business owner of San Benito Bene
Kathy Postigo, San Benito County Council of Governments
Keith Snow, Hollister resident
Kurt Wiegand, Public
Lorraine Hall, RJA engineering
Lori Marra, City of Hollister employee
Luis Aguilar, City of Hollister Engineer
Lynn Shippley, City of Hollister Engineering Department
Maria Alfaro, San Benito County Courts
Maria Mendez, City of Hollister Development Services Department
Mark Paxton, Hollister resident
Mark Weirick, Public
Marty Richman, Hollister resident
Mary Paxton, City of Hollister Successor Agency Program Manager
Matt Kelley, Kelley Engineering
Mercedes Burglund,
MH Engineering
Michael Cady, Benchmark Communities

Michelle Scharton, City of Hollister Finance Department
Mike Chambless, City of Hollister Airport and Public Works Director
Mike Oliver, Municipal Resource Group, LLC
Mitch Huerta, San Benito High School
Monica Marshall, Public
Pam Kimes, Public
Paul Rovella, Lombardo & Gilles Law Firm (City of Hollister Attorney)
Peggy Hone, City of Hollister Finance Department
Phil Rossi, City of Hollister Fire Department Firefighter
Ray Pierce, local realtor
Renee Perales, City of Hollister Successor Agency Projects Manager
Reyna Lubner, Revell Communications
Rob Oneto, RJA engineering
Robert Bernosky,
Robin Pollard, Public
Ross Doyle, RJA engineering
Reed Sanders, Senator Anthony Canella's Office
Scott Fuller, General Manager San Juan Oaks Golf Course
Shari Stevenson, County of Monterey
Steve Loos, Public
Steve Machida, Public
Steve Scott, Public
Talli Robinson, Senior Planner with Benchmark Communities
Theresa Borina, Intero Real Estate
Toni Warner, City of Hollister Airport
Valerie Smith, Public
Victor Arreola, Hollister Sheet Metal
Vince Grewohl, City of Hollister Fire Department Firefighter
Wayne Thomas, Public
Wendy Sarsfield, PG&E

The following comments were provided during the April 13, 2015 joint city council/planning commission study session:

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.
2. Jennifer Coile, Hollister, CA, requested update for housing element.
3. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, commented on zoning and encouraged stepping back and take more time to look at projects.
4. Ray Pierce, Hollister, CA, San Benito County is looking at a plan to interconnect subdivisions through parks via linear pathways. Parks are important to keep our youth healthy.
5. Council Member Klauer stated that the projects we are developing are not affordable for Hollister residents due to the median income and only those who live outside of Hollister can afford housing here. A mix of allocations should be provided, and affordable housing seems to not be built.
6. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, developers build to make a profit; encouraged

looking at ways to fund affordable housing; stated most pay 40% of their income on housing.

(a) Multi-family Housing

7. Tom Nino, Hollister, CA, developers find it hard to finance multifamily units over 4 units due to the Government not providing standard loans for those projects. Rents had been stagnant; and have gone up in the past few years. Developers will build on smaller lots, which would mean reduction in water use, yet, still provide options for variety.
8. Michael Cady, Benchmark Communities, most want a single family homes, and there are financial issues with affordable housing. Density is based on the community. When talking density, think of the growth. Developers build to make a profit.
9. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, stated that median income here is \$64,000, in the Bay Area it is \$144,000. No matter where affordable housing is, it is under scrutiny due to owners in the area worried about the value of their homes. If affordable homes were built, they would sell.
10. JB Howard, Hollister, CA, commented that some people come here to live in the country and the market will be what it will be.

Affordable Housing Programs, including Tax Credits

11. Seth Capron, South County Housing- suggested we look at self-help homes, work on grants to help. Self-help homes changes lives and makes it possible for low income families to have a decent place to live. Suggested establishing conditions that owners must stay in the homes and not move away for a generation. The market drives the area for low income housing.
12. Planning Commissioner Alvarez - encouraged affordable housing throughout the city, instead of having it concentrated in an area.
13. Council Member Luna stated many residents seek affordable housing outside of Hollister; additional affordable housing is needed.

(b) Housing Fund

14. Planning Commissioner Torres spoke on the Housing Trust Fund.

(c) Impact Fees

15. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA thanked the City Council and Planning Commissioner for this meeting.
16. Planning Commissioner Huboi encouraged mixed use housing.

17. Planning Commissioner Lenoir also thanked the City Council for this meeting.
18. Planning Commissioner Harvey recommended embracing tourism to help with funding for projects. The City is on the right path and we need to continue.

The following comments were provided during the November 19, 2015 joint city council/planning commission meeting:

1. Discussion on Housing Element-2015 Revision:

The Council and Planning Commission discussed strategies for the 2015 Revision to the City of Hollister Housing Element to comply with the State of California requirement to make sites available for housing. This discussion included but was not limited to:

- a. Planner Prado stated that the Department of Housing and Community Development determined San Benito Council of Governments (COG) regional housing need to be 2, 194 for the projection period to December 31, 2023. Of these, 1,316 have been allocated to the City of Hollister. In updating our housing elements local governments may only take Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA).
- b. Planner Prado -provided a brief overview of the RHNA numbers for the City of Hollister and provided a review of housing inventory. Staff requested direction on whether to maintain or revise exiting housing goals, policies and programs for the preservation, improvement and development of Housing. There is a need for an Annexation program, and we fall short on low and very low income housing. We meet the requirements for moderate or median income. There is a need to plan in our policies an implementation measure to be sure that the low, and very low income housing needs are met.
- c. Assistant Planner Morales presented the existing Housing Element Goals, Policies and Programs, and Implementation Measures. The former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) was the lead agency for various implementation measures. Staff will be reviewing these policies and implementation measures and removing those that the former RDA monitored and was responsible for and keep those that the Successor Agency continues to operate. Jurisdictions like the City of Hollister that have adopted a housing element that HCD found in substantial compliance with State law may opt to use the streamlined update template to show where changes were made in the previously adopted housing element. Use of the streamlined update does not relieve the jurisdiction of its obligation to address all statutory requirements of State Housing element law, but rather provides a guide to updating the necessary portions. To be eligible to use the streamlined updates, we have several requirements:

1. A housing element for the previous planning period was adopted and found to be in compliance with state housing element law by the State Housing and Community Development Department.
 2. A complete update housing element is submitted showing all changes. Changes can be shown through a variety of mechanisms such as a strikeout, underline, redline, highlighting or other designation.
 3. Make necessary revisions to the housing element. If it is determined no changes are necessary to a specific section, the streamlined template must indicate such.
- d. Planning Commissioner Torres – stated that the Department of Housing and Community Development listed incomes and those are as follows: Extremely Low \$24,350, Very Low \$40,550, Low \$64,400, Median \$81,100, and Moderate \$97,300. Governor Brown has vetoed a number of bills with the housing industry; we may contact Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA) and see if they can help.
- e. Planner Prado stated the timeline must be submitted by the end of 2015, leaving us less than 30 days to focus on these revisions.
- f. Councilmember Klauer stated nothing will change unless we implement procedures by the end of 2015; we must find a way to make market rate or put in an inclusionary zoning ordinance.
- g. Planning Chair Huboi – stated we need to look at ways to encourage sweat equity and self-help programs.
- h. Planning Commissioner Torres stated most families are very low or extremely low income and self-help programs require soft money or secondary monies which were lost with RDA, making it difficult for CHISPA and developers. The City does not have that money and organizations that had funds are drying up. We need to implement permanent sources of financing to implement affordable housing, send letters requesting support to the State and use tax credits.
- i. Planning Chair Huboi suggested that we identify areas that need further discussion, inclusionary zoning, putting affordable units downtown, and tier impact fees, have workshops on how we can receive tax credits.
- j. Councilmember Klauer stated it is literally pennies difference on impact fees from multifamily to single family which is why you don't see apartments.
- k. City Attorney Sullivan stated there are many issues with parking downtown, which would need to be addressed.

- l. Councilmember Luna stated there are different areas where it is exempt due to faults, so we have to be careful of where we place buildings.
- m. Planner Prado stated the City has received clearance on a big portion downtown.
- n. Councilmember Klauer was for the idea of looking into mixed use on the buildings downtown, and to look into enforcing secondary units and asking for those to be included in current projects.
- o. Planning Commissioner Torres suggested looking at self-help housing, other jurisdictions, the City of Gilroy, and what they are doing and if we are able to implement what is working for them; use the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural designation that allows for a number of funding sources, the exemption sunsets in 2017; we must maintain that exemption.
- p. Planner Prado stated the need to show HCD that the City is having these discussions and hard policies do not need to be adopted, but, we must show we are discussing and working on our goals and policies and inclusionary zoning for implementation in the time frame from 2015 to 2023; suggested another meeting before December 31, 2015.
- q. Discussion only; no action taken by the City Council or the Planning Commission.

The following comments were provided during the December 21, 2015 city Council meeting:

- a. Associate Planner Abraham Prado and Assistant Planner Jill Morales presented the staff report requesting the city council to adopt a resolution directing staff to submit the draft 2015 - 2023 housing element to the California Department of Housing and Community Development for review and comment.
- b. Keith Snow, member of the public spoke on this item, asking the City to create more reserves.
- c. Mike Smith, member of the public spoke in support of this item.
- d. David Marquez, member of the public spoke in support of this item.

The City of Hollister appreciates the time and effort from the public in the participation process of the update of the housing element. All comments have been noted and in response implementation measures have been prepared and incorporated as part of this housing element along with a time frame in beginning in Section 4.4 page 3.182 of this document. Staff continues to consider all comments received by the public from all sources; any specific concerns related to development standards or any other City policy

or approval process have been and will continue to be thoroughly considered by staff in assembling the final draft of the housing element. Where appropriate, comments have been incorporated into the document. As this is a living document, the City will remain open to dialogue with all members of the public, as well as public and private agencies, after the document is adopted by the City Council and certified by the State of California.

1.5 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS ELEMENT

State law requires the City of Hollister to review its Housing Element in order to evaluate:

- a. "The effectiveness of the previous Housing Element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives." The effectiveness of the Housing Element should be quantified where possible and qualitative where necessary.
- b. "The progress of the City and/or County, in implementation of the Housing Element." An analysis should be performed to determine where the previous Housing Element met, exceeded, or fell short of what was anticipated.
- c. "The appropriateness of the housing goals, objectives and policies in contributing to the attainment of the state housing goal." Describe how goals, objectives, and policies are being changed or adjusted as necessary.

The remainder of this section fulfills this State requirement.

1.5.a. EFFECTIVENESS OF PREVIOUS ELEMENT

The State's housing goal is met by an assignment of gross allocations of housing unit goals to regional governments, which in turn allocate the housing unit goals to counties and cities. The document produced by regional governments that allocates housing unit goals is referred to as the "Regional Housing Needs Assessment" (RHNA). The last funded RHNA for San Benito County was prepared in 2009 from the Council of San Benito County of Governments, which covered the period 2009 to 2014. The 2015-2023 RHNA is discussed in Section 2.2-New Construction Needs.

The effectiveness of the City of Hollister's Housing Programs in meeting regional housing needs can be measured by the level of achievement in constructing new housing units. Many uncontrollable factors influenced the City's effectiveness including the extraordinary uncertainty regarding national, state, and local economies and housing markets, abnormal vacancies and unique market conditions due to prolonged recessionary conditions, high unemployment, and unprecedented foreclosures. In addition, the State of

California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012. The elimination of the RDA eliminated RDA funding to possible affordable housing projects in the city. The city council approved Resolution No. 2012-06 in January of 2012, a resolution of the City of Hollister to express its intent to serve as the successor agency of the former RDA of the City of Hollister, pursuant to health and safety code section 34171(j) and section 34173, and to elect to retain the housing assets and functions previously performed by the RDA of the City of Hollister, pursuant to health and safety code section 34176. This Resolution establishes that the City of Hollister will serve as both the Successor Agency and the Successor Housing Agency to the former Hollister RDA.

TABLE 1
CITY OF HOLLISTER
2009 THROUGH 2014 RHNA ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS

City	2009-2014 RHNA Goal	2009-2014 Units Constructed	2009-2014 Units Approved but not yet constructed	Percent of Goal Achieved
Very Low Income	671	158	0	23.5%
Low Income	518	0	72	13.8%
Moderate Income	610	8	357	59.8%
Above Moderate Income	1,251	229	1,697	153.9%
Total	3,050	395	1,468	61.0%

Source: Council of San Benito County of Governments, Department of Finance, City of Hollister Residential Projects List, 2014 Annual General Plan Progress Report and Hollister Building Permits

While the City has worked to facilitate additional development of low and very low-income housing, due to the previously aforementioned factors, and other elements beyond the City's control, the City did not meet the RHNA affordable housing goals for the prior period. The City achieved approximately 23.5 percent of the RHNA goals for very low, and approximately 13.8 percent for low income households. The City implemented its affordable housing strategy as outlined in the 2009-2014 Housing Element, and concentrated much effort on preparing to emerge from the moratorium well positioned for the pent up demand for growth. The City has retained the minimum number of housing choice vouchers and several multi-family complexes have maintained their affordability, and the "City of Hollister Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency" has continued to work with property owners towards rehabilitation and increasing opportunities for first-time homebuyers. The City also accomplished many of its goals, by adopting a new Zoning Ordinance to implement the General Plan, setting the stage for mixed-use and other types of innovative development, by passing a "Second

Unit Amnesty" program, and by adopting several housing related policies.

1.5.b. PROGRESS OF HOLLISTER'S HOUSING PROGRAM

Housing Goals from prior (2009-2014) Housing Element. The following table provides an overview of the objectives and goals in the 2009-2014 Housing Element and the City's progress on implementation.

TABLE 2
2009- 2014 CITY OF HOLLISTER HOUSING ELEMENT PROGRESS IN MEETING OBJECTIVES

Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Deadline in HE	Status of Program Implementation
H.A Develop program guidelines to establish a Foreclosed Home Reactivation Program	Financial assistance to return foreclosed homes to purchase and rehabilitate foreclosed homes as affordable housing	2010	Completed - program in place
H.B Develop program guidelines to establish a Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program	Program to help rent payments for lower income households so cost does not exceed 30% of the household income.	July 2010	Ongoing program. Former Redevelopment Agency allocated \$50,000 per year for tenant based senior rental assistance for ten years until December of 2019.
H.C Implement impact fees and planning fees that encourage the construction of affordable housing. Lower connection fees for multi-family units	Remove governmental constraint to affordable housing	Ongoing	Tiered impact fees have been adopted that are lower for multi-family residential units and secondary units. A summary of the impact fees are in Table 56 of this document. The City of Hollister works with developers who provide special needs housing such as senior housing and has reduced impacts fees to 1/3 of the fee making a finding that the senior

			<p>development would only occupy less than half of the household average.</p> <p>The City of Hollister meets with developers and encourages developers to work with agencies that Develop special needs/affordable housing such as Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA), Community Services Development Corporation (CSDC) and others to partner and provide affordable housing.</p> <p>The City of Hollister has a density bonus section in the Hollister Municipal Code under Section 17.04. In accordance with Sections 65915, 65915.5 and 65917 of the California Government Code, the purpose of this Article is to provide density bonuses, incentives, or concessions for the production of housing for extremely low, very-low, lower, and moderate-income households, and senior households. In enacting this Article, it is also the intent of the City to implement the goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan Housing Element and to establish a City density bonus for the provision of affordable senior housing. The Density Bonus Section of the Hollister Municipal Code is attached to this</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>document in its entirety as Appendix K.</p> <p>Additional incentives in the Hollister Municipal Code include per note number 7 of Table 17.04-2 Residential Lot Size, Lot Area, Density and Open Space Requirements by District of Section 17.04.030 Residential General Development Standards of the Hollister Municipal Code the Planning Commission may waive the minimum density requirement for a development proposal with 100% multifamily affordable housing in the R4, R4-20, and OT-H Zoning Districts where it is demonstrated that the minimum density standard poses a constraint to the viability of the development. The manager's unit may be excluded from the affordability requirement. A conditional use permit shall be required for approval of the waiver.</p>
H.D Amend standards for Homeless Shelters and Transitional Housing to comply with SB2	Amend zoning ordinance to comply with state law	August 2010	<p>Completed - Ordinance 1056 Adopted December 2009. Per SB2 Government Code Section 65583 At least one zone shall be identified to permit emergency shelters without a conditional use permit or other discretionary action. Per Section 17.22.120 of the Hollister Municipal Code Small Temporary Residential Shelters (STRS) or Large Temporary Residential Shelter (LTRS). These uses are permitted by right in the North Gateway (NG) Zoning</p>

			<p>District. Appendix C indicates potential sites in the City of Hollister.</p> <p>All proposed development in the residential zoning districts is subject to a discretionary review by the city and required entitlements by the City of Hollister Planning Commission whether through a site and architectural review process, a conditional use permit or both to ensure that all buildings meet the general development standards in Section 17.04 of the Hollister Municipal Code and transitional housing, and supportive housing, are subject to the same restrictions as other residential uses and not treated differently in order to promote unified and distinct development and for the orderly development and good quality of life to the residents and to meet the city's residential general development standards. The residential standards include but are not limited to open space, parking, the mitigation of any effect of the layout of the development on traffic conditions and patterns on surrounding streets and for compliance with requirements for a Circulation Plan in Section 17.18.030 and supplemental standards in the applicable zoning district for; the layout of the site with</p>
--	--	--	---

			respect to locations and dimensions of vehicular pedestrian entrances, exits, drives and walkways; the adequacy of off-street parking facilities to prevent congestion; the location, arrangement and dimensions of loading and unloading facilities; the circulation pattern within the boundaries of the development, the surfacing and lighting of off-street parking facilities.
H.E Amend zoning ordinance standards for second units and small lots in the Measure Y exemption area	Remove governmental constraints to infill housing with more flexible standards	August 2010	Completed - Ordinance 1056 Adopted December 2009
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Deadline in HE	Status of Program Implementation
H.F Lot Consolidation program to add incentives the Growth Management program 1)reserve 50 units for lot consolidation for 2011-2012 allocations 2) re-allocate unused lots to lot consolidation; 3) RDA to offer below market rate funding in Measure Y exemption area for affordable projects with lot consolidation or re-use of the upper floors	Inventive for lot consolidation with growth management and re-use of the upper floors downtown.	June 2010	Ongoing. It's part of the growth management allocation point system. In the event housing allocations are re-allocated, lot consolidation projects in a multi-family or mixed use zoning will be one of the highest priorities. Measure U with Ordinance 979 came to an end in 2012. Efforts to reestablish or continue the residential growth management policy are expected to be accomplished in 2015
Maintain inventory of sites, expedite project plan review		Ongoing	The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

			abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012. Current - HE Appendix H
H.G Establish partnerships with the community with neighborhood associations, conduct annual housing forums and Intergovernmental Committee	Encourage public participation from all economic segments	Ongoing	<p>Ongoing participation with Intergovernmental Committee and cooperation between county and city's housing programs</p> <p>The Intergovernmental Committee is made up of members of the County Board of Supervisors as well as City of Hollister and City of San Juan Bautista councilmembers and school district representatives and meet at least once per month to discuss topics related to housing, growth, schools, circulation, public health and safety, amongst others.</p> <p>The City of Hollister has provided services such as water and sewer outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to proposed low income development. On July 21, 2014 the City of Hollister City Council approved Resolution No. 2014-148 approving a memorandum of understanding between the City and CHISPA for the City to provide extended services outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to approximately 4.71 acres of land identified by apn 019-400-001 through 019-400-014 for the development of 13 single family residential</p>

			affordable housing units and 41 affordable apartments with the requirement that the property gets annexed into the City of Hollister prior to the issuance of certificate of occupancy of the first residential unit. The project is currently under construction.
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Deadline in HE	Status of Program Implementation
H.H Stimulate re-use and infill Downtown 1. Amend zoning ordinance standards for guest parking, minimum lot size 2. Parking Reduction in Measure Y area 3. Maintain inventory of potential re-use sites	Reduce parking constraints and provide more flexible development standards for infill areas downtown	Jan. 2010 Jan 2010 Ongoing	1. Completed - Ordinance 1056 Adopted December 2009 2. After the construction of 100 units in the Measure Y downtown area the City of Hollister shall review the need for a parking district, review the effectiveness of the parking enforcement procedures 3. Current - HE Appendix G
H.I Amend zoning ordinance to stimulate development in Measure Y area	Provide more flexible development standards for infill in the Measure Y area	2010	Completed - Ordinance 1056 Adopted December 2009 - will continued to review and adjust as necessary
H.J Site and Architectural Review process - 1. Two meetings with	Remove constraints to provision of	Dec 2010	Met with local affordable housing developers to

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

non-profit housing developers to review constraints for residential developments	affordable housing from development review process	2011	review application constrains. The City's application for new development and conditional use permits were adjusted so it could be easier to follow. Efforts to address affordable housing constraints will continue in 2015.
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Deadline in HE	Status of Program Implementation
H. K Partner with water purveyors to continue to provide for future water system capacity	Ensure water supply for housing development	Ongoing	Continued City interagency participation in Governance Committee for planning and developing programs for long term water supply and quality. There is enough capacity to meet the RHNA for lower income households for water and sewer in the City of Hollister. In general, water quality is regulated by the State Water Resources Control Board through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. The goal of the program is to control and reduce pollutants to water bodies from point and non-point discharges for both long-term project activities and construction activities. The Central Coast Regional

		<p>Water Quality Control Board (regional board) issues and enforces NPDES permits for discharges to water bodies in the portion of Monterey County that drains to the Monterey Bay. Storm water from the city drains into the San Benito River and the Pajaro River, which eventually empties into the Monterey Bay. As identified in the city's general plan EIR, groundwater is used in conjunction with surface water to meet water demands within the Gilroy-Hollister underwater Basin (page 4.10-8). "Conjunctive use" of groundwater and surface water can result in a combined yield that is greater than the sum of the separate yields of the surface water and groundwater components. This is achieved by using stored groundwater to supply most of the demand during droughts, when surface water deliveries are curtailed. During wet periods, surface water is used to meet most of the demand, and groundwater storage is allowed to recover.</p> <p>Per the 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan the total water demand within the city in 2010 was approximately 2,859 acre-feet per year (average of 2.6 million gallons per</p>
--	--	---

			<p>day). Groundwater supplied most of this water demand. (Todd Engineers 2011). The per capita water use for the city (which includes not only direct residential water use, but also indirect water uses that benefit residents such as irrigation, commercial uses, industrial uses, and other municipal uses) is 149 gallons per day (Todd Engineers 2011, Table 3-6.a). The RHNA water demand would be served by city's existing and planned levels of groundwater extraction, as identified in 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan, and would not cause groundwater levels to drop to the extent that a net deficit in the 16,000 acre-feet per year sustainable capacity of area groundwater aquifers, or the 9,950 acre-feet per year available to Hollister and Sunnyslope in the future would occur.</p> <p>Waste Discharge Requirements. The City of Hollister Domestic Wastewater Plant has a design capacity of 5.0 million gallons per day, which will provide sufficient capacity for anticipated flows through the year 2023, according to the city's Long-Term Wastewater Management Program (City of Hollister 2005)</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>and the 2008 <i>Urban Area Water and Wastewater Master Plan</i> (City of Hollister 2008). Currently, the domestic wastewater plant processes approximately 2 million gallons per day which means that there is enough capacity to meet the RHNA for lower income households for sewer. Wastewater facilities and treatment are provided by the City of Hollister. The city operates two wastewater treatment and disposal facilities. The Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant is located west of downtown on both sides of the Highway 156 bypass near the San Benito River. The Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility is located west of downtown Hollister at the west end of South Street and on the north side of the San Benito River, less than one mile east of the Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant. Treated wastewater from both facilities is disposed of by percolation, which contributes to localized areas of high groundwater in the Hollister West sub-basin. Wastewater generated from future residential development will be collected and conveyed to the City of Hollister Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant for treatment and disposal. The city's wastewater treatment</p>
--	--	--	---

			plant utilizes immersed member bioreactor technology to produce effluent that meets state Title 22 requirements for tertiary recycled water.
H. L Energy conservation 1. Research funding with PG&E and other sources for development of a program similar to Berkeley First and adopt program information about solar technology for home owners. 2. Award growth allocates to projects with energy conservation 3. Prepare bi-lingual materials & explore site review standards 2011	Reduce utility costs and energy cost with solar roof panels	2011	1. Ongoing 2. Completed - Included in current ranking criteria. The city will continue to encourage energy conservation by awarding growth management allocations to projects with energy conservation methods. 3. Energy Conservation material in English and Spanish provided from PG&E, AMBAG Energy Watch and Central Coast Energy Service at the planning/building department's counter. Ongoing for 2013
H. M Process zoning ordinance amendment for mobile homes and manufactured homes	Mixed of housing types for single family homes and prices	July 2011	Completed - Ordinance 1056 Adopted December 2009. Found in Section 17.04.020 Residential Zone Land Uses and Permit Requirements, Table 17.04-1 Residential Land Uses and Permit Requirements
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Deadline in HE	Status of Program Implementation
H. N Amend standards for	Provide incentives	July 2011	Completed - Ordinance

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

27

multifamily residential including townhomes and apartments and 123 for single family residential dwelling units			
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H. R Amend zoning ordinance requirements for farm worker housing	Compliance Health and Safety Code §17021.5 & 17021.6	July 2012	No Activity in 2014.
H.S Develop guidelines to partner with local affordable housing providers to facilitate development of affordable housing	Encourage development of affordable housing	July 2012	Staff met with local affordable housing providers including South County Housing (SCH), CHISPA and CSDC to discuss application process constrains. Collaboration is ongoing.
H.T Prepare a study to assess need for growth management program in relation to unmet needs for housing, prices and to assure unmet regional housing new construction needs are met.		2011	No activity in 2014
H.U Evaluate need for an inclusionary housing program concurrent with expiration of Measure U in 2012		Dec 2012	No activity in 2014
H.V Protect "at -risk" units	Pursue funding sources to protect units at risk of converting to market rate within the next five years		The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.

			<p>However, the City of Hollister Successor Agency does the following to partakes as follows for the protection of at-risk units:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Monitoring the "Risk Assessment Report" published by the California Housing Partnership Corporation (CHPC) to assess federally financed projects. - Maintain regular contact with the local HUD office regarding early warnings of possible opt-outs. - Maintain contact with the owners and managers of existing affordable housing to determine if there are plans to opt-out in the future, and offer assistance in locating eligible buyers. - Develop and maintain a list of potential purchasers of at-risk units and act as a liaison between owners and eligible purchasers. -Ensure that all owners and managers of affordable housing are provided with applicable State and federal laws regarding notice to tenants of the owner's desire to opt-out or prepay. State law requires a 12 month notice.
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H.W Annex 75 acres of lands in Sphere of Influence for residential district by	Assure sufficient residential sites available for future	2012	Ongoing. Total area of land approved by LAFCO for annexation to the

2012	housing element	<p>corporate limits of the City of Hollister since 2011 consists of 105.63 acres (57.78 acres for GC General Commercial and 47.85 acres for Residential).</p> <p>Total area of land in the Sphere of Influence being processed for rezoning by the City of Hollister for future annexation into the corporate limits of the City of Hollister and for future residential development consists of 130.04 acres.</p> <p><u>Applications currently being processed for the rezoning of property in the Sphere of Influence for annexation:</u></p> <p>APN: 019-250-001 consisting of 11.48 acres (Gonzalez) for R1 L/PZ Zoning District.</p> <p>APN: 019-250-004 consisting of 1.0 acre (Ivancovich) for R3 M/PZ Zoning District.</p> <p>APN: 019-130-026 and 019-130-027 consisting of a total of 57.58 acres (DeNova) for the R3 M/PZ Zoning District.</p> <p>APN: 020-170-035 consisting of 1.23 acres (Matthews) for R1 L/PZ Zoning District.</p> <p>APN: 020-170-041 consisting of 2.17 acres (Natmar/Sywak) for R1 L/PZ Zoning District.</p>
------	-----------------	---

		<p>APN: 020-120-141,142, and 143 consisting of 5.16 acres for R1 L/PZ Zoning District (Kutz)</p> <p>APN: 020-120-114 consisting of 4.8 acres for R1 L/PZ Zoning District (Del Curto)</p> <p>APN: 020-120-144 consisting of 8.88 acres for R1 L/PZ Zoning District (Brigantino)</p> <p>APN: 020-120-145 consisting of 1.05 acres for R1 L/PZ Zoning District (Mermod)</p> <p>APN: 019-110-031, 019-120-013, and 019-120-011 consisting of 36.69 acres (Gonzalez and Borelli) for the R3 M/PZ Zoning District.</p> <p><u>Recently annexed:</u></p> <p>APN: 020-006-011 consisting of 22.38 acres (Infelise). Annexed in 2014</p> <p>APN: 020-280-002 consisting of 19.49 acres (Award Homes/Ladd Ranch). Annexed in 2014.</p> <p>In 2011, the City Council approved the prezone of 22.62 acres of which 5.98 acres are prezoned for R1 single family housing. LAFCo has approved the annexation and the city of Hollister Planning Commission approved 29 residential allocation units for the 5.98 acre residential portion of the site in 2013.</p>
--	--	---

			<p>In 2012 the city council approved resolutions to initiate proceedings with LAFCo for the annexation of territory of 41.14 acres located between Meridian Street to the north, Hillcrest Road to the south, McCray Street to the west and Highway 25 Bypass to the east into the City of Hollister. The land has been rezoned mostly for general commercial, however, there is a multifamily component. In June of 2013 LAFCo approved the annexation of the 41.14 acres into the corporate limits of the City.</p> <p>Total acres approved by LAFCO for annexation to the corporate limits of the City of Hollister since 2011: 105.63 acres. (57.78 acres for GC General Commercial and 47.85 acres for future R1 single family Residential development).</p>
H.X Publicize energy conservation programs	Energy conservation	Ongoing	Promote PG&E programs. PG&E sponsors a variety of programs designed to encourage energy efficiency by consumers and the building industry.
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H. Z Conduct annual	Review and	April of	Ongoing

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

housing element review	evaluate housing programs	each year	
H.AA Identify key sites for affordable rental and ownership units and use Redevelopment Agency funds to facilitate investments in projects.	Construction of affordable rental and ownership housing	Ongoing	The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.
H.BB Maintain a list of new sites for multi-family infill housing and special needs housing where opportunities are available	Construction of diversity of housing types and affordable housing	Ongoing	Lists in Housing Element F, G and H were prepared in 2009 of multi-family sites, infill, lot consolidation and potential transitional housing sites.
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		
Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H.CC Maintain Monitoring	Evaluate	Annual	Ongoing.

Systems	effectiveness of housing programs	<p>The Inventory of Homeownership Units Assisted by the former Hollister Redevelopment Agency or Housing Successor that are subject to covenants or restrictions 34176.1 (12) are 511.</p> <p>The former City of Hollister Redevelopment Agency entered into an agreement with Hollister Investment Group to provide tenant based rental assistance to ten very low income senior apartments at the Prospect Villa Senior Apartments until the end of 2019 in the amount of \$50,000 per year. Funds were deposited into the Low Moderate Income Housing Successor Funds from (Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule) ROPS 2013-14 A and 2013-14 B in the amount of \$50,000 for rent assistance at the Prospect Villa Senior Apartments.</p> <p>The property at 1191 Sunnyslope Road was developed for transitional housing with assistance from the Low Moderate Income Housing Funds of the former Hollister Redevelopment Agency and continues to be leased to Emmaus House with rental restrictions for said use.</p> <p>The Housing Successor may expend up to \$250,000 per fiscal year for homeless</p>
---------	-----------------------------------	--

		<p>prevention and rapid rehousing services of individual and families who are homeless or would be homeless pursuant to §34176.1(a)(2). The City of Hollister spent \$86,360 on homeless prevention services in Fiscal Year 2013/14.</p> <p>The City Council approved Resolution 2014-45 on March 3, 2014 authorizing execution of a \$750,000 loan agreement to assist with the construction of six extremely low income rental units at the approved San Juan Gardens workforce housing project. The developer was unable to secure tax credit financing in 2014 and the loan agreement was not executed.</p> <p>The City of Hollister Housing Successor had a (Low Moderate and Income Housing Asset Fund) LMIHAF balance of \$2,550,660 at the end of Fiscal Year 2013/14. The City of Hollister City Council approved encumbering \$750,000 to assist with construction of extremely low income rental housing (Resolution 2014-192) and rehabilitation of an existing affordable rental housing at the Rustic Gardens for \$400,000 (Resolution 2014-192). The two projects have the potential to encumber</p>
--	--	--

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

			\$1,150,000. An additional \$480,000 is expected to be used for monitoring thereby reducing the fund balance to \$920,660.
H.DD Pursue and expand all obtained funding resources by working with non-profit and for-profit housing developers and supporting the RDA	Construct and support affordable and special needs housing	Ongoing	Housing Element was completed and certified in 2009. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.
H.EE Link Code Enforcement with public information	Maintain existing housing stock	Ongoing	Ongoing implementation
H.FF Monitor special housing needs	Adjust priorities for special housing needs from ongoing monitoring	Ongoing	City Council grant funding to special housing need providers for homeless, local food bank and abused persons. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.
H.GG Foster and maintain partnerships for special needs housing and affordable housing with programs for second units, rental assistance and rehabilitation loans	Develop special needs housing		<p>Brochure available for second units.</p> <p>On December 16, 2013 The City Council of the City of Hollister adopted Resolution No. 2013-211 a resolution of the City Council of the City of Hollister adopting a sewer treatment impact fee for age restricted (55+) and one bedroom second units. This is a 47.5% reduction to sewer treatment impact fees collected on age restricted units.</p> <p>The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on</p>

February 1, 2012.

Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.
---	---

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H.II Provide fair housing information and respond to complaints	Assure equal housing opportunities	Ongoing	Ongoing. The City of Hollister collaborates with the San Benito County Housing Authority.
H.JJ Operate Redevelopment Agency Owner Occupied Rehabilitation Program	Goal of assisting 33 homeowners	Ongoing	The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.
H.KK Continue to implement Hollister Second Mortgage Program	Goal of assisting 20 homeowners	Ongoing	The former Redevelopment Agency assisted with the purchase of 11 single family residences with the Hollister Second /First Time Home Buyer program of which three were very low income households, seven were low income households and one was a moderate income household. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.
H.LL Require new development to comply with Title 24 at a minimum	Energy conservation	Ongoing	Building permits are reviewed on an ongoing basis for compliance with Title 24
Program Description (By Housing Element Program Names)	Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583 Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.		

Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation
H.MM Make sites available to meet new construction needs	Provide housing for all income levels	Ongoing	<p>Approved the following types of projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Vista Meadows:</u> 72 low income rental units (71 senior). Status: Constructed and occupied 2011 • <u>Hillview I:</u> 25 low income single family self-help units. status: constructed and occupied in 2011 • <u>Hillview II</u> 30 low income single family self-help units. status: approved and currently under construction. Occupied in 2014. • <u>Gateway Palms</u> 32 low income rental units. Status: constructed and occupied in 2011. • <u>Line Street Apartments:</u> Site and Architectural approval of 15 low income apartment units. Status: Approved S&A expired. <p><u>Rajkovich:</u> On December 19, 2013 the City of Hollister Planning Commission approved Resolution 2013-31 for 81 market single family dwelling units and a remainder parcel for future 100 multifamily affordable units.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Sunnyslope Investments LLC:</u> On December 19, 2013 the city of Hollister Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 2013-29, approving 29 residential allocation units in the 5.98

		<p>acre residential portion of the site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Valles:</u> On December 19, 2013 the City of Hollister Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 2013-32 approving Site and Architectural Review 2013-8 for the construction of fifty (5) apartment units consisting of five buildings and one rental office building on a 2.7 acre site located at 1605 Cushman Street, near the intersection of Cushman Street and Nash Road. Construction is anticipated to commence in 2014.• <u>North Florida Dev.</u> On June 26, 2013 the City of Hollister Planning Commission approved Site & Architectural Review Application No. 2013-3 and Tentative Map No. 2013-1 to subdivide an 8.51 acre parcel into forty-four (44) lots consisting of commercial and residential improvements. The residential improvements include 63 apartment units and 38 single family units. Status: applicant requires final map approval.• <u>Cerrato Estates</u> On March 27, 2014 the City of Hollister Planning Commission approved Tentative Map No. 2013-5 subdividing approximately 42 acres of land located south of Meridian Road, north of Hillcrest Road, and east of the Highway 25 Bypass as follows: 241 single family lots on 27.14
--	--	--

		<p>net acres, 2.65 acres for common open space, 11.48 acres dedicated as public right-of-way, and a 0.53 acre lot dedicated as an open space easement for storm water retention/infiltration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The Villages</u> On May 22, 2014 the City of Hollister Planning Commission approved Tentative Map No. 2013-3 subdividing approximately 32.36 acres of land located south of Santa Ana Road, west of Gardenia Lane, and north of Brigantino Drive as follows: 155 lots for single family homes on 21.37 net acres; 3.33 acres for common open space and dedicated park site, and 7.46 acres of public right-of-way. • <u>Mariottini:</u> On June 25, 2014 the planning commission approved Site and Architectural Review No. 2014-7 for the construction of 15 multi-family residential rental units located between San Benito Street, Monterey Street and west of Park Street. • <u>Ladd Ranch:</u> On January 21, 2014 the city council adopted Ordinance 1097 rezoning 19.49 acres located south of Southside Road and east of San Benito Street for single family residential units. On August 28, 2014 the planning commission approved Tentative Map No. 2014-2 subdividing
--	--	--

			<p>approximately 19.49 acres of land into 82 single family residential lots.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Infelise/Apricot Ln</u> <p>On December 18, 2014 the City of Hollister Planning Commission approved Tentative Map No. 2014-4 subdividing approximately 21.16 acres of land located into 100 lots for single family homes with an area of approximately 2.12 acres for open space.</p>
	<p>Housing Programs Progress Report - Government Code Section 65583</p> <p>Describe progress of all programs including local efforts to remove governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing as identified in the housing element.</p>		
Name of Program	Objective	Name of Program	Status of Program Implementation

H.NN Implement Hollister Redevelopment Agency resale regulations to maintain affordable housing	Protect Affordable Housing	Annual	<p>The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.</p> <p>However, the City of Hollister Successor Agency will continue to monitor affordable housing resale regulations to maintain affordable housing. Strategies for maintain affordable housing include Monitoring the "Risk Assessment Report" published by the California Housing Partnership Corporation (CHPC) to assess federally financed projects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Maintain regular contact with the local HUD office regarding early warnings of possible opt-outs. -Maintain contact with the owners and managers of existing affordable housing to determine if there are plans to opt-out in the future, and offer assistance in locating eligible buyers. -Develop and maintain a list of potential purchasers of at-risk units and act as a liaison between owners and eligible purchasers. -Ensure that all owners and managers of affordable housing are provided with applicable State and federal laws regarding notice to tenants of the owner's desire to opt-out or prepay. State law requires a 12 month notice.
---	----------------------------	--------	---

3. HOUSING ELEMENT 2015 - 2023

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

HOO Monitor Site and Architectural Review Process	Reduce governmental constraints	August 2010 Annual	<p>Ongoing. The city provides the public with the Site and Architectural (S&A) submittal deadlines for the entire year. The calendar is online and at the office.</p> <p>-Once S&A application is submitted staff prepares reports and provides reports to City of Hollister Development Review Committee (DRC) members within 7 days of S&A deadline.</p> <p>-DRC members have one week to review reports before DRC meeting.</p> <p>-Staff provides comments from DRC to applicants and provides the applicant with up to one week to make any necessary revisions to the plans.</p> <p>-Public notice is submitted to the newspaper and it must be published at least 10 days prior to the public hearing planning commission meeting.</p> <p>-Total S&A application processing time for categorically exempt CEQA S&A projects is about 6 weeks.</p>
H.P.P Annual review of growth allocation procedures			<p>The City of Hollister had in place a Growth Management Ordinance known as Measure U per Section 16.64 <i>Growth Management Program</i> of the Hollister Municipal Code which allowed up to 254 residential dwelling units per year. Measure U expired in January of 2012. The land use priority for the 254 residential dwelling units included</p>

		<p>190 dwelling units in the medium, high, or mixed use high density districts, 50 in the low density residential zoning districts and 4 for small projects. At least 35 of the 244 residential dwelling units needed to be for affordable housing. Second units were exempt from the growth management program per Section 16.64.030 <i>Exemptions</i> of the Hollister Municipal Code. The application for residential allocations under the growth management program was a point system and the highest number of points was given to proposed developments that provided affordable housing. Developers were encourage to provide affordable housing since as part of the application process for the growth management allocations developers had a greater chance of obtaining the residential allocations if they provided affordable housing. The growth management applications had the following questions that provided the highest points:</p> <p>1. In order to assist the city in meeting its RHNA requirements, does the project provide residential units affordable to extremely-low income households? 2. In order to assist the city in meeting its RHNA requirements, does the project provide residential units affordable to very low income</p>
--	--	---

		<p>households? 3. In order to assist the city in meeting its RHNA requirements, does the project provide residential units affordable to low income households? 4. In order to assist the city in meeting its RHNA requirements, does the project provide residential units affordable to moderate income households? 5. Is a low-income housing company, agency, or non-profit included in the project team in order to ensure the construction and occupancy of residential units identified as affordable?</p> <p>The City of Hollister meets with developers and encourages developers to work with agencies that Develop special needs/affordable housing such as Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA), Community Services Development Corporation (CSDC) and others to partner and provide affordable housing.</p> <p>The City of Hollister has provided services such as water and sewer outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to proposed low income development. On July 21, 2014 the City of Hollister City Council approved Resolution No. 2014-148 approving a memorandum of understanding between the City and CHISPA for the City to provide</p>
--	--	--

		<p>extended services outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to approximately 4.71 acres of land identified by apn 019-400-001 through 019-400-014 for the development of 13 single family residential affordable housing units and 41 affordable apartments with the requirement that the property gets annexed into the City of Hollister prior to the issuance of certificate of occupancy of the first residential unit. The project is currently under construction.</p> <p>The City of Hollister has a density bonus section in the Hollister Municipal Code under Section 17.04. In accordance with Sections 65915, 65915.5 and 65917 of the California Government Code, the purpose of this Article is to provide density bonuses, incentives, or concessions for the production of housing for extremely low, very-low, lower, and moderate-income households, and senior households. In enacting this Article, it is also the intent of the City to implement the goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan Housing Element and to establish a City density bonus for the provision of affordable senior housing. The Density Bonus Section of the Hollister Municipal Code is attached to this</p>
--	--	---

		<p>document in its entirety as Appendix K.</p> <p>Additional incentives in the Hollister Municipal Code include per note number 7 of Table 17.04-2 Residential Lot Size, Lot Area, Density and Open Space Requirements by District of Section 17.04.030 Residential General Development Standards of the Hollister Municipal Code the Planning Commission may waive the minimum density requirement for a development proposal with 100% multifamily affordable housing in the R4, R4-20, and OT-H Zoning Districts where it is demonstrated that the minimum density standard poses a constraint to the viability of the development. The manager's unit may be excluded from the affordability requirement. A conditional use permit shall be required for approval of the waiver.</p>
--	--	--

1.5.c. APPROPRIATENESS OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

The City of Hollister has made progress in effectively carrying out programs and policies of the last Housing Element, including addressing the provision of housing for all income segments of the population. A significant portion of this success is measured by the City's adoption of a revised Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map. Some of the goals were not met due to market forces and the City's restricted ability to approve units due to a sewer treatment moratorium. The appropriateness of the goals has not been diminished however.

The City is addressing these issues and is in the process of reviewing and reassessing the City's housing needs, preparing development standards, and implementing programs utilizing CDBG and Redevelopment Agency funds that target the homeless population and other special needs segments of the population.

The City of Hollister has identified goals and implementation programs to provide for affordable housing programs in order to create more opportunities for multifamily dwellings and to address fair housing needs. Many issues identified in the previous Housing Element have been addressed, so the City will be positioned to manage future growth. However, several areas of concern have been identified and will be addressed in the "Policy and Programs" section, as well as discussed throughout this document.

**TABLE 3
HOUSING CONSTRUCTION IN
HOLLISTER**

Year Structure Built	Number	Percentage	Cumulative Total
1939 or prior	744	6.7	744
1940-1959	979	8.9	1,723
1960-1969	925	8.4	2,648
1970-1979	1,566	14.2	4,214
1980-1989	2,001	18.1	6,215
1990-1999	3,739	34.0	9,954
2000-2008	648	5.8	10,602
2009-2014	395	3.5	10,997

Source: US Census, SOCDS database and 2014 Annual General Plan Progress Report

TABLE 4
HOUSING DEMOLITIONS, 2009-2014

Year	Total Units
2009	0
2010	0
2011	1
2012	1
2013	3
2014	5
Total	10
Source: Hollister Planning and Building,	

1.6 COMMUNITY PROFILE

There are two cities in San Benito County, Hollister and San Juan Bautista. The City of Hollister is the County Seat and population center in San Benito County, with 64.1 percent of the county population. San Benito County is situated in the Central Coast region of California, about 100 miles southeast of San Francisco, 40 miles east of Monterey and 300 miles north of Los Angeles. While agriculture continues to be the predominant economic activity in the county, development pressure is changing the rural character of the area, leading to a decreasing amount of land devoted to agricultural activities and more land being subject to urban development. The community's attitude continues to change toward development.

Hollister is a general law City that operates under the Council/Manager form of government. City departments include: Finance, Development Services, Airport, Animal Control, Traffic, Engineering, Community Services, Fire Department, Police Department, Recreation Department, and Human Resources. According to the California Department of Finance, the City has an estimated population of 37,305, as of 2015.

Portions of Hollister are located within the boundary of the City of Hollister Redevelopment Agency. Most of the existing and developable residential lands are within the boundaries of the Redevelopment Project Area (see Exhibit 3). The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.

EXHIBIT 1 - REGIONAL LOCATION





EXHIBIT 3 - CITY OF HOLLISTER REDEVELOPMENT AREA



SECTION 2.0

EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

The purpose of this chapter is to summarize and analyze the existing housing conditions in the City of Hollister. It consists of two major sections: Section 2.1 - Summary of Existing Conditions - an analysis of population trends, employment trends, household trends and special needs groups, and Section 2.2 - Inventory of Resources - an analysis of existing housing characteristics, housing conditions, vacancy trends, housing costs and availability, "at-risk housing" and suitable lands for future development.

2.1 SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

In order to assess the present and future housing needs of the City of Hollister, it is important to analyze demographic variables, such as population, employment, and households. This section utilizes sources, such as the 1980, 1990, 2000 and 2010 U.S. Census, State Department of Finance (Demographic Research Unit), the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and AnySite, a demographic data provider. See Appendix B for a complete list of data sources.

Between 1990 and 2015 Hollister's population increased by about 94.0 percent, from 19,212 residents in 1990 to 37,305 in 2015, at an annualized growth rate of 6.2 percent. During that same time period, the number of housing units increased by nearly 60 percent. Much of the increase in the population can be attributed to an influx of people moving from surrounding counties, particularly Santa Clara County, where housing prices and median income are significantly higher.

Hollister experienced a housing boom in the 1990s that resulted in a significant amount of new single family development. About 80.0 percent of all the homes in Hollister are single family houses.

2.1.a. POPULATION TRENDS

The City of Hollister is part of the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) for Regional Planning issues, including population and employment forecasts. AMBAG is comprised of 17 cities and the unincorporated areas of four Counties. As shown in Table 5, between 1990 and 2015, San Benito County's population increased by 58.9 percent or 21,647 persons. In 2008, the County had an estimated population of 58,344, which represents an increase of 5,110 persons since 2000. Five counties surround San Benito County: Santa Clara, Fresno, Monterey, Santa Cruz, and Merced. Of these counties, San Benito County is the fifth most populated, but was the fastest growing county between 1990 and 2015. Only two incorporated cities are located in San Benito County, Hollister and San Juan Bautista

TABLE 5
POPULATION TRENDS - SAN BENITO AND NEIGHBORING COUNTIES

County	1990	2000	2008	2015	Change (1990-2015)	
					Number	Percent
San Benito	36,697	53,234	57,784	58,344	21,647	58.9%
Santa Clara	1,497,577	1,682,58	1,837,075	1,889,638	392,061	26.1%
Fresno	667,490	799,407	931,098	972,297	304,807	45.6%
Monterey	355,660	401,762	428,549	425,413	69,753	19.6%
Santa Cruz	229,734	255,602	266,519	271,646	41,912	18.2%
Merced	178,403	210,554	255,250	266,134	87,731	49.1%
State of California	29,760,021	33,871,648	38,049,462	38,714,725	8,954,704	30.0%

Source: 1990 and 2000 Census; 2008 and 2015 Department of Finance

The City of Hollister is first in population growth among cities in this four county region. Between 1990 and 2015, Hollister's population increased by 92.9 percent growth rate, as shown in Table 6. This increase can be attributed to the increasing demand for housing due to the shortage of housing in the Bay Area, the lack of affordable housing in the Bay Area and the willingness of commuters to move further from their place of employment, (see Table 12, Employment by Commuting Patterns).


TABLE 6
POPULATION TRENDS - NEIGHBORING CITIES AND COMMUNITIES

City	1990	2000	2008	2015	Change (1990-2015)	
					Number	Percent
Hollister	19,212	34,614	37,051	37,068	17,856	92.9%
Salinas	108,777	150,724	150,898	154,720	45,943	42.2%
Watsonville	31,099	44,475	51,703	52,087	20,988	67.4%
Gilroy	31,487	41,587	51,173	53,000	21,513	68.3%
Morgan Hill	23,928	33,635	39,218	41,779	17,851	74.6%
Marina	26,436	25,052	19,171	20,872	-5,564	-21.0%
San Juan	1,571	1,431	1,874	1,930	359	22.8%
State of California	29,760,021	33,871,648	38,049,462	38,714,725	8,954,704	30.0%

Source: 1990 and 2000 Census; 2008 and 2015 Department of Finance

Between 2000 and 2015 the City of Hollister experienced the largest percentage growth of the cities in the four county regions (see Chart 1).

CHART 1
ANNUAL GROWTH 2000-2015



Source: 2000 Census; 2008 and 2015 Department of Finance

Over the past 35 years, the population in the City of Hollister increased by 219.77 percent. Currently, the City's population is estimated at 37,068, as shown in Table 7. Population projections indicate that Hollister will experience slight growth through 2023.

TABLE 7
POPULATION TRENDS - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Year	Population	Change since 1980	% Change since 1980	Annual % Change since 1980
1980	11,592			
1990	19,212	7,620	65.7%	6.6%
2000	34,614	23,022	198.60%	9.9%
2008	37,051	25,459	219.62%	7.84%
2015	37,068	25,476	219.77%	6.2%

Source: 1980, 1990 and 2000 Census; 2008 and 2015 Department of Finance

Between 2000 and 2014, the median age in Hollister increased from 28.2 to 31.7 years of age. The largest age group is comprised of persons between the ages 6-13, representing 14.0 percent of the population in 2008. Age groups of 45 and older showed the most significant increases, indicating a growing aging population.

TABLE 8
POPULATION BY AGE TRENDS - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Age Cohorts	2000		2008		Change	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
0-5	4,084	11.8%	3,964	10.7%	-120	-2.9%
6-13	5,400	15.6%	5,187	14.0%	-213	-3.9%
14-17	2,388	6.9%	2,519	6.8%	131	5.5%
18-20	1,523	4.4%	1,815	4.9%	292	19.2%
21-24	1,765	5.1%	2,371	6.4%	606	34.3%
25-29	2,596	7.5%	2,631	7.1%	35	1.3%
30-34	2,977	8.6%	2,705	7.3%	-272	-9.1%
35-39	3,184	9.2%	2,779	7.5%	-405	-12.7%
40-44	2,769	8.0%	2,779	7.5%	10	0.4%
45-49	2,146	6.2%	2,631	7.1%	485	22.6%
50-54	1,627	4.7%	2,001	5.4%	374	22.9%
55-59	1,142	3.3%	1,519	4.1%	377	33.0%
60-64	762	2.2%	1,149	3.1%	387	50.8%
65-74	1,315	3.8%	1,704	4.6%	389	29.6%
75-84	692	2.1%	889	2.4%	197	28.5%
85+	242	0.7%	408	1.1%	166	68.6%
Total	34,614	100.0%	37,051	100.0%	2,437	7.0%
Median Age	28.2		29.0		0.8	

Source: 2000 US Census, 2007 AnySite

According to the 2000 Census, persons who categorized themselves as White represented 38.2 percent of the Hollister population and 45.7 percent of the San Benito County population. In the City, 54.9 percent are of Hispanic origin.

TABLE 9
POPULATION BY RACE AND ETHNICITY - 2010

City of Hollister			San Benito County	
Number	Percent	Category	Number	Percent
10,163	29.1%	White	21,154	38.3%
247	0.7%	Black	355	0.6%
118	0.4%	Am. Indian	231	0.4%
824	2.4%	Asian/Pac. Isl.	1,298	2.3%
38	0.1%	Other	67	0.1%
535	1.5%	Two or more Races	913	1.7%
22,965	65.7%	Hispanic Origin	31,186	56.4%

Source: US Census

2.1.b. EMPLOYMENT TRENDS

Historically, management/professional has been the largest industry type in the City of Hollister, as shown in Table 10. According to the 2007 AnySite, this industry comprises 28.9 percent of the labor force in 2008. The next largest industries in 2008 were sales/office and service occupations.

TABLE 10
EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Industry Type	2000		2008	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Farming/Fishing/Forestry	557	3.7%	616	3.6%
Management/Professional/Related	4,317	28.7%	4,943	28.9%
Service Occupations	2,392	15.9%	2,736	16.0%
Sales/Office	3,776	25.1%	4,293	25.1%
Construction/Extraction/Maint.	1,850	12.3%	2,121	12.4%
Production/Transportation/Material Moving	2,151	14.3%	2,394	14.0%
Total	15,043	100.0%	17,103	100.0%

Source: 2007 AnySite

The top employers in the Hollister include manufacturing, retail trade, government and medical. The top employers in San Benito County include agriculture, agricultural processing.

TABLE 11
HOLLISTER AREA MAJOR EMPLOYERS

Employer	Number of Employees
CITY OF HOLLISTER	
Corbin Inc.	100-249
Guerra Nut Shelling	50-99
City of Hollister	138
Hazel Hawkins Memorial Hospital	250-499
Hollister School District	500
M C Electronics	250-499
Nob Hill Foods	50-99
Safeway	50-99
Save Mart	50-99
San Benito Foods	100-249
San Benito High School	250-499
Target	82
West Marine	100-249
SAN BENITO COUNTY	
Denise & Filice Packing Co	100-249
Diageo North America	100-249
Earthbound Farm	1000 – 4,999
Pacific Harvest Seafoods	50-99
Ridgemark Golf & Country Club	100-249
True Leaf Farms	250-499
Willis Construction Co Inc.	50-99

Source: City of Hollister and www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov

Over the last two decades there has been an influx of people moving from outside areas into Hollister. Much of the increase in population came from Santa Clara County, where housing prices and median income are significantly higher. As a result, a dramatic change in commuting patterns has occurred. In 2000, over half of all Hollister residents were commuting to areas outside of San Benito County for employment. Since 1990, the number of workers living in San Benito County, and commuting to Santa Clara County, has increased over 114 percent, according to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

According to the 1990 Census 65.2 percent of those living in the County of San Benito worked there as well, as shown in Table 12. This number decreased in 2000 to 50.3 percent. In addition, the proportion of persons commuting over 45 minutes to work increased by 14.2 percent.

TABLE 12
EMPLOYMENT BY COMMUTING PATTERNS (1990-2000)
- COUNTY OF SAN BENITO

Commuting Pattern*	1990		2000	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Worked in San Benito County	10,772	65.2%	12,750	50.3%
Worked outside San Benito County	5,729	34.8%	12,600	49.7%
Commute Time to Work				
0-15 Minutes	6,440	40.3%	7,396	33.0%
15-30 Minutes	4,614	28.9%	4,595	20.5%
30-45 Minutes	2,208	13.8%	3,430	15.3%
Over 45 Minutes	2,720	17.0%	6,987	31.2%

Source: 1990, 2000 Census * numbers are mutually exclusive

2.1.c. HOUSEHOLD TRENDS

The change in the number of households in a city is one of the prime determinants of the demand for housing. Households can form even in periods of static population growth as adult children leave home, through divorce, and with the aging of the population.

The number of persons per household is an important indicator of the relationship between population growth and household formation. For example, if the number of persons per household is decreasing with steady population growth, then households are forming at a faster rate than population growth. Conversely, if population were growing faster than households, then the persons per household would increase.

Between 1990 and 2008, persons per household fluctuated for the City of Hollister and San Benito County. Specifically, the City of Hollister rose from 3.2 persons per household to 3.52 persons per household, in 2000 and has then risen to 3.6 in 2008 and 3.64 in 2015.

The number of households in San Benito County increased by 39.1 percent between 1990 and 2000, which is less than the rate of population growth (45.1 percent) in the same time period. According to the 1990 Census, 11,419 households resided in the County. By 2000, an estimated 15,880 households lived in the County. San Benito County households projected to continue increasing over the period of 2008-2013 by approximately 1.3 percent annually. Between 1990 and 2000, the City of Hollister increased by 3,228 households or 49.9 percent, a total of 9,694 households. The City is projected to increase over the next five years and reach 11,140 households by 2013. The projected increase of 556 households represents a 5.2 percent change since 2008.

TABLE 13
HOUSEHOLD FORMATION TRENDS

Year	Households	Change	% Change	Annual % Change
CITY OF HOLLISTER				
1980	3,238			
1990	6,466	3,228	99.7%	9.9%
2000	9,694	3,228	49.9%	5.0%
2008	10,584	890	9.2%	1.1%
2013	11,140	556	5.2%	1.0%
SAN BENITO COUNTY				
1980	8,922			
1990	11,419	2,497	27.9%	2.8%
2000	15,880	4,461	39.1%	3.9%
2008	17,789	1,909	12.0%	1.5%
2013	18,982	1,193	6.7%	1.3%

Source: 1980, 1990 and 2000 US Census; 2008 California Department of Finance

Household size is also an important factor in determining the size of housing units needed within a jurisdiction. In the City of Hollister, "large" households containing five or more persons represented 25.7 percent of all households in 2000, about 10.9 percent less than the "small" households with one or two persons. Households with one person represented the fastest growing household size between 2000 and 2008, increasing from 13.0 percent in 2000 to 18.7 percent in 2008. However, 54.0 percent of the households contained 3 or more persons, increasing to 56.1 percent in 2013.

TABLE 14 HOUSEHOLD SIZE TRENDS

Household Size	2000		2008		2013	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
CITY OF HOLLISTER						
1 Person	1,260	13.0%	2,147	18.7%	2,167	17.2%
2 Person	2,259	23.3%	2,974	25.9%	3,364	26.7%
3-4 Person	3,684	38.0%	3,903	34.0%	4,271	33.9%
5+ Person	2,491	25.7%	2,457	21.4%	2,797	22.2%
SAN BENITO COUNTY						
1 Person	2,239	14.1%	3,917	20.7%	3,998	19.2%
2 Person	4,303	27.1%	5,317	28.1%	6,038	29.0%
3-4 Person	5,717	36.0%	6,074	32.1%	6,684	32.1%
5+ Person	3,621	22.8%	3,613	19.1%	4,102	19.7%

Source: 2000 Census, 2007 AnySite

Tenure, or the ratio between homeowner and renter households, can be affected by many factors, such as: housing cost (interest rates, economics, land supply, and development constraints), housing type, housing availability, job availability, and consumer preference.

During the period 1990 to 2000, the proportion of renter households decreased from 44.7 percent of the households to 33.6 percent in the City of Hollister. The percentage of renter households further decreased to 30.8 percent in 2008. This decrease in the percentage of renter households can be attributed to the growth of single-family homes built over the last 10 years (see Table 15).

In comparison, San Benito County has a slightly lower proportion of renter households. For example, in 2008, 30.8 percent of the Hollister households were renters, while 29.8 percent of the San Benito County households were renters, a difference of 1.0 percent.

TABLE 15 TENURE BY HOUSEHOLDS

City of Hollister			San Benito County	
Number	Percent		Number	Percent
1990				
3,576	55.3%	Owners	6,977	61.1%
2,890	44.7%	Renters	4,442	38.9%
2000				
6,437	66.4%	Owners	10,814	68.1%
3,257	33.6%	Renters	5,066	31.9%
2008				
7,945	69.2%	Owners	13,282	70.2%
3,536	30.8%	Renters	5,639	29.8%
2013				
8,895	70.6%	Owners	14,867	71.4%
3,704	29.4%	Renters	5,955	28.6%

Source: 1990 and 2000 US Census, 2007 AnySite, California Department of Finance

According to the 2000 Census, the County of San Benito median household income was higher than most of the surrounding counties, with the exception of Santa Clara County. All counties showed an increase in the median income between 1990 and 2015. The median income in San Benito and Santa Clara Counties increased by more than \$20,000. This reflects the increased population of commuters from the Bay Area, which has a higher median income.

TABLE 16
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME TRENDS 4 PERSON - SURROUNDING
COUNTIES -

Jurisdiction		
	1989	1999
San Benito	\$36,473	\$57,461
Fresno	\$26,377	\$34,725
Merced	\$25,548	\$35,352
Monterey	\$33,520	\$48,305
Santa Clara	\$48,115	\$74,335
Santa Cruz	\$37,112	\$53,998

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME TRENDS 4 PERSON - SURROUNDING
COUNTIES -

Jurisdiction	2015
San Benito	\$81,100
Fresno	\$57,900
Merced	\$57,900
Monterey	\$68,700
Santa Clara	\$106,300
Santa Cruz	\$87,000

Source: US Census, State of California Housing and Community Development Department

As shown in Table 17, the block groups that saw a significant increase in housing units, 100 or more, had a higher median income. This trend reflects the influx of higher paid commuters from the Bay Area increasing the number of housing units built.

TABLE 17
MEDIAN INCOME BY CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK GROUP - CITY
OF HOLLISTER

Census Tract, Block Number	Housing Units Built 1980-1990	Housing Units Built 1990-1999	Median Income
CITY OF HOLLISTER			\$56,104
Tract 3, Block 1 ¹	34	139	\$56,591
Tract 3, Block 2 ²	6	3	\$38,750
Tract 3, Block 3	177	77	\$44,730
Tract 4, Block 1	10	23	\$42,000
Tract 4, Block 2	19	11	\$38,750
Tract 4, Block 3	13	19	\$39,063
Tract 4, Block 4	71	72	\$51,200
Tract 5, Block 1	19	153 ³	\$35,626
Tract 5, Block 2 ⁴	381	634	\$60,022
Tract 5, Block 3 ⁵	418	968	\$77,371
Tract 6, Block 1	171	97	\$60,000
Tract 6, Block 2 ⁶	63	73	\$47,071
Tract 6, Block 3 ⁷	283	624	\$77,952
Tract 7, Block 1 *	230	579	\$54,300
Tract 7, Block 2 *	162	293	\$49,038
*Census Block is located in the City of Hollister and rural areas of unincorporated San Benito County.			

¹ Block includes unincorporated farmland with some rural housing

² Block includes unincorporated land with orchards, row crops and some rural housing

³ Affordable housing project constructed in the block group

⁴ Block includes some unincorporated homes. Most residences were constructed in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s

⁵ Block includes some unincorporated homes. Most residences were constructed in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s

⁶ Block includes some unincorporated homes. Most residences were constructed in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s

⁷ Block includes some unincorporated homes. Most residences were constructed in the 1960s, and 1970s

Generally, the proportion of households in the City of Hollister with incomes less than \$25,000 has decreased significantly since 2000, while the proportion of households with incomes greater than \$35,000 have been increasing. For example, households with incomes less than \$25,000 decreased from 17.8 percent in 2000 to a current estimate of 12.9 percent in 2008. Conversely, households with incomes between \$35,000 and \$99,999 increased from 54.4 percent to 63.5 percent over that same time period.

TABLE 18
HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Income Ranges	2000		2008		2013	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less Than \$10,000	523	5.4%	425	3.7%	403	3.2%
\$10,000-\$14,999	281	2.9%	264	2.3%	239	1.9%
\$15,000-\$24,999	921	9.5%	792	6.9%	731	5.8%
\$25,000-\$34,999	1,008	10.4%	792	6.9%	642	5.1%
\$35,000-\$49,999	1,454	15.0%	1,412	12.3%	1,134	9.0%
\$50,000-\$74,999	2,230	23.0%	3,582	31.2%	3,276	26.0%
\$75,000-\$99,999	1,590	16.4%	2,297	20.0%	3,490	27.7%
\$100,000+	1,687	17.4%	1,917	16.7%	2,684	21.3%
Total	9,694	100.0%	11,481	100.0%	12,599	100.0%

Source: 2000 Census, 2007 AnySite, California Department of Finance

The Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) estimates area median incomes (AMI) for all counties in the State annually. In turn, this AMI is utilized in many housing programs, such as CDBG, HOME and LIHTC. Of particular note, the 2008 San Benito County AMI was \$78,000.

In addition to estimated annual income, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development HUD has established standard income groups. They are defined as: (1) Extremely Low, which are households earning less than 30 percent of AMI; (2) Very Low Income, which are households earning between 30 and 50 percent of the AMI; (3) Low Income, for households earning between 50 percent and 80 percent of the AMI; (4) Moderate Income, for households earning between 80 percent and 120 percent of the AMI, and (5) Above Moderate Income are households earning over 120 percent of the AMI. Generally, these categories are used to determine household eligibility for federal, and local programs.

Based on the 2008 San Benito County AMI and household income tables, the proportion of households in the Extremely Low, Very Low and Low Income groups is less than those in the Moderate and Above Moderate categories. For example, approximately 44.8 percent of Hollister households make less than 80 percent of the State designated San Benito County Area Median Income, which translates to 5,143 households. In comparison, 55.2 percent of households are in the Moderate and Above Moderate categories.

TABLE 19
HOUSEHOLDS BY 2008 INCOME CATEGORIES - CITY OF HOLLISTER
2008 Area Median Income (4-Person Household): \$78,000

Income Category	Income Range	Number	Percent
Extremely Low	Less than \$23,400	1,320	11.5%
Very Low	\$23,401 - \$39,000	1,297	11.3%
Low	\$39,001 - \$62,400	2,526	22.0%
Moderate	\$62,401 - \$93,600	3,835	33.4%
Above Moderate	Greater than \$93,601	2,503	21.8%

Source: 2008 HCD State Income Limits, Estimated number of 2008 households by income applied to State Income Limits

2015 AREA MEDIAN INCOME - AMI (4-Person Household): \$81,100

		1 person	2 person	3 persons	4 persons	5 persons	6 persons	7 persons	8 persons
San Benito County 4-Person Area Median Income: \$81,100	Extremely Low	17050	19500	21950	24350	28410	32570	36730	40890
	Very Low I	28400	32450	36500	40550	43800	47050	50300	53550
	Low Income	45100	51550	58000	64400	69600	74750	79900	85050
	Median Income	56750	64900	73000	81100	87600	94100	100550	107050
	Moderate Income	68100	77850	87550	97300	105100	112850	120650	128450

2.1.d. OVERPAYMENT

Overpayment is an important measure of the affordability of housing within a city. Overpayment for housing is based on the total cost of shelter compared to a household's ability to pay. Specifically, overpayment is defined as a household paying more than 30 percent of their gross household income for shelter. According to the US Census, shelter cost is the monthly owner costs (mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts to purchase or similar debts on the property, taxes, and insurance) or the gross rent (contract rent plus the estimated average monthly cost of utilities).

In 2000, a total of 3,490, or 37.9 percent, of all households in the City of Hollister pay in excess of 30 percent of their income for shelter (See Table 20). Of these 874 families pay 30 to 34 percent of their household income for rent.

Households paying in excess of 35 percent of their income for housing comprise 1,592 of total owner-occupied units and are primarily concentrated in the moderate to above moderate income ranges. The majority of renter households paying in excess of 35.0 percent of their income had incomes below \$35,000.

The percentage of owners versus renters overpaying was disproportionate, representing 36.0 percent owner and 41.0 percent renter households. The overpayment situation is particularly critical for renters with annual incomes less than \$20,000.

TABLE 20
CITY OF HOLLISTER HOUSEHOLDS OVERPAYING,
BY INCOME AND TENURE (2000)

OWNER-OCCUPIED UNITS						
Income Range	Total Households	% of Total Households	0-20% of HH Income	20-29% of HH Income	30-34% of HH Income	35+% of HH Income
\$0-10,000	189	3.2%	10	0	14	134
\$10,000-19,999	189	3.2%	41	16	7	125
\$20,000-34,999	611	10.3%	160	77	16	358
\$35,000-49,999	701	11.8%	209	117	64	311
\$50,000 +	4,256	71.5%	1,611	1,507	466	664
Subtotal	5,946	100.0%	2,031	1,717	567	1,592
RENTER-OCCUPIED UNITS						
Income Range	Total Households	% of Total Households	0-20% of HH Income	20-29% of HH Income	30-34% of HH Income	35+% of HH Income
\$0-10,000	316	9.7%	7	6	24	219
\$10,000-19,999	456	13.9%	42	51	40	329
\$20,000-34,999	871	26.7%	64	283	98	426
\$35,000-49,999	619	19.0%	236	218	114	33
\$50,000 +	1,001	30.7%	776	170	31	17
Subtotal	3,263	100.0%	1,125	728	307	1,024
TOTAL	9,209		3,156	2,445	874	2,616

Source: U.S. Census, 2000 Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3A- H73 and H97; Household Income in 1999 for specified renter-occupied housing units by gross rent as a percentage of household income, and Household Income in 1999 for specified owner-occupied units by selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income.

Note: *Some households are not accounted for; therefore, figures may slightly differ for other U.S. Census estimates for Total Households.*

Of all owner occupied households with incomes below 80.0 percent MFI within the City, 285 (16.4 percent) are considered to be in the extremely-low income category and for 60.4 percent of those households, the cost of housing is greater than half of the net household income. Similarly, of all renter occupied households within the City, 586 (27.6 percent) are considered to be in the extremely-low income category and for 57.7 percent of those households; the cost of housing is greater than half of the net household income. As indicated in Table 21 as

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

household income increases, the cost burden also decreases, indicating that the City does not have sufficient low income housing to support residents in the very low and extremely low income ranges.

TABLE 21
HOUSING ISSUES FOR ALL HOUSEHOLDS CHAS DATA BOOK

	Total Renters	Total Owners	Total Households
Household Income <=30% MFI	586	285	871
% with any housing problems	81.4%	81.1%	81.3%
% Cost Burden >30%	81.4%	79.6%	80.8%
% Cost Burden >50%	57.7%	66.0%	60.4%
Household Income >30% to <=50% MFI	746	437	1,183
% with any housing problems	82.6%	70.7%	78.2%
% Cost Burden >30%	66.6%	57.0%	63.1%
Household Income >50% to <=80% MFI	794	1,016	1,810
% with any housing problems	52.1%	71.0%	62.7%
% Cost Burden >30%	23.9%	55.3%	41.5%

Source: State of the Cities Data Systems: Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) Data.

TABLE 21(a)
LOWER INCOME RENTER HOUSEHOLDS PAYING IN EXCESS OF 50% FOR HOUSING
(RENT AND UTILITIES 2010)

Renter Households Characteristics	Number	Percent of Total Households
Total renter-occupied units (renter households)	4,205	100.0%
Total lower income (0-80% of HAMFI) renter households	2,730	64.9%
Lower income renters paying more than 30% but less than 50%	740	17.6%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	150	3.6%
Income between 30%-50%	310	7.4%
Income between 50% -80%	280	6.7%
Lower income renters paying more than 50%	1,290	30.7%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	850	20.2%
Income between 30%-50%	395	9.4%
Income between 50% -80%	45	1.1%
Lower income renters paying more than 30%	2,030	48.3%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	1,000	23.8%
Income between 30%-50%	705	16.8%

Income between 50% -80%	325	7.7%
-------------------------	-----	------

TABLE 21 (b)
LOWER INCOME OWNER HOUSEHOLDS PAYING IN EXCESS OF 50% FOR HOUSING
(RENT AND UTILITIES)

Lower income owner households paying in excess of 50% for housing		
Owner Households Characteristics	Number	Percent of Total Households
Total owner- occupied units (owner households)	6,105	100.0%
Total lower income (0-80% of HAMFI) owner households	1,690	27.7%
Lower income owner households paying more than 30% but less than 50%	290	4.8%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	45	0.7%
Income between 30%-50%	25	0.4%
Income between 50% -80%	220	3.6%
Lower income owner households paying more than 50%	755	12.4%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	285	4.7%
Income between 30%-50%	145	2.4%
Income between 50% -80%	325	5.3%
Lower income owner households paying more than 30%	1,045	17.1%
Extremely Low Income (0-30%)	330	5.4%
Income between 30%-50%	170	2.8%
Income between 50% -80%	545	8.9%

Source: CHAS Data Sets Table S10708 : <http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/cp.html>

Out of 10,310 total households in the City of Hollister, 4,205 households are identified as renter households and 6,105 as owner households. The data indicates that there are 1,290 or 30.7 percent of lower income household renters that pay more than 50% for rent and utilities. Out of these, 850 households make 0 – 30% of the area median income, 395 households make 30 to 50 percent of the area median income and 45 make 50 to 80 percent of the area median income. The data also indicates that there are 2,030 or 17.1 percent of lower income household renters that pay more than 30% for rent and utilities. Out of these, 1,000 households make 0 – 30% of the area median income, 705 households make 30 to 50 percent of the area median income and 325 make 50 to 80 percent of the area median income.

The data also indicates that there are 755 or 12.4 percent of lower income household owners that pay more than 50% for housing. Out of these 285 households make 0 – 30% of the area median income, 145 households make 30 to 50 percent of the area median income and 325 make 50 to 80 percent of the area median income. 1,042 or 17.1 percent of lower income owners pay more than 30% for housing.

2.1.e. HOUSING UNITS

In 2000, Hollister had a total of 9,954 housing units. Of these units, 6,466 were owner occupied and 3,275 were renter occupied. A total of 79.6 percent of total households resided in single-family dwellings in 2000.

**TABLE 22
OWNER/RENTER RATIOS BY HOUSING TYPE- 2000**

Units in Structure	Owner Occupied	Percent Owner	Renter Occupied	Percent Renter	Vacant Units	Total Units
1, Detached	5,945	91.9%	1,295	39.5%	150	7,390
1, Attached	229	3.5%	303	9.2%	0	532
2	26	0.4%	225	6.9%	9	260
3 or 4	5	0.1%	696	21.2%	21	722
5 to 9	15	0.3%	248	7.6%	2	265
10 to 19	5	0.1%	144	4.4%	0	149
20 to 49	0	0.0%	250	7.6%	10	260
50 or more	0	0.0%	69	2.1%	0	69
Mobile home	241	3.7%	45	1.5%	21	307
Total	6,466	100.0%	3,275	100.0%	213	9,954

Source: 2000 Census, Hollister Building Permit records

In 2010, Hollister had a total of 10,310 housing units. Of these units, 6,105 were owner occupied and 4,205 were renter occupied. A total of 76 percent of total households resided in single-family dwellings in 2010.

HOUSING STOCK BY TYPE OF VACANCY 2010

Geography	Total Housing Units	Rental Housing Units	Owner Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Vacant Housing Units	For Rent	For Sale Only	All Other Vacants	Vacancy Rate
Hollister City	10,310	4,205	6,105	9,860	450	201	141	108	5.2

2.1.f. SPECIAL NEEDS

As noted in Government Code Section 65583 (a)(6), within the overall housing needs assessments there are segments of the population that require special consideration. These are generally people who are low income and have less access to housing choices. These special housing needs groups include the elderly, disabled, female/single parent households, large families, farmworkers, and homeless. Housing opportunities for each of these segments of the population is important; various special needs housing types include multi-family rental housing, housing for agricultural workers, emergency (homeless)

shelters, transitional housing, supportive housing, single-room occupancy (SRO's), and mobile homes/factory built housing. A discussion of the availability of each type of special needs housing is included below.

2.1.f (1) Elderly

Many elderly households live in housing that costs too much or live in housing that does not accommodate specific needs for assistance. Due to various circumstances, an elderly household may have difficulties staying in their home community or near family. The purpose of this section is to determine the housing needs for all characteristics of the elderly community, defined as persons over the age of 65 years.

As the population of seniors in the City increases, so do their collective needs. Traditionally, the senior population has only represented a small proportion of the overall population of the City. Table 23 below indicates that in 1990, there were 1,930 seniors in Hollister, which represented 9.0 percent of the total population in the City. Between 1990 and 2000, the senior population increased by 17.5 percent annually. By 2008, the senior population had increased by 30.1 percent to 2,950. By 2013, the senior population was estimated to reach 3,377 persons, which equates to 9.0 percent of the total population.

TABLE 23
SENIOR POPULATION TRENDS (65+)

Year	Number	Change	% Change	Annual % Change
1990	1,930			
2000	2,268	338	17.5%	1.7%
2008	2,950	682	30.1%	3.8%
2013	3,377	427	14.5%	2.9%

Source: 1990, and 2000 Census, 2007 AnySite

Senior households comprise a moderate proportion of the total households within the City of Hollister (see Table 24). In the 2000 Census there were an estimated 1,338 senior households in the City, constituting 13.8 percent of the total City households. Comparatively, 15.5 percent of the City's households were seniors in 1990. Many seniors have special housing needs, such as the need for smaller and more efficient housing. Typical housing to meet the needs of seniors includes smaller homes and second units for independent living as well as assisted living facilities and nursing homes. As more and more baby boomers enter their senior years, the need for senior housing will continue to increase in Hollister.

The most current total households by tenure and age data indicates that there is a total of 1,596 senior households in the City of Hollister comprised of 1,245

owner occupied and 351 renter occupied. Senior households account for 15.4 percent of the total households in the City of Hollister as seen on Table 23a below.

TABLE 23(a)
TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS BY TENURE AND AGE (2007-2011)

Total Households by Tenure and Age (2007-2011)							
	San Benito County, Total		Hollister, California		San Juan Bautista,		Unincorporated Area
	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	
Total:	16,840	+/-207	10,357	+/-269	586	+/-94	5897
Owner occupied:	10,828	+/-452	6,257	+/-412	242	+/-75	4329
Householder 15 to 24 years	17	+/-19	17	+/-19	0	+/-13	0
Householder 25 to 34 years	907	+/-178	744	+/-175	0	+/-13	163
Householder 35 to 44 years	2,016	+/-270	1,270	+/-272	39	+/-22	707
Householder 45 to 54 years	2,944	+/-268	1,782	+/-243	41	+/-26	1121
Householder 55 to 59 years	1,271	+/-201	789	+/-181	25	+/-21	457
Householder 60 to 64 years	1,033	+/-149	410	+/-131	59	+/-49	564
Householder 65 to 74 years	1,630	+/-130	834	+/-143	61	+/-36	735
Householder 75 to 84 years	704	+/-154	258	+/-106	17	+/-20	429
Householder 85 years and over	306	+/-106	153	+/-72	0	+/-13	153
Renter occupied:	6,012	+/-444	4,100	+/-390	344	+/-80	1568
Householder 15 to 24 years	345	+/-140	267	+/-128	31	+/-25	47
Householder 25 to 34 years	1,589	+/-231	1,307	+/-221	17	+/-17	265
Householder 35 to 44 years	1,305	+/-220	888	+/-173	80	+/-44	337
Householder 45 to 54 years	1,340	+/-230	837	+/-197	89	+/-43	414
Householder 55 to 59 years	527	+/-187	273	+/-145	33	+/-30	221
Householder 60 to 64 years	309	+/-126	177	+/-110	42	+/-30	90
Householder 65 to 74 years	308	+/-95	159	+/-80	40	+/-29	109
Householder 75 to 84 years	195	+/-99	140	+/-97	9	+/-14	46
Householder 85 years and over	94	+/-50	52	+/-38	3	+/-5	39

Source: ACS 2011, 5 Year (B25007)


TABLE 24
SENIOR HOUSEHOLD TRENDS (65+)

Year	Number	Change	% Change	Annual % Change
1990	1,005			
2000	1,338	333	33.1%	3.3%
2008	1,507	169	12.6%	1.6%
2013	1,908	401	26.6%	5.3%

Source: US Census, AnySite

In 1990, 27.0 percent of the senior households in Hollister were renters. Change in the proportion of senior renters is dependent on the quantity of housing options and the propensity to convert from ownership. In 2000, the proportion of the City's senior renters actually decreased by 1.6 percent to 25.4 percent. This demonstrates a slight increase in homeownership rates among seniors.

CHART 2
SENIOR HOUSEHOLDS BY TENURE (1990- 2000)



Source: US Census

Table 25 below indicates that in 2000, 31.7 percent of all senior citizen households had incomes below \$20,000. By 2008, this percent has increased to 33.2 percent.

TABLE 25
SENIOR HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Income Ranges	2000		2008		2013	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less Than \$10,000	183	13.7%	211	14.0%	246	12.9%
\$10,000-\$19,999	241	18.0%	289	19.2%	332	17.4%
\$20,000-\$29,999	195	14.6%	255	16.9%	309	16.2%
\$30,000-\$39,999	144	10.8%	206	13.7%	244	12.8%
\$40,000-\$49,999	144	10.8%	148	9.8%	196	10.3%
\$50,000-\$59,999	112	8.4%	87	5.8%	118	6.2%
\$60,000-\$74,999	104	7.8%	143	9.5%	200	10.5%
\$75,000-\$99,999	60	4.4%	89	5.9%	146	7.7%
\$100,000+	155	11.5%	79	5.3%	117	6.2%
Total	1,338	100.0%	1,507	100.0%	1,908	100.0%

Source: US Census, AnySite

Eligibility for federal programs is based on the median income of the county or statistical area in which the project or program is located. In this case, eligibility is based on the 2008 area median income for San Benito County of \$62,400 for a two-person household (see Table 26). Using that as the basis, 11.2 percent of senior households in the City of Hollister are considered above moderate income, 15.3 moderate income, and 73.5 percent are in the extremely low, very low and low income groups.

TABLE 26
SENIOR HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME CATEGORY-2008
2008 Area Median Income (2-Person Household): \$62,400

Income Category	Income	Proportion of Senior Households in Hollister
Extremely Low	Less than \$18,720	30.7%
Very Low	\$18,721 - \$31,200	21.0%
Low	\$31,201 - \$49,920	21.8%
Moderate	\$49,921 - \$74,880	15.3%
Above Moderate	Greater than \$74,881	11.2%

Source: 2008 HCD State Income Limits, Estimated number of 2008 households by income applied to State Income Limits

In Hollister, 46.1 percent of senior households, or 144 households, are paying more than 35 percent of their income toward shelter (see Table 27). These senior households are cost burdened and would benefit from publicly assisted housing or other types of public assistance. In 2015 the area median income for a family of two in San Benito County increased by 4% to \$64,900.

TABLE 27
SENIOR HOUSEHOLDS BY SHELTER PAYMENT (2000)

Percent of Income for Shelter	Number	Percent
Less Than 20%	85	27.2%
20 to 24%	44	14.1%
25 to 29%	0	0.0%
30 to 34%	39	12.5%
Greater Than 35%	144	46.1%
TOTAL	312	100.0%

Source: US Census

As indicated by Table 28, according to the 2000 Census, 71.2 percent of the senior population has a disability, the majority of these having a physical disability. Only 7.0 percent of seniors had a self-care disability.

TABLE 28
SENIORS BY LIMITATION TYPE (2000)

Senior Limitation Type	Number	Percent of Total Senior Population
Sensory	266	11.7%
Physical	560	24.7%
Mental	239	10.5%
Self Care	160	7.0%
Going Outside the Home	389	17.1%
Total Seniors with Disabilities	1,614	71.2%

Source: 2000 Census Note: numbers are mutually exclusive

Several types of services and facilities are available for senior citizens within the City, including:

- Senior Housing: Currently, there is one Senior Complex, Prospect Villa Apartments, within the City. This complex is an 86 unit low-income project.
- Activities: Jovenes De Antano is a non-profit located at the Hollister Community Center. They operate a senior weekday lunch program, meals on wheels and an Alzheimer day care at the center. The City of Hollister allows use of the facility in kind for Jovenes De Antano. They also rely on grants (\$101,573 Federal and \$349,361 State), volunteers and donations for

operations in FY 08/09. They serve about 1,500 people per year with meals on wheels and congregate meals. Additionally, they serve about 65 people for lunches and 90 meals per day on weekdays.

- Transportation: The County of San Benito operates a fixed route and dial-a-ride bus system; both systems offer significant fare reductions for seniors and disabled residents.

2.1.f (2) Disabled Persons

Three types of disabled persons are considered as having special housing needs: Physically, Mentally, and Developmentally Disabled. Each type is unique and requires specific attention in terms of access to housing, employment, social services, medical services and accessibility within housing.

Per a Memorandum from Mr. Glen Campora of the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development to all planning directors and interested parties regarding persons with Developmental Disabilities SB 812 (Ashburn), Chapter 507, Statutes of 2010 dated June 21, 2012 housing elements must include an analysis of the special housing needs of the disabled including persons with developmental disabilities. Special needs groups often spend a disproportionate amount of their income to secure safe and decent housing and are sometimes subject to discrimination based on their specific needs or circumstances. Chapter 507, Statutes of 2010 (SB 812), which took effect January 2011, amended State housing element law to require the analysis of the disabled to include an evaluation of the special housing needs of persons with developmental disabilities. This analysis should include an estimate of the number of persons with developmental disabilities, an assessment of the housing need, and a discussion of potential resources. A "developmental disability" is defined as a disability that originates before an individual becomes 18 years old, continues, or can be expected to continue, indefinitely, and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual which includes mental retardation, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and autism. This term shall also include disabling conditions found to be closely related to mental retardation or to require treatment similar to that required for individuals with mental retardation, but shall not include other handicapping conditions that are solely physical in nature. The development of affordable and accessible homes is critical to expand opportunities for persons with developmental disabilities to live in integrated community settings. One of the biggest obstacles to living independently in the community is a lack of financial resources. Income is often limited and affordable housing where people can rent homes, apartments, duplexes, or mobile homes is crucial to the long term stability of a person with developmental disabilities. In addition, access to various types of supported living services is critical for persons with developmental disabilities to live as independently as possible. Appendix L includes the definition of developmental disabilities, a list of organizational resources, and a bibliography of relevant publications.

Many developmentally disabled persons can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. More severely disabled individuals require a

group living environment where supervision is provided. The most severely affected

Individuals may require an institutional environment where medical attention and physical therapy are provided. Because developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in supportive housing for the developmentally disabled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult.

The State Department of Developmental Services (DDS) currently provides community based services to approximately 243,000 persons with developmental disabilities and their families. According to the Census (see Table 29a), 27 percent or 426 of persons in the working age range of 5 to 64 years of age with a disability were employed. With no means to support daily living, those disabled persons who are not employed may be in need of housing assistance. Table 29b indicates data of persons with disabilities in the City of Hollister by type and age and Table 29c active consumers by zip code.

There are a number of housing types appropriate for people living with a development disability: rent subsidized homes, licensed and unlicensed single-family homes, inclusionary housing, Section 8 vouchers, special programs for home purchase, HUD housing, and SB 962 homes. The design of housing – accessibility modifications, the proximity to services and transit, and the availability of group living opportunities represent some of the types of considerations that are important in serving this need group.

Incorporating 'barrier-free' design in all, new multifamily housing (as required by California and Federal Fair Housing laws) is especially important to provide the widest range of choices for disabled residents. Special consideration should also be given to the affordability of housing, as people with disabilities may be living of a fixed income.

In order to assist in the housing needs for persons with Developmental Disabilities, the City will implement programs to coordinate housing activities and outreach with the Regional Center and encourage housing providers to designate a portion of new affordable housing developments for persons with disabilities, especially persons with developmental disabilities, and pursue funding sources designated for persons with special needs and disabilities.

Implementation Measure H.PP: Work with the California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) and their resources as well as the County of San Benito to implement an outreach program that informs families within the City on housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities. The program could include the development of an informational brochure, including information on services on the City's website, and providing housing-related training for individuals/families through workshops.

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: Development of Outreach Program by December 2016

Implementation Measure H.QQ: Develop a program to provide rental assistance to fill the gap between income levels and the cost of housing for persons with Developmental Disabilities. The program will include the following steps:

Step one: Work with DDS and their resources and the County of San Benito to identify the housing needs of the clients and assist in identifying available housing that meets those criteria.

Step two: Identify the gaps that limit access to housing for persons with developmental disabilities (i.e. financial, accessibility).

Step three: Develop Guidelines and market program.

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: Begin Program Development January, 2017.

Implementation Measure H.RR: Explore models to encourage the creation of housing for persons with developmental disabilities and implement a program by 2018. Such models could include assisting in housing development through the use of set-asides, scattered site acquisition, new construction, and pooled trusts; providing housing services that educate, advocate, inform, and assist people to locate and maintain housing; and models to assist in the maintenance and repair of housing for persons with developmental disabilities. The City shall also seek state and federal monies for direct support of housing construction and rehabilitation specifically targeted for housing for persons with disabilities.

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: January 2018.

Implementation measures H.PP, H.QQ, and H.RR are also located in the implementation measure section of this housing element in Section 4.3 addressing the Housing Element Goals and Policies in Section 4.2.

In 2000, a total of 8,936 persons in the City had some type of disability. Of these, 81.9 percent or 7,322 persons were between the ages of five (5) and 64 and the remaining 1,614 were 65 years of age or older.

CHART 3
DISABLED PERSONS BY AGE (2000)




TABLE 29(a)
Disabled Persons by Employment Status 2011

Table 12

Persons with Disability by Employment Status (ACS 2011)								
	San Benito County	Percent	Hollister	Percent	San Juan Bautista	Percent	Unincorporated	Percent
Age 5-64, Employed Persons with a Disability	660	25%	426	27%	18	16%	216	23%
Age 5-64, Not Employed Persons with a Disability	863	33%	537	34%	40	36%	286	31%
Persons Age 65 Plus with a Disability	1029	39%	560	35%	52	46%	417	45%
Total Persons with a Disability	2639	100%	1590	100%	112	100%	937	100%
% of Total Population (Civilian Non-institutional)	5%		5%		8%		6%	

TABLE 29(b)
Persons with Disability Type and age

Persons with Disabilities by Disability Type* and age (ACS 3 Yr Estimate 2009-2011)								
	San Benito County Countywide	Percent	Hollister	Percent	San Juan Bautista	Percent	Unincorporated County	Percent
Total disabilities tallied:	13,779	100%	8,936	100%	493	100%	4,350	100%
Total disabilities tallied for people 5 to 64 years:	10776	78.21%	7322	81.94%	319	64.71%	3135	72.07%
Sensory disability	700	5.08%	427	4.78%	24	4.87%	249	5.72%
Physical disability	1610	11.68%	1030	11.53%	50	12.17%	520	11.95%
Mental disability	1199	8.70%	737	8.25%	54	10.95%	408	9.38%
Self-care disability	534	3.88%	375	4.20%	17	3.45%	142	3.26%
Go-outside-home	2319	16.83%	1804	20.19%	61	12.37%	454	10.44%
Employment disability	4414	32.03%	2949	33.00%	103	20.89%	1362	31.31%
Total disabilities tallied for people 65 years and over:	3003	21.79%	1614	18.06%	174	35.29%	1215	27.93%
Sensory disability	486	3.53%	266	2.98%	34	6.90%	186	4.28%
Physical disability	1029	7.47%	560	6.27%	52	10.55%	417	9.59%
Mental disability	434	3.15%	239	2.67%	26	5.27%	169	3.89%
Self-care disability	338	2.45%	160	1.79%	27	5.48%	151	3.47%

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

Go outside-home disability	746	5.20%	389	4.35%	85	7.10%	292	6.71%
----------------------------	-----	-------	-----	-------	----	-------	-----	-------

Source: 2000 Census PCT041, Data unavailable in 2010 Census. ACS data available only for County as a total, including cities and unincorporated.

TABLE 29(c)

Active Consumers by Zip Code

DDS Quarterly Data on People with Developmental Disabilities Housing Needs per SB 812

Quarterly data: <http://www.dds.ca.gov/Facts5> <http://www.dds.ca.gov>

Data Limitations and Definitions:

http://www.dds.ca.gov/Facts5/docs/CDER_QuarterlyReport_Considerations.pdf

Active Consumers by Zip Code								
Zip Code	Community Care	Home Prnt/Grdn	ICF	Indep Living	Own Hm	Other	Grand Total	
95023	14	9	6	14	254	5	300	
95043					3		3	
95045					14		14	
95075					1		1	


Active Consumers by Zip Code and City (based upon CMF and CDER created 1/2/14)		
City	Zip Code	Consumer Count
Hollister	95023	242
Paicines	95045	3
San Juan Bautista	95045	8

2.1.f (3) Large Households

For the purposes of this section, a large family is defined as a household consisting of five or more persons. In some cases, the needs of larger families are not targeted in the housing market, especially in the multifamily market. This sub-section explores the availability of larger housing units in Hollister.

In the 2000 Census, 26.3 percent or 2,565 of the households in the City of Hollister consisted of five or more persons. At the same time, the County had 22.7 percent and the State had 16.0 percent.

**CHART 4
LARGE FAMILY COMPARISON (2000)**



Source: US Census

According to the 2000 Census, a majority of the City's housing stock is comprised of two, and three bedroom units, which is considered more marketable in the housing market. For example, 65.1 percent of the renter housing units and 62.8 percent of the owner housing units were either two or three bedroom units (see Table 30).

By 2011, 18.4 percent or 1,907 of the households in the City of Hollister consisted of five or more persons. At the same time, the County had 932 households.

TABLE 30
HOUSEHOLDS BY TENURE BY BEDROOM TYPE (2000)

Bedroom Type	Owner Households		Renter Households	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
0 BR	153	2.4%	196	5.9%
1 BR	288	4.4%	804	24.5%
2 BR	835	12.9%	1,283	39.2%
3 BR	3,231	49.9%	848	25.9%
4 BR	1,627	25.2%	137	4.2%
5+ BR	332	5.2%	7	0.3%
TOTAL	6,466	100.0%	3,275	100.0%

Source: US Census

Household Size by Tenure (Including Large Households) (2011)									
		San Benito County		Hollister, California		San Juan Bautista, California		Unincorporated	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Owner									
Householder living alone		1,834	16.9%	953	15.2%	68	28.1%		18.8%
Households 2-4 persons			67.6%		69.3%		64.9%		65.2%
		7,319		4,339		157		2,823	
Large households 5+ persons			15.5%		15.4%		7.0%		16.0%
		1,675		965		17		693	
Rental					0.0%				
Householder living alone		1,087	18.1%	646	15.8%	140	40.7%	301	19.2%
Households 2-4 persons		3,663	60.9%	2512	61.3%	123	35.8%	1028	65.6%
Large households 5+ persons		1262	21.0%	942	23.0%	81	23.5%	239	15.2%
Total:									
Total Householder living alone			17.3%		15.4%		35.5%		18.9%
		2,921		1,599		208		1,114	
Households 2-4 persons			65.2%		66.1%		47.8%		65.3%
		10,982		6,851		280		3,851	

Large households 5+ persons	2,937	17.4%	1,907	18.4%	98	16.7%	932	15.8%
--------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----	-------	-----	-------

Source: American Factfinder

Large households often have lower incomes, which frequently results in overcrowding in smaller dwelling units and in the acceleration of unit deterioration. According to the 2000 Census, there were 2,565 large

households in the City and 6,182 housing units of three or more bedrooms. This would indicate a more than adequate number of larger housing units

TABLE 31 HOUSEHOLD SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Household Size	1990			2000		
	Owner	Renter	Percent	Owner	Renter	Percent
1 Person	443	563	17.1%	696	567	12.9%
2 Persons	1,017	507	25.8%	1,561	671	22.9%
3 Persons	523	488	17.1%	1,091	571	17.1%
4 Persons	599	507	18.8%	1,406	612	20.7%
5 Persons	376	344	12.2%	873	415	13.2%
6 Persons	158	108	4.5%	478	258	7.6%
7 + Persons	145	118	4.5%	361	180	5.6%
Total	3,261	2,635	100.0%	6,466	3,275	100.0%

Source: 1990 and 2000 Census

2.1.f (4) Farmworkers

Generally, farmworker population contains two segments of farmworkers: permanent and migratory (seasonal); Hollister is no different. Varying employment estimation techniques result in diverse estimates of local agricultural employment. Nonetheless, a range of estimates of farmworkers in the State can be derived. By applying assumptions derived from surveys specifically targeted to farmworkers, an aggregate population (both workers and households) can be estimated. These estimates indicate that average annual employment of farmworkers in California is about 350,000, with peak period employment of about 450,000 within the State. This employment demand is filled by between 650,000 and 850,000 farmworkers within the State. Total population (including family members) associated with these workers is between 900,000 and 1.35 million persons.

According to the 2007 Census of Agriculture, San Benito County contains a total of 625 farms on 579,851 acres, which is a decrease in acreage over the 677 farms and 578,351 acres from the 2002 Census. Approximately, 56.9 percent of the farms are between one and forty-nine acres in size and 43.1 percent are more than 50 acres. About 36.5 percent of the farms (228 farms) hired farm labor for a total of 2,945 workers, however, 66.2 percent of the farm laborers worked less than 150 days.

There is limited agriculture within the City, however a significant number of farmworkers still live in Hollister that work in San Benito County. Farm workers earn some of the lowest wages relative to other workers and typically live below the poverty line. Many farm workers live in overcrowded housing conditions in Hollister, and some live in substandard and illegal units. While some farm workers are migrant workers in need of temporary housing, many are permanent residents; therefore, a need exists for more transitory housing as well as low-cost housing for year-round workers. Farm worker housing is permitted in the Residential Estate zoning district. Farm worker housing requirements prior to the 2009-2014 Housing Element were not specifically addressed or identified in the Zoning Ordinance, Title 17. In order that the City comply with the requirements of Health and Safety Code Sections, 17021.5 and 17021.6, a program was

included in the 2009 Housing Element (Program H.R 2009 Housing Element) to amend the Zoning Ordinance to permit farm worker housing as a permitted use not subject to any conditional approval, and to be considered in the same manner as other agricultural uses in the same zone. Since the adoption of the housing element the City amended the zoning code chapter 17 section 22 to allow additional dwellings housing up to,

but not exceeding, residential dwelling units for four employees of the land engaged full-time in agriculture on the farm or ranch. Additional dwellings for five or more employees engaged full time in agriculture require a conditional use permit. It should be noted that farmland under cultivation in the City is located in the airport industrial zoning districts. Much of this land is within the Airport Influence Area of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan for the Hollister Municipal Airport where any residential development is discouraged.

According to AnySite, 616 persons were employed in the farming, fishing and forestry occupations in the City of Hollister in 2008, which is an increase of 59 persons since the 2000 Census.

TABLE 32 FARMWORKERS - CITY OF HOLLISTER

	2000		2008	
	Number	Percent Total Employment	Number	Percent of Total Employment
Farming, Fishing and Forestry	557	3.7%	616	3.6%

Source: US Census, AnySite

Farmworkers - San Benito County		
Hired Farm Labor		
Farms	Workers	\$1,000 payroll
242	2169	29,249
Source: USDA Census of Farmworkers 2012		
Farmworkers by Days Worked - San Benito County		
150 Days or More		
	Farms	157
	Workers	1,118
	Farms with 10 or More Workers	
	Farms	29
	Workers	763
Fewer than 150 Days		
	Farms	150
	Workers	1,051
Source: USDA Census of Farmworkers 2012		
Link to upcoming 2012 AgCensus http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/index.php		

2.1.f (5) Single-parent Households

Single-parent households have special housing needs such as reasonable day care, health care, and affordable housing. The most significant portion of this group is the female-headed household. Female-headed households with children often have lower incomes, limiting their access to available housing. Many housing experts believe these households are especially at risk of housing cost burden or homelessness.

According to Table 33, the 2000 Census counted 5,292 family households with children 18 years old and under in the City of Hollister. Of these households, 844, or 15.9 percent, are headed by single females.

**TABLE 33
HOUSEHOLD TYPE AND PRESENCE OF CHILDREN
18 YEARS OLD AND UNDER - CITY OF HOLLISTER - 2000**

Household Type	Number*	Percent
Family Households	9,939	96.3%
With Children Under 18 Years Old	4,027	39.0%
With No Children	2,344	22.7%
Female Householder With Children*	844	8.2%
Female Householder With No Children*	343	3.3%
Male Householder With Children*	421	4.1%
Male Householder With No Children*	176	1.7%
Non-family Households	377	3.6%
TOTAL	10,316	

Source: 2000 Census * No spouse present

Note: Number of households is mutually exclusive.

Approximately 5.6 percent of the total family households in the City of Hollister were below the 2000 Census poverty level (see Table 34). Of these, 2.6 percent (257 households) were female-headed households. Approximately 20.3 percent of female-headed households that had children under 18 years old were below the 2000 Census poverty level.

**TABLE 34
HOUSEHOLDS BY POVERTY LEVEL
CITY OF HOLLISTER - 2000**

Family Households	Number	Percent
Total Families with Income in 1999 below Poverty Level	559	5.6%
Total Family Households	9,939	
Female Householder in 1999 below Poverty Level	257	21.7%
Female Single Parent Households with Children Under 18 years in 1999 below Poverty Level	241	20.3%
Total Female Householders	1,184	

Source: 2000 Census

2.1.f (6) Homeless Persons (Persons in Need of Emergency Shelter)

Homelessness continues as a regional and national issue, and also continues to be a concern locally. There are generally two types of homeless - the

"permanent homeless," who are the transient and most visible homeless population, and the "temporary homeless," who are homeless usually due to eviction and may stay with friends, family, or in a shelter or motel until they can find a permanent residence.

Generally, factors contributing to the rise in homelessness include a lack of housing affordable to lower income persons, increases in the number of persons whose incomes fall below the poverty level, reductions in public subsidies to the poor, alcohol and substance abuses, and the de-institutionalization of the mentally ill. Homeless people, victims of abuse, and other individuals require housing that is being met by the traditional housing stock. These people require temporary housing and assistance at little or no cost to the recipient.

Due to their transient nature in Hollister as elsewhere, it is difficult to count the number of homeless; however, according to the Homeless Task Force of San Benito County in February 2009, there are approximately 100 homeless adults (over 18) in the City. Seasonal farmworker and day-laborer populations are most appropriately classified as part of the temporary homeless population. The San Benito County Homeless Task Force operates winter only shelter services (with a capacity of 25 beds) and food bank services. Other homeless service providers in the community include the Salvation Army (food bank and clothes closet), the Community Pantry (food bank), and Fishes and Loaves (food program).

HOMELESS FACILITIES

Facility Type	Family Beds	Adults Only	Child Only Beds	Total Year Round Beds	Seasonal
Emergency Shelter	94	122	0	216	84
Transitional Housing	406	300	0	706	n/a
Permanent Supportive Housing	79	157	0	236	n/a
Total	579	579	0	1,158	84

Source: Continuum of Care or HUD; www.hudhre.info
https://www.onecpd.info/reports/CoC_HIC_State_CA_2012.pdf

Note: Numbers are provided for the Salinas/Monterey and San Benito County Continuum of Care for which San Benito County is a participating member. The total number of homeless persons in the Salinas/Monterey and San Benito County Continuum of Care in 2012 was 1,761. Of these, 794 chronically homeless.

Special Needs Resources/Emergency Shelters/Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Units

Residential occupancy or single room occupancy (SRO) hotels can be an important component of the special needs housing picture. SRO's can provide low cost housing for those in the extremely low and very low income categories, and can also play a role in the transitioning process from homelessness to more permanent housing.

The City's current zoning ordinance Section 17.22.120 allows homeless shelters by right in the North Gateway zoning district. Bus service is available to locations within the North Gateway district on San Felipe Road. The North Gateway district is also the closest non-industrial district in proximity to the umbrella of support services at the San Benito County Health and Human Services Agency, located at 1111 San Felipe Road, but is also within walking distance of the courts, library, and annex to the local junior college in the central downtown area of Hollister. Appendix C includes a list of vacant properties and sites with strong potential to provide capacity for a shelter, totaling over 12 acres, all located within the North Gateway district. Sites include vacant parcels, and existing non-conforming residences, apartments and/or motels that are prime candidates for adaptive reuse projects.

The City's current zoning ordinance allows SRO units. Emergency shelters are not defined in specific zones. Residential occupancy or single room occupancy (SRO) hotels can be an important component of the special needs housing picture. SRO's can provide low cost housing for those in the extremely low and very low income categories, and can also play a role in the transitioning process from homelessness to more permanent housing. The City's current zoning ordinance does not allow emergency shelters by right, SRO units are permitted with a Conditional Use Permit in the Downtown Mixed Use (DMU) district. The City shall continue to encourage the development of SRO's through identification of potential locations and through City assistance with grant writing for their development. Please see the Policies and Programs chapter found at the end of this element for the full program definition.

San Benito County generally provides the majority of the services for the homeless. The primary method of providing emergency shelter to homeless individuals and families in San Benito County is motel voucher programs, dispersed through various divisions of San Benito County Health and Human Services (HHS), and through several community-based organizations.

San Benito County Community Services and Workforce Development provide a temporary, emergency shelter during winter months for homeless

families. The County uses vacant cabins at the Migrant Housing Center. The shelter operates from December through March. Space is limited.

Although the City does not have the population of homeless persons to support a full-time shelter, church-based organizations, and the Salvation Army do provide some homeless services such as referrals, meals to low-income residents and a clothing closet.

Special Needs Resources/Transitional and Supportive Housing

Transitional Housing is defined as interim housing helping families move from homelessness to self-sufficiency by providing short-term housing (usually two years) at extremely low rent to qualified families; assistive services for life skills may be an inclusive part of the housing. Supportive Housing has no limit on the length of stay, and typically offers a wider array and more intensive services to individuals transitioning from homelessness, including counseling, case management, health treatment, in addition to life skills.

As per Section 17.22.120 of the Hollister Zoning Ordinance, Transitional Housing facilities are included under the definition for Homeless Shelters,

and are identified as Small or Large Temporary Residential Shelters (LTRS or STRS). Supportive Housing is not identified. Large and Small Temporary Residential Shelters are permitted in the North Gateway (NG) zoning district provided that each establishment meets specified objective location and operational standards.

A use permit is required for homeless shelter or transitional facilities in residential, mixed use, or commercial districts. *Program H.D.* of the 2009-2014 Housing Element requires a Zoning Ordinance amendment to clarify that Transitional and Supportive Housing shall be treated as residential uses of property subject to the same requirements as other residences in the same district. In order to comply with SB2, the words "by right" shall be added to the code section clearly indicating homeless shelters are allowed by right in the North Gateway, district without any discretionary approval. Since the adopting of the 2009-2014 Housing Element the zoning code has been amended to comply with Program H.D.

San Benito County Community Services and Workforce Development operate a six-month transitional homeless shelter, in Hollister, in conjunction with Community Services Development Corporation. The transitional shelter program works with families who are homeless and seek to secure permanent housing. The program provides services for parents to seek and maintain employment or to gain the skills necessary for obtaining employment. The program emphasizes the parents developing the financial

resources to obtain permanent housing to move into after completion of the program. Assistance is provided in locating and securing permanent housing.

Manufactured Housing and Mobile Homes

Pursuant to Table 17.04-1 *Residential Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of Section 17.04.020 *Residential Zone Land Uses and permit Requirements* of the Hollister Municipal Code Manufactured Home is a permitted use with a permanent foundation as other residential uses and subject to the same restrictions in the residential zoning districts except in the R4 High Density Residential Zoning Districts where no single family residential unit is permitted to allow for multifamily development.

Manufactured housing and mobile homes provide a viable housing alternative to segments of the population. State law states that any site that can be developed for site-built housing must also be available for the development of manufactured housing and mobile homes. GC Section 65852.3 requires that cities allow installation of certified manufactured homes on foundation systems, as per GC Sect. 65852.3, on lots zoned for conventional single family residences. This section and GC Section 65852.4 require that manufactured homes be subject to the same land use regulation as conventional homes. GC Section 65852.7 deems mobile home parks to be a permitted use in all areas planned and zoned for residential use, although use permit requirements may apply. According to the US Census, there were 307 mobile homes in Hollister in 2000. Section 17.22.150 of Hollister's zoning code currently limits mobile

homes and manufactured housing to approved locations within established mobile home parks. Mobile homes and manufactured housing are not expressly permitted as a use by right in single family districts. As part of this Housing Element, and in adopted to implement the General Plan, a Zoning Ordinance amendment has been done to establish mobile and manufactured homes on foundations as permitted uses in the R1 Single Family Residence District and the RA Residence Agricultural District subject to other applicable zoning and development standards in the same fashion as a single family use.

2.1.g. OVERCROWDING

An overcrowded unit is defined by the Census as having 1.01 persons or more per room, excluding kitchens and bathrooms. A severely overcrowded unit has 1.5 or more persons per room. Generally, a room is defined as a living room, dining room, bedroom, or finished recreation room.

While family size and tenure are critical determinants in overcrowding, household income also plays a strong role in the incidence of overcrowding. As a general rule, overcrowding levels tend to decrease as income rises,

especially for renters. The rate of overcrowding for lower income households is generally nearly three times greater than households over 95 percent of the area median income. As with renters, owner households with higher incomes have lower rates of overcrowding.

Between 1980 and 1990, the percentage of overcrowded households in California nearly doubled from 6.9 percent to 12.3 percent. Census 2000 reports more than 15 percent of California households were overcrowded with overcrowding most common among low-income households, and most prevalent in renter housing. Roughly 24 percent of renter households statewide were overcrowded; in some counties, nearly a third of renter households were overcrowded. One quarter of all overcrowded renter households contained more than one family. Of all owner and renter overcrowded households, estimates are that 8.9 percent are severely overcrowded (more than 1.5 persons per room). As indicated in Table 35, 7.0 percent of owner-occupied households and 12.7 percent of renter-occupied units are considered to be severely overcrowded. Of all units, 17.4% are considered to be overcrowded, 27.1% of renter-occupied households are overcrowded, and 12.4% of owner-occupied households are overcrowded.

**TABLE 35 OVERCROWDED UNITS
BY TENURE - 2000**

Persons per Room	OWNER		RENTER		TOTAL OVERCROWDED	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
0.50 or less	3,074	47.5%	1,088	33.2%	4,162	42.7%
0.51 to 1.00	2,589	40.0%	1,300	39.7%	3,889	39.9%
1.01 to 1.50	354	5.5%	472	14.4%	826	8.5%
1.51 to 2.00	283	4.4%	252	7.8%	535	5.5%
2.01 or more	166	2.6%	163	4.9%	329	3.4%
Total	6,466	100.0%	3,275	100.0%	9,741	100.0%
% Overcrowded by Tenure	803	12.4%	887	27.1%	1690	17.3%
Source: 2000 Census						

OVERCROWDED HOUSEHOLDS - 2012

			San Benito County, California	Hollister
			Estimate	Estimate
Total:			16,840	10,300
Owner occupied:			10,828	6,257
0.50 or less occupants per room			7,312	3,921
0.51 to 1.00 occupants per room			3,028	2,034
1.01 to 1.50 occupants per room			403	262
1.51 to 2.00 occupants per room			35	23
2.01 or more occupants per room			50	17
Renter occupied:			6,012	4,100
0.50 or less occupants per room			2,541	1,510
0.51 to 1.00 occupants per room			2,626	1,982
1.01 to 1.50 occupants per room			501	365
1.51 to 2.00 occupants per room			254	153
2.01 or more occupants per room			90	90
Owner Occupied	Overcrowded	1.01 or more	488	302
Renter occupied	Overcrowded	1.01 or more	845	608
Total overcrowded		1.01 or more	1333	910
Owner Occupied	Severely Overcrowded	1.5 or more	85	40
Renter occupied	Severely Overcrowded	1.5 or more	344	243
Total severely overcrowded		1.5 or more	429	283
Source: ACS 2008-2012 Table B25014				

In 2012 there were 302 owner occupied households and 608 rental households that experienced overcrowding in the city of Hollister and 40 owner occupied and 243 rental households that experienced severely overcrowding with an average of 1.5 or more persons per room.

SECTION 2.2.a

INVENTORY OF RESOURCES

2.2.a. EXISTING HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The City of Hollister has grown significantly in the last 18 years, increasing by over 92 percent. In 1990, Hollister had 6,222 dwelling units and by 2000 there were 9,928 dwelling units, an approximately 59.9 percent increase. In 2008 there were 10,584 housing units, an approximately 6.3 percent increase from 2000. Overall, in the past 18 years the City has increased its housing stock 70.1 percent.

The market for housing in the City of Hollister was restricted during the period of 2002-2008 as a result of the wastewater treatment related moratorium on growth and development. Prior to that, the City experienced a significant amount of single family home building; approximately 75% of homes in Hollister are single family dwellings. This in turn has created a need for alternative housing types in the City, including apartments, condominiums and other types of residences, available to all income groups. Other patterns of growth have continued to affect the City as well, including the influx of people moving from other regions to locate in Hollister.

**TABLE 36
HOUSING UNITS BY TYPE - CITY OF HOLLISTER**

Unit Type	1990		2000	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Single Family	4,513	72.5%	7,902	79.6%
2-4 Units	882	14.2%	979	9.9%
5+ Units	516	8.3%	741	7.5%
Mobile Home & Other	311	5.0%	306	3.0%
TOTALS	6,222	100.0%	9,928	100.0%

Source: 1990, 2000 US Census, 2008 Department of Finance

HOUSING UNITS BY TYPE-CITY OF HOLLISTER - 2015

Unit Type	Number	Percentage
Single Family	8,159	75.8%
2-4 Units	1,242	11.5%
5+ Units	995	9.2%
Mobile Home & Other	361	3.3%
Totals	10,757	100%

Source: 2015 California Department of Finance

2.2.b. HOUSING CONDITIONS

During the summer and fall of 2008, the City of Hollister, Department of Development Services utilized in-house staff to gather primary data and tabulate the information regarding the condition of housing units in the City. Areas of the City, for purposes of establishing general

conditions, were analyzed according to Census Tracts and Block Groups.


Results of the survey can be seen in Table 37 below. Of the 9,012 units surveyed by the City, 7,313 units (81.1 percent) are in sound condition. However, approximately 1,693 units (18.8 percent) of Hollister's housing stock are deemed to be in need of some form of rehabilitation. The need for rehabilitation exists if the condition is classified as Minor, Moderate or Substantial. The city has approximately 1,502 units (16.7 percent) in need of minor repairs, 186 units (2.0 percent) in need of moderate repairs and 5 units (0.1 percent) in need of substantial repairs. As many as 6 units are considered Dilapidated and should be considered for demolishing. With approximately 11 units in need of either substantial rehabilitation or deemed dilapidated, the City may have a need to place further emphasis on a residential rehabilitation program.

TABLE 37
HOUSING CONDITIONS, CITY OF HOLLISTER

Census Tracts - Block Groups	CONDITION OF UNITS										
	Sound		Minor		Moderate		Substantial		Dilapidated		TOTAL
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1-2	12	70.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
3-1	263	74.5%	86	24.4%	4	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	353
3-2	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
3-3	355	81.0%	69	15.7%	14	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	438
4-1	379	72.5%	109	20.8%	29	5.5%	4	0.8%	2	0.4%	523
4-2	275	67.4%	91	22.3%	38	9.3%	1	0.3%	3	0.7%	408
4-3	309	70.2%	102	23.2%	29	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	440
4-4	228	65.7%	113	32.6%	6	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	347
5-1	339	76.9%	70	15.9%	32	7.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	441
5-2	732	81.8%	156	17.4%	7	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	895
5-3	1,207	86.6%	186	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1,394
6-1	171	74.7%	58	25.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	229
6-2	294	73.3%	97	24.2%	10	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	401
6-3	1,151	89.0%	131	10.1%	11	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,293
7-1	917	86.6%	142	13.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,059
7-2	296	82.0%	64	17.7%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	361
7-3	378	93.8%	21	5.2%	4	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	403
SURVEYED HOUSING UNITS											9,012

Generally, housing conditions can be described by the age of the units, exempting diligent maintenance. Since building codes change with time and technology, the older housing units are the most likely to be considered substandard or in marginal condition. Approximately 16.2 percent of the housing stock in the City of Hollister was built before 1960. An additional 8.7 percent was built between 1960 and 1969, while more recently, 6.1 percent of the housing units were built between 2000 and 2008. From 2009 to 2014 the annual growing rate for residential dwelling units has been at nearly 16.5% with a total of 395 residential dwelling units constructed. The City of Hollister estimates that housing conditions are approximately the same since 2008 because there have not been major improvements throughout the city with the exception of property owners obtaining building permits for standard maintenance on their property such as re-roofs after 15 years or more of the previous roof change and approximately 2 residential units per year since the adoption of the last housing element in 2009 that obtained a resurface permit for wood or stucco siding on their home. Since 2008 there has been an average of about two code enforcement violations for the improvement of dilapidated housing.

CHART 5
HOUSING UNITS BY YEAR BUILT -CITY OF HOLLISTER



Source: 2000 Census; 2008 SOCDS

2.2.c. RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION TRENDS

From 2000 to 2008, 663 housing units have been constructed in the City of Hollister. Of the new units, 93.5 percent were single-family units. A total of 42 (6.5%) multi-family units have been built since 2000. From 2009 to 2014, 395 housing units have been constructed in the City of Hollister. Of the new units, 73 percent were single-family units. A total of 108 (27%) multi-family units have been built since 2009.

Based on the figures in Table 38, an average of 81 building permits have been issued each year between the years 2000 and 2008. An average of 65 building permits have been issued each year between the years of 2009 and 2014. Depending on the market conditions, the City of Hollister, in fact, any city, will experience changes in the total number of building permits issued over time. Going forward, an average of 165 units per year needs to be constructed in order to meet the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) of 1,316 for 2015-2023. The

City is able to demonstrate, based on units approved and pending construction, and based on vacant land as well as recently annexed parcels, that they have the capacity to meet the RHNA. Section 2.2.b contains a more in-depth discussion of the City's sites inventory.

TABLE 38
BUILDING PERMITS BY YEAR - CITY OF HOLLISTER

Year	Single Family Units	Duplexes	3-4 Unit Multi-family	(5+ Units) Multi-family	Total Units
2000	358	0	0	0	358
2001	210	0	0	40	250
2002	33	2	0	0	35
2003	2	0	0	0	2
2004	1	0	0	0	1
2005	0	0	0	0	0
2006	1	0	0	0	1
2007	1	0	0	0	1
2008*	15	0	0	0	15
2009	33				33
2010	19				19
2011	62			104	166
2012	28				28
2013	34			5	39
2014	110				110
Total	907	2	0	149	1058

*Source: City of Hollister Building Department,

2.2.d. VACANCY TRENDS

Vacancy trends in housing are analyzed using a "vacancy rate" which establishes the relationship between housing supply and demand. For example, if the demand for housing is greater than the available supply, then the vacancy rate is low and the price of housing will most likely increase. Additionally, the vacancy rate indicates whether or not the City has an adequate housing supply to provide choice and mobility. HUD standards indicate that a vacancy rate of five percent is sufficient to provide choice and mobility.

In 2000, the Census reported a vacancy rate of 2.14 percent in the City of Hollister. The California State Department of Finance (DOF) Population Research Unit publishes an annual estimate of population, housing units, vacancy, and household size for all incorporated cities in the State. In 2008, the DOF estimated the vacancy rate for Hollister to be 2.10 percent. In 2015, the DOF estimated the vacancy rate for Hollister to be 5.2 percent. It should be noted that the DOF estimate is for all housing unit types and does not exclude seasonal, recreational, occasional use or other vacant. According to the 2000 Census, 32.7 percent of the vacant units are rental units and 15.0 percent of the vacant units are for seasonal, recreational, or occasional use.

2.2.d (1) Multifamily Vacancy

In January 2008, Laurin Associates conducted a vacancy survey of existing rental properties within Hollister. A total of 780 multifamily units were surveyed. The survey found that there were 27 vacancies within the City of Hollister, for an overall vacancy rate of 1.2 percent. Most managers reported that turnover is rare, waiting lists are long, and when vacancies do occur the units are rented very quickly.

2.2.d (2) Single Family Vacancy

According to the Multiple Listing Service, there were a total of 41 homes and condominiums for sale in the City of Hollister in February 2009. The estimated vacancy rate for single-family dwellings in 2008 was 2.1 percent, based on a total of 8,505 single-family units. As a rule, this vacancy rate indicates a normal housing market with limited choice and mobility. However, between 2008 and 2009 there were numerous homes in some stage of foreclosure. The high number of foreclosures skews the discussion of a vacancy rate. Homes that are currently in foreclosure as a result of the market crisis are considered outside the normal discussion of residential vacancies. The analysis below provides a discussion of the current state of foreclosed properties.

2.2.d(3) Foreclosure Vacancy

According to Realtytrac.com, Hollister has approximately 66 homes that are at some stage of foreclosure as of December 11, 2015. In March 2, 2009 according to Realtytrac.com, Hollister had approximately 883 homes that were at some stage of foreclosure. The City of Hollister City Council has been active in addressing this problem, adopting an ordinance in early 2008 addressing nuisance problems associated with such foreclosures. During that time

- 475 homes are currently bank-owned properties
- 135 homes are scheduled for trustee sale
- 6 pre-foreclosure homes are on the market for re-sale
- 267 homes are in pre-foreclosure, have received notices of default

The City is taking an active role in addressing the foreclosure issue in Hollister. Section 4.0 (Program H.A) of the 2009-2014 Housing Element included a program administered by the former Redevelopment Agency to help address the significant number of foreclosures within the City. The former Hollister RDA funded a program called the "Foreclosed Home Reactivation Program" to assist in returning foreclosed homes to occupied status. The City will continue to conduct public workshops providing foreclosure prevention information to property owners. Additionally, the City will continue to implement the Property Maintenance Ordinance in an attempt to prevent deterioration of neighborhoods with high foreclosure rates.

2.2.e. HOUSING COSTS AND AFFORDABILITY

One of the major barriers to housing availability is the cost of housing. In order to provide housing to all economic levels in the community, a wide variety of housing opportunities at various prices should be made available. The following table describes the acceptable monthly payment for households (family of four) in the five major income groups: Extremely-Low Income, Very-Low, Low, Moderate and Above-Moderate.

**TABLE 39
INCOME GROUPS BY AFFORDABILITY (2008)**

Income Group	Income Range	Monthly Payment Range*
Extremely Low	Less than \$23,400	Less than \$585
Very Low	\$23,401 - \$39,000	\$585-\$975
Low	\$39,001 - \$62,400	\$975- \$1,560
Moderate	\$62,401 - \$93,600	\$1,560 - \$2,340
Above Moderate	Greater than \$93,601	Greater than \$2,340
Source: 2008 California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, San Benito County		
*NOTE: affordable housing is up to 30% of income used toward gross monthly housing costs.		

**INCOME GROUPS BY AFFORDABILITY (2015)
AVG. HOUSEHOLD INCOME OF \$1,100 FOR A FAMILY OF 4**

Income Group	Income Range	Monthly Payment Range*
Extremely Low	Less than \$24,350	Less than \$608.75
Very Low	\$24,351 - \$40,550	\$608.75-\$1,013.75
Low	\$40,551 - \$64,400	\$1,013.75- \$1,610
Moderate	\$64,401 - \$97,300	\$1,610 - \$2,432.50
Above Moderate	Greater than \$97,301	Greater than \$2,432.50
Source: 2015 Median Income Fam. of 4 Department of Housing and Community Development		
*NOTE: affordable housing is up to 30% of income used toward gross monthly housing costs.		

In the previous program period, due to the high cost of housing in Hollister, significant subsidies were needed to make housing affordable to low and moderate-income households. To make projects feasible, developers must rely on a variety of federal, state, and local funding and financing sources. All funding sources require separate reporting and data collection. When multiple funding sources are used, as is usually necessary, additional burdens are placed on developers with limited staffing to track the information required and report on a timely basis.

California localities receive federal subsidies for affordable housing through a number of programs. Like state programs, federal programs often change in terms of program details, application procedures, and amount of subsidy dollars available. State agencies also play an important role in providing housing assistance by allocating federal housing funds and/or making loans available to affordable housing developments. The three principle agencies involved are the State Treasurer's Office, the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA), and the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Competition for available funding is keen and is expected to become more intense in view of current and projected government deficits.


Local government resources, which have played a less important role in the past supporting housing development, now play a fairly significant role by making local developments more

competitive for federal and state financing. There is considerable competition for the program funds that are available, and any one development will need to draw upon multiple resources to be financially feasible. When developments are able to demonstrate a financial commitment and contribution from local sources – especially if coupled with regulatory support through policies such as fast-track processing, fee waivers, and/or density bonuses – they have a much higher chance of ensuring a project's success.

2.2.e(1) Single-family Sales Units

Since 2003, the median single-family home sales price ranged from a low of \$383,000 to the 2006 high of approximately \$615,000. From 2004 to 2006, home prices increased by approximately 50 percent. Since that time, the peak prices have declined by 55 percent. The median home price in 2008 was \$272,000, 55 percent lower than the 2006 peak. The Hollister median home price is currently \$499,999. This volatility in median sales price is due in part to the speculative housing demand and problematic lending standards that have resulted in housing problems throughout the State and nation.

CHART 6
MEDIAN SALES PRICE TREND -CITY OF HOLLISTER



Source: Onboard Information, February 2009

2.2.e (2) Current Single Family Listings

During February 2009, 38 single-family units were listed for sale in Hollister, with the majority priced in the \$103,900 to \$299,000 range. According to RealtyTrac there are currently 55 properties in Hollister listed for sale and the median list price is \$499,999.

TABLE 40
FEBRUARY 2009 SALES LISTINGS FOR SINGLE FAMILY HOMES

PRICE RANGE	NUMBER OF UNITS AVAILABLE
Below \$100,000	0
\$100,000- \$149,999	2
\$150,000- \$199,99	7
\$200,000- \$299,999	20
\$300,000- \$399,999	6
above \$400,000	3

Source: Multiple Listing Service, February 2009

During February, 3 condominium properties were listed for sale in Hollister, all selling for between \$115,000 and \$142,900.

TABLE 41
FEBRUARY 2009 SALES LISTINGS FOR CONDOMINIUMS

PRICE RANGE	NUMBER OF UNITS AVAILABLE
Below \$100,000	0
\$100,000- \$149,999	3
\$150,000- \$199,99	0
\$200,000- \$249,999	0
\$above \$250,000	0

Source: Multiple Listing Service, February 2009

The estimated median condo value in 2013 according to the US Census in the City of Hollister was \$289,499.

2.2.e (3) Rental Units

According to the 2000 Census, the median rent was \$720 in the City of Hollister, compared to \$715 for San Benito County. Based on information provided by a local realtor, the average market rents in 2009 ranged from \$800 for a two-bedroom unit to \$1,400 for a four-bedroom unit. According to the US Census the median gross rent in 2013 for the City of Hollister was \$1,290. The average market rents in 2015 range from \$1,400 for a two-bedroom unit to \$2,500 for a four bedroom unit.

The identified rental apartments in the City of Hollister are a combination of affordable and market rate units. There are currently four complexes providing affordable housing under HUD, USDA, and LIHTC programs for families, farm-workers, and seniors. The affordable housing complexes range in size from 19 units to 116 units in size. Home rentals are somewhat more expensive, and range from \$1000 to \$2,500.

TABLE 42
2009 AVERAGE RENTS

Bedroom Type	Average Market Rents
Two-Bedroom	\$900
Three-Bedroom	\$1,150
Four-Bedroom	\$1,400

Source: The Pivetti Company, February 2009

2.2.e (4) Affordability

Affordability is defined as a household spending 30 percent or less of household income for shelter. Shelter is defined as gross rent or gross monthly owner costs. Gross rent is the contract rent, plus utilities. In most cases, the contract rent includes payment for water, sewer and garbage. Gross monthly owner costs

include mortgage payments, taxes, insurance, utilities, condominium fees, and site rent for mobile homes. As noted in Table 20 in the previous section, in 2008, 28.4 percent of renter households paid in excess of 30 percent of their income for shelter while 26.8 percent of owners overpaid in 2000. To put this in perspective, the maximum rent that can be charged to be considered affordable housing based on income is reflected in the following Table 39. The average rents listed in Table 38 are less than the maximum affordability for low income households. Despite this trend for increasing rents, Hollister has remained relatively affordable. For instance, while households within the very low-income categories could not afford the average market rents within the City, most market rents are affordable to those households within the low-income category.

TABLE 43
2008 AFFORDABLE RENTAL RATES

Income Range	Maximum Affordability				
	Studio	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four Bedroom
Extremely Low	<\$409	<\$438	<\$526	<\$607	<\$678
Very Low	\$682	\$731	\$877	\$1,013	\$1,131
Low	\$1,091	\$1,169	\$1,403	\$1,620	\$1,809
Moderate	\$1,636	\$1,218	\$2,104	\$2,431	\$2,714
Above Moderate	>\$1,636	>\$1,218	>\$2,104	>\$2,431	>\$2,714
Source: California Tax Credit Allocation Committee Maximum Rent Schedule 2008, San Benito County					

While shelter costs for rental units are estimated to be affordable at 30 percent of gross income, households are generally able to obtain a mortgage loan based on 35 to 40 percent of gross income. This is subject to individual credit and budgeting conditions and those with less revolving loan-type debt can usually find financing for a more expensive home.

2.2.f.AT - RISK HOUSING

California Housing Element Law requires all jurisdictions to include a study of all low-income housing units which may at some future time be lost to the affordable inventory by the expiration of some type of affordability restrictions. The law requires that the analysis and study cover a five-year and a ten-year period, coinciding with updates of the Housing Element. Expiration of the low-income use period of various financing sources, such as Low-income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC), bond financing, density bonuses, California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME funds and redevelopment funds.

2.2.g INVENTORY OF AT RISK RENTAL HOUSING UNITS

The following inventory includes government assisted rental properties in the City of Hollister that may be at risk of opting out of programs that keep them affordable to very low and low income households over the five year Housing Element Period (2009-2014) and for the subsequent five years (2019). Generally, the inventory consists of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) subsidized and USDA Section 515 properties that are at risk. As indicated by the California Housing Partnership Corporation, target lower income populations including extremely-low income groups, very-low income groups, and seniors. At-Risk units indicate units that may convert to market rate within 5 years, a Lower Risk project may convert within 5- 10 years.

The process of selling out of affordable programs is a thorough and lengthy process which requires notices to local government and local housing authorities. Appendix D of this housing element lists housing non-profit organizations known to both the State and local governments as being interested in acquiring at-risk units and maintaining affordability for the life of the structure.

The listed deed-restricted affordable housing developments in Hollister that are at risk of converting to market rate (see Table 44 below) utilize the Rural Development's Section 515 direct mortgage program; some were originally FarmHome projects and some were originally Section

8. This program granted 40-year loans with a 1 percent interest rate. Many loans were pre-payable in 20 years, and all projects had Section 8 or rental assistance. The City will continue to emphasize the importance of maintaining and preserving at-risk units; as evidenced by Implementation Program H.S in Section 4.0.

**TABLE 44
AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS AT RISK OF
CONVERSION**

PROJECT	# OF UNITS	COMPLETION DATE	FINANCING PROGRAM	HOUSING TYPE	At Risk or Lower Risk
Villa Luna 850 Hillcrest	116	1985	Section 515 originally (Section 8)	Low income rental	Lower Risk
Prospect Ave. Sr. Apts. 190 East Park St.	19 (1 Manager unit)	1985	Section 515	Senior, Very low	At Risk
Prospect Villa II 970 Prospect Ave.	42	1992	Section 515	Low Income Senior Multifamily	Lower Risk (2017)
Prospect Villa 1 998 Prospect Ave.	14	1998(2002)	Section 515	Senior and Very Low	Lower Risk (2018)
Park Street 110 Park St.	40	1984	Section 515	Low Income	Lower Risk
Rancho Park 1200 Rancho Drive	54	1993	Section 515	Low Income	Lower Risk
Rustic Gardens 190 Sierra Ct.	19 (1 manager unit)	1994	Section 515	Low Income	Lower Risk
Westside Terrace 1350 Westside Blvd.	15	N/A	Section 515	Low Income	Lower Risk
Lado Del Rio Apartments 900 Del Rio Rd.	42	10/28/2005 Acquisition/Rehab	Section 515	Low Income	Lower Risk
Total Units	361				

Source: James Pappas, Manager of Housing Preservation & Policy Research California Housing Partnership on 2/17/2016.

2.2.hCOST ANALYSIS

In order to provide a cost analysis of preserving "at-risk" units, costs must be determined for rehabilitation, new construction, or tenant-based rental assistance.

2.2.h(1) Rehabilitation

The factors used to analyze the cost to preserve at risk housing units include acquisition, rehabilitation, and financing costs. Both new construction and rehabilitation utilize an assumption of 1,000 square foot units, on a typical market rate 40 unit project. These figures are estimates since actual costs will depend on

condition, size, location, existing financing, and the availability of financing. Information from similar projects, in addition to the cited sources, has added helped in formulate the numbers in the following tables.

**TABLE 45
REHABILITATION COSTS**

Fee/Cost Type	Cost per Unit
Acquisition	\$74,985
Rehabilitation	\$39,000
Financing/Other Costs	\$35,015
TOTAL COST PER UNIT	\$149,000

Source: Laurin Associates, Marshall and Swift Residential Cost Handbook 2004.

2.2.h (2) New Construction/Replacement

The following cost estimates are estimates based on recent new apartment construction in San Benito County. The actual replacement costs for any of the at-risk units will depend on many variables such as the number of units, location, density, unit sizes, on and off-site improvements, and both existing and new financing. Again, local developers have provided information.

**TABLE 46
NEW CONSTRUCTION/REPLACEMENT COSTS**

Cost/Fee Type	Cost Per Unit
Land Acquisition	\$24,000
Construction	\$265,000
Financing/ Other Costs	\$79,500
TOTAL PER UNIT COST	\$368,500

Source: Laurin Associates, Chelsea Investmant Corp.

2.2.i.PRESERVATION RESOURCES

Efforts by the City to retain low-income housing must be able to draw upon two basic types of preservation resources: organizational and financial. Qualified, non-profit entities need to be made aware of the future possibilities of units becoming "at-risk". Should a property become "at-risk"

the City maintains an active list of resources in which to preserve that property. A list of potential organizational preservation resources is provided in Appendix E of this document.

In addition, the City of Hollister has identified procedures for actively monitoring noted at-risk properties, with the goal of preserving at-risk units. Identified procedures include:

- Monitoring the "Risk Assessment Report" published by the California Housing Partnership Corporation (CHPC) to assess federally financed projects.
- Maintain regular contact with the local HUD office regarding early warnings of possible opt-outs.
- Maintain contact with the owners and managers of existing affordable housing to determine if there are plans to opt-out in the future, and offer assistance in locating eligible buyers.
- Develop and maintain a list of potential purchasers of at-risk units and act as a liaison between owners and eligible purchasers.
- Ensure that all owners and managers of affordable housing are provided with applicable State and federal laws regarding notice to tenants of the owner's desire to opt-out or prepay. State law requires a 12 month notice.

The following is a list of potential financial resources considered a part of the City's overall financial plan to preserve and retain affordable units. The number and availability of programs to assist cities and counties in increasing and improving their affordable housing stock is limited, and public funding for new projects is unpredictable. The following is a list of local, state and federal programs.

- Hollister Successor Agency- the successor agency sets aside 20% of its tax increment funds for the production or retention of affordable housing.
- Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program and Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) - The HOME Program was created under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act enacted on November 28, 1990. The City of Hollister participates in State of California Small Cities program for both the HOME funds and for CDBG funds
- Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) - Federal law requires that banks, savings and loans, thrifts, and their affiliated mortgaging subsidiaries, annually evaluate the credit needs for public projects in communities where they operate. Part of the City's efforts in developing preservation programs will be to meet with the Community Reinvestment Act Lenders Group organized by the County to discuss future housing needs and applicability of the Community Reinvestment Act. Although an unpredictable resource, it is important to establish a working relationship for future problem solving.
- Low-income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC) - The LIHTC Program provides for federal and state tax credits for private and non-profit developers and investors who agree to set aside all or an established percentage of their rental units for households at or below 60 percent of AMI for no less than 30 years. These tax credits may also be utilized on rehabilitation projects, contributing to the preservation program.

- The Affordable Housing Program (AHP) and Community Investment Program (CIP) are facilitated by the Federal Home Loan System for the purposes of expanding the affordable housing supply. The San Francisco Federal Home Loan Bank District provides service to San Benito County, and throughout California. Subsidies are awarded on a competitive basis usually in the form of low-interest loans and must be used to finance the purchase, construction, and/or rehabilitation of rental housing.
- The Predevelopment Loan Program, conducted through HCD, provides the funds to pay the initial costs of preserving existing affordable housing developments for their existing tenants. Priority is given to applications with matching financing from local redevelopment agencies or federal programs.
- Rural Seed Money Loan Programs, operated through the Housing Assistance Council operates revolving loan funds to provide seed money for rural housing and development projects intended to benefit very low- and low-income persons.
- Preservation Financing Program, operated through California Housing Finance Agency (CHFA), offers tax exempt financing for the acquisition or refinancing of a project with an expiring Section 8 contract.

2.2.j. SUCCESSOR AGENCY (FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY)

The City of Hollister had a redevelopment agency to provide staff support for administering rehabilitation funds for low-income homeowners and other housing programs. By State law, the Hollister Redevelopment Agency would set aside 20 percent (%) of the gross tax increment revenues received from the Redevelopment Area into a low to moderate income housing fund for affordable housing activities. Table 47 below provides historical figures of the set aside funding until 2009. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.

**TABLE 47
HOLLISTER FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, SET-ASIDE FUNDING
HISTORICAL**

Year	Set-Aside RDA Funding
2004	\$1,296,240
2005	\$1,387,843
2006	\$2,088,511
2007	\$2,192,173
2008	\$2,300,240
2009	\$2,412,900
Total	\$11,677,907

2.2.k. RESIDENTIAL ZONING AND DENSITY

Zoning districts specify minimum lot size, permitted uses, conditional uses, building height and front, rear, and side yard setbacks. Zoning districts further the health, safety, and welfare of the residents. Zoning standards originate from the General Plan. In the City of Hollister, residential zoning targets all income groups. The City has made it very clear that residential development is welcome and supported.

Transitional and Supportive Housing. Per SB2 Government Code Section 65583 At least one zone shall be identified to permit emergency shelters without a conditional use permit or other discretionary action: Per Section 17.22.120 of the Hollister Municipal Code *Small Temporary Residential Shelters (STRS) or Large Temporary Residential Shelter (LTRS)* these uses are permitted by right in the North Gateway (NG) Zoning District.

All new proposed development in the residential zoning districts is subject to a discretionary review by the city and required entitlements by the City of Hollister Planning Commission whether through a site and architectural review process, a conditional use permit or both to ensure that all buildings meet the general development standards in Section 17.04 of the Hollister Municipal Code in order to promote unified and distinct development and for the orderly development and good quality of life to the residents and to meet the city's residential general development standards and transitional housing, and supportive housing, are subject to the same restrictions as other residential uses and not treated differently. The residential standards include but are not limited to open space, parking, the mitigation of any effect of the layout of the development on traffic conditions and patterns on surrounding streets and for compliance with requirements for a Circulation Plan in Section 17.18.030 and supplemental standards in the applicable zoning district for; the layout of the site with respect to locations and dimensions of vehicular pedestrian entrances, exits, drives and walkways; the adequacy of off-street parking facilities to prevent congestion; the location, arrangement and dimensions of loading and unloading facilities; the circulation pattern within the boundaries of the development, the surfacing and lighting of off-street parking facilities.

The Development of multifamily and transitional and supportive housing going through a discretionary process can appear to be as if the city was posing a constraint to development. The City does not want to pose any constraints to multifamily and transitional and supportive development rather it encourages the development of multifamily and transitional and supportive housing. Program H.D. of the 2009-2014 Housing Element requires a Zoning Ordinance amendment to clarify that Transitional and Supportive Housing shall be treated as residential uses of property subject to the same requirements as other residences in the same district. In order to comply with SB2, the words "by right" shall be added to the code section clearly indicating homeless shelters are allowed by right in the North Gateway, district without any discretionary approval. Since the adoption of the 2009-2014 Housing Element the zoning code has been amended to comply with Program H.D. Transitional and supportive housing is permitted by right and does not require a conditional use permit when it's permitted by right.

The entitlement process is for "new construction" and not for tenant improvements which is reviewed administratively only. The entitlement process for "new development" is streamlined as best as possible consisting of the following program currently in place for the City:

Step 1. The Development Services receives the development application and prepares the staff report and sends the report along with supportive information to other departments for review including but not limited to Building, Engineering, Fire, Police, and Public Works. Timing: (1 week)

Step 1 brings the process at one week after application submittal.

Step 2. All departments have one week to review and at the end of the week officials from each department meet all together to go over the proposals and a determination is made as to whether the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) applies or if the project can be categorically exempt per CEQA. Timing: (1 week) Step 2 brings the process at two weeks after application submittal.

Step 3. The applicant receives a comment letter indicating if the application is complete or if there are any additional information required or if any changes need to be made to the proposal for health and safety reasons. Timing: The applicant has one week to make any possible changes. Pending an exemption from CEQA for the proposal Step 3 brings the process at three weeks after application submittal.

Step 4. A public notice is scheduled and posted at least 10 days prior to the public hearing and proper notification is sent. Step 4 brings the process at 5 weeks after the application submittal.

Step 5. Planning Commission. Step 5 brings the process at 6 weeks after the application submittal.

Step 6. Applicant can apply for building permits with planning commission approval. Building Department responds whether with an approval or with comments within two weeks of submittal.

Findings that are made by the planning commission include as follows:

1. Approval of the project will not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality and the site can be served adequately by all required utilities and public services.

2. The proposed use is properly located in relation to the General Plan and to the community as a whole, and to other land uses, and to transportation and service facilities in the vicinity.

3. The proposed project complies with all conditions upon which approval is made contingent, and will not adversely affect other property in the vicinity, or cause any damage, hazard or nuisance to persons or property.

4. The design and construction of the project will not result in any significant environmental impacts.

5. The project as proposed is consistent with the City of Hollister General Plan.

Manufactured Home. Pursuant to Table 17.04-1 *Residential Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of Section 17.04.020 *Residential Zone Land Uses and permit Requirements* of the Hollister Municipal Code Manufactured Home is a permitted use with a permanent foundation as other residential uses and subject to the same restrictions in the residential zoning districts except in the R4 High Density Residential Zoning Districts where no single family residential unit is permitted to allow for multifamily development.

Employee Housing Act. State law known as the Employee Housing Act, establishes the California Department of Housing and Community Development, Division of Codes and Standards, as the primary agency to carry out the provisions of the Act. In carrying out the Act, the Department must adopt regulations, conduct inspections and investigations, prepare annual and periodic statistical reports to the legislature, and evaluate the performance of local agencies who elect to assume responsibility for enforcement of the Act and Department regulations.

within their respective jurisdictions. The City of Hollister meets the employee housing act. The City's Employee Housing Act conforms to Section 17021.5 and 17021.6 of the Health and Safety Code.

2.2.k.(1) Zoning

Title 17 of the Hollister City Code provides the zoning provisions for the City. Residential zoning for the City of Hollister is defined as follows:

RE - Residential Estate District (1 du/5 Acres).

The Residential Estate (RE) zoning district provides for residential development at densities up to one dwelling unit per 5 net acres; it is intended to provide for very low intensity residential development where all urban services may not be required. The RE District is intended to provide sites for larger, distinctive residences. The RE zoning district is consistent with the Residential Estate (RE) land use category of the General Plan.

R1 - Low Density Residential District (1-6 du/ Acre)

The Low Density Residential (R1) zoning district includes areas substantially developed with existing single family residential residences prior to 2005 with densities of one to 6 dwelling units per net acres. The R1 zoning district is consistent with the Low Density Residential (LDR) land use category of the General Plan.

R2 - Two Family Residential District (7-12 du/ Acres)

The Two Family Residential (R2) zoning district provides for duplexes or two-family dwellings. Areas designated R2 were substantially developed prior to 2005 with two single-family residential units on a lot or duplexes. The R2 zoning district is consistent with the Medium Density Residential (MDR) land use category of the General Plan.

R3 - Medium Density Residential District (8-16 du/ Acres)

The Medium Density Residential (R3) zoning district provides for medium and medium to high density residential development at densities from 8 to 16 dwelling units per net acres.

Areas designated R3 were substantially developed prior to 2005 and are generally characterized by apartments and other high density residential development, and planned residential development at a density of 16 dwelling units per acre. The R3 zoning district is consistent with the Medium Density Residential (MDR) and High Density Residential (HDR) land use category of the General Plan.

R4 - High Density Residential District (12-35 du/ Acres)

The High Density Residential (R4) zoning district provides for high density residential development at densities from 12 to 35 dwelling units per net acre. Areas designated R4 were substantially developed prior to 2005 and are generally characterized by apartments and other high density residential development, and planned residential development at a density of 16 or more dwelling units per acre. The R4 zoning district is consistent with the High Density Residential (HDR) land use category of the General Plan.

Residential Performance Overlay Zone District (R-1 L/PZ, R-3 M/PZ and R-4 H/PZ)

This overlay district applies to vacant land within the City of Hollister. The intent of the overlay district is to foster development that meets the range of densities for the General Plan land use designation with the option for flexible standards to implement policies and programs in the General Plan. A project approved in this zone may have a variety of housing types and densities but the zoning ordinance requires that the overall average development density of the development adhere to range of the minimum and maximum density allowed in the applicable district. This means that an apartment complex could be constructed in the Low Density Residential R1-L Performance Overlay zone along with single family homes as long as the density ranged between one to eight units per acre. Conversely, some single family residential units could be constructed in the R3-M Performance Overlay zone provided that the development density is not less or more than the minimum of maximum allowed in the district of eight to 12 units per acre.

An average development density of one to eight units per net acre is now required in the R1 L/PZ overlay zone with a targeted density of at least six units per net acre. An average development density of eight to 12 units per acre is required in the R3 M/PZ overlay zone and an average of 12 to 35 units per acre is required in the R4 H/PZ overlay zone. The overlay zoning will provide an incentive for property owners to include a variety of housing types with new residential development.

For example the recent allocation for the project, 'The Villages' is a residential project in the R1-L/PZ overlay zone. Over four acres of the site is within the 100 year flood plain. With the performance overlay zone, the allocated project integrated flexible lot sizes ranging from 3,200 square feet to 5,500 square feet while maintaining an overall net development density of six units per acre and avoiding development of the flood hazard area. The varied lot sizes will provide a wider range of prices for market rate units. In the past, this type of project would typically be approved with either 1) A standard residential development with residential lots sizes of 6,000 to 7,700 square feet and avoidance of the flood hazard area would have reduced the overall build-out of the property; or 2) a Planned Unit Development which would require an amendment to the zoning map and longer processing times for City Council ordinance amendments and additional application fees.

There are two Housing Programs included in this Housing Element to stimulate the construction of affordable multi-family affordable housing particularly in the R3-M and R-4 H Performance Overlay zones. Program H.N. commits the City of Hollister to establishing a new R4-20 zoning district with a minimum development density of 20 units per acre by the end of 2009. Development in this district would meet the default density for affordable housing. Program H.O triggers a rezone for projects in a Performance Overlay zone that propose a portion of the residential development for lower residential development densities than the district allows. The City will then initiate the rezoning of a remaining portion of the property to the R4-20 zoning district to assure that at least the average minimum development density of the property of the applicable zoning district is met and that affordable multi-family housing will be constructed.

The Performance overlay zone also provides flexibility to accomplish other general plan goals. General Plan policy calls for transitions between established neighborhoods. With the performance overlay zone, the Rajkovich project was designed to place larger market rate homes next to an established neighborhood with similar lots sizes. The interior of the development was designed with small lots which provided space for an on-site recreation area and again more diversity in the size and types of single family homes.

The overlay district calls for the following measures:

- a. A variety of lot sizes and choices for housing types in all zoning districts.
- b. New development with interesting street patterns, site planning and neighborhood design.
- c. Connections of the new development with the rest of the City for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- d. Incorporation of on-site recreation, pedestrian links between adjoining properties, trails or easements in the vicinity of drainages and water courses in new development.
- e. Clustered development that meets the average General Plan density for the property while avoiding development in areas with environmental constraints such as flood, seismic, liquefaction, special habitat area.
- f. Lot design and building orientation to provide solar access.

- g. Sensitive integration of the scale and mass of new development preserves the character and scale of existing residential neighborhoods.

Lands with R-1 L/PZ overlay zone predominantly include vacant land within the City of Hollister in the Low Density Residential (1-8 units per net acre) land use category of the General Plan. An average development density of 1 to 8 units per net acre is required in this overlay zone with a targeted density of at least six units per net acre.

Areas with the R-3 M/PZ overlay zone comprise vacant land within the City of Hollister in the Medium Density Residential (8-12 units per net acre) land use category of the General Plan. An average development density of 8 to 12 units per net acre is required in this overlay zone.

Areas included in the R-4 H/PZ overlay zone encompass vacant land within the City of Hollister in the High Density Residential (12-35 units per net acre) and use category of the General Plan.

HO - Home/Office District (8-12 du/Acres)

The Home Office (HO) zoning district is primarily a medium density residential zoning district that provides the option for small scale professional office uses, artist/craft studios, beauty salon and specialty cottage businesses in the same area around the central core of the community. Residential densities may range from detached single-family housing, to lower density multi-family housing. It is the intent of this district to preserve the essential historic characteristics of the General Plan 'Old Town Residential Area' of Hollister while providing a transitional zone between the downtown commercial uses and older residential uses. The HO zoning district is consistent with the Home Office (HO) land use category of the General Plan. The residential development density is 8 to 12 units per net acre.

OT - Old Town District

The Old Town (OT) zoning district applies to the residential neighborhoods that include the original town of Hollister, as laid out by the San Juan Justo Homestead Association, its early subdivisions, and adjacent older residential areas. Within this district are numerous lots of unusual size and shape, many of which were originally designed to accommodate stables, barns, and other outbuildings. In addition many areas of this district are occupied by a varied mix of residential types and densities. The majority of the housing stock was constructed prior to World War II. Some of the homes in this district are listed in the National Monterey Street Historic District. South of Fourth Street, the Old Town (OT-M) zoning district is consistent with the Medium Density Residential Land use category of the General Plan with residential development densities from 8 to 12 dwelling units per net acre. Areas within the OT-M district could have single family homes, duplexes, fourplex, cottages and housing on small lots. This district also encompasses the General Plan Old Town Special Planning Area. North of Fourth Street, the Old Town (OT-H) district is consistent with the High Density Residential category of the General Plan with residential

development densities from 12 to 35 dwelling units per net acre. The Old Town-H district provides for infill development of small lots, apartments and other high density residential development.

The additional purpose of the OT-M district is to provide for the infill of detached single family dwellings on small lots and small scale medium density dwellings where the minimum density is not less than eight (8) units per net acre and the maximum density is not more than twelve (12) units per net acre without density bonus.

The additional purpose of the OT-H district is to provide for the infill of detached, attached, medium density and multifamily dwellings where the minimum density is not less than sixteen (16) units per net acre and the maximum density is not more than thirty five (35) units per net acre without density bonus.

RWF - Residential, West Fairview Road District (2-8 du/ Acres)

The RWF (West Fairview Road district) establishes zoning regulations for the area defined by the West Fairview Road Specific Plan. The West Fairview Road Specific Plan provides for comprehensive land use, circulation and infrastructure plans, development and design policies, housing strategies and implementation programs for guiding and ensuring the orderly development of the plan area. The intent of these regulations is to implement the specific plan and the City General Plan, as well as to allow for a mix of housing types and densities. All of the land in the Residential, West Fairview Road District is part of an approved 667 unit subdivision with 50 very low-income apartments, 50 low-income apartments, 60 moderate-income duettes and the balance consisting of market rate single family homes.

Mixed Use

The City has adopted three new Mixed Use zoning districts, the Downtown Mixed Use District, the Neighborhood Mixed Use District, and the West Gateway (Mixed Use) District. The mixed use districts will encourage retail ground floor uses with a mix of office and residential uses on one to two floors above the ground level or a mix of commercial and high density residential development in an area. The mixed use districts are located in the West Gateway, in the historic central commercial downtown core of Hollister along with industrial re-use areas east and south of downtown, and in infill sites near a newer commercial area in the southern area of the city. The three mixed use areas are discussed in more detail below. Many incorporated lots that have been rezoned to mixed use are currently vacant, while others contain commercial and reuse of industrial uses. The City approved a density range of 20 to 35 units per acre for the West Gateway mixed use area, 20-25 for the Neighborhood Mixed Use areas and a high density of 25 to 40 units per acre for the Downtown Commercial Mixed Use area. At this density, additional housing capacity exists for the approximate 2,000 units. It is the City's expectation and goal that a mixture of housing prices will be built within the mixed-use districts affordable

to lower income households as well as moderate and above moderate income households.

Approximately 50 acres of mixed use could be available for reuse over the long term as some heavy industrial land uses transition out of the downtown area and east of downtown.

DMU - Downtown Mixed Use

The Downtown Mixed Use (DMU) zoning district encompasses the historic commercial core of Hollister and portions of the district are part of a National Historic district. The replacement of the previous General Commercial District with a mixed use district now provides for a vertical or horizontal combination of commercial and residential uses around the central core of the community. The designation is intended to encourage ground floor, pedestrian friendly, retail sales and service uses with upper floors of office and residential uses. The DMU District should be a unique destination with restaurants, theaters, boutique retail, neighborhood convenience stores, restaurants, regionally-oriented specialty stores, medical and dental offices, and residential densities of 25 to 40 units per net acre. Drive-through windows and outdoor car, truck and auto sales and auto repair are prohibited.

Currently, there are at least 12 historic buildings in the downtown with second floors that were previously used for residential units, a hotel or other uses but are now substantially vacant. The mixed use district allows re-use of these buildings for residential uses, in addition to commercial uses. Within the Downtown Mixed Use district, there are also approximately 11 vacant lots that are now available for development due to the recent lifting of the sewer moratorium.

NMU - Neighborhood Mixed Use

The Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) zoning district provides for pedestrian-oriented commercial uses of low intensity and of a neighborhood character which serves the convenience retail and service needs of nearby residents and high-density residential at densities of 25 to 35 units per net acre. The properties in the NMU district east and south of downtown Hollister are in a transitional area where industrial uses are identified to be replaced with mixed use. There are also single-family homes on small lots in portions of this district that are prime candidates for higher density housing, with participation in the City's lot consolidation program. Capacity for additional units in this area would further add to opportunities for residential development, including homes affordable to lower income households. The other properties in the NMU district are contiguous to the neighborhood shopping centers, on the newer south central area of the city (Tres Pinos/Sunnyslope Road) with supporting neighborhood-related convenience businesses.

WG-West Gateway

The new West Gateway (WG) mixed-use zoning district replaced the previous Highway Commercial and Industrial zoning districts at the west entrance to Hollister. The change reflects the realignment of Highway 156 away from this commercial area. The new West Gateway mixed use district provides for a series of parcels with neighborhood commercial, rather than highway commercial, and multi-family residential uses as a retail-oriented entry boulevard at the west entrance to the City of Hollister near the San Benito River. The designation is intended to provide convenience services to regional traffic on Highway 156, encourage community shopping, retail and offices with medium to high density residential uses at a density of 20 to 35 units per net acre outside of downtown Hollister. The City of Hollister Redevelopment Agency has committed to installing a gateway sign, landscaping and lighting improvements in the West Gateway in 2010. Such improvements include a possible median for traffic calming that will enhance the west entrance to the City, improving pedestrian corridors and stimulating new development.

2.2.k. (2) Density

Residential densities permitted in the City of Hollister are identified in the General Plan. The Zoning Code is a tool that implements the densities identified in the General Plan Land Use Chapter. They provide the basis for land use decision in the City as well as the specific requirements and standards. The City recently adopted a new Zoning Code, Title 17 to implement the densities in the General Plan, bringing consistency between the two documents.

The General Plan contains a range of densities providing for rural residential uses in the Residential Estate district density of 1 unit per 5 net acres in the lower end of the residential densities, up to a 12 to 35 units per net acre in the High Density Residential district. The General Plan also makes provision for mixed use opportunities; home office uses, open space requirements, and contains specific plan overlay designations. All land uses in the General Plan are implemented in the new Zoning Code.

It should be noted that the City Council directed staff to revisit the off-street parking standards for multi-family residences to provide a better correlation between the number of bedrooms in a multi-family unit and the parking requirements. It is possible that the spaces required for studios and one-bedroom units will be reduced while spaces for units with three or more bedrooms will be increased. The City Council has also directed staff to amend the Zoning Ordinance to allow review of proposed mixed use/residential development in the Measure Y exemption area on a case-by-case basis rather than with the current requirement to use the R4 residential standards (see Program H.E). The intent is to stimulate development in the Measure Y exemption area for the variety of development types, including re-use of upper floors, infill of small and medium vacant lots, and opportunities for lot consolidation.

TABLE 48
RESIDENTIAL ZONING, USEABLE DENSITY, OFF-STREET PARKING

Zoning Category	Minimum Lot Size	Density Type	Density Per Acre	Off-Street Parking
RE	5 Acre	Very Low	1 unit/5 acre	2 spaces
R1	5500	Low	1 unit/8 acre	2 Spaces
R2	8000	Medium	8-12 units/acre	A
R3	5000	Medium	8-12 units/acre	A
R4	8000	High	12-35 units/acre	A
R1 /LPZ	2,500 with a performance agreement	Average Low	1- 8 units/acre	2/ A
R3 /MPZ	2,500 with a performance agreement	Average Medium	8 - 12 units/acre	2/ A
R4/HPZ	7,000	Average High	12-35 units/acre	A
HO	Same as Old Town	Medium	8-12 units/acre	A (One per resident or per 250 square feet for commercial office uses)
OT (M)	5000	Medium	8-12 units/acre	A
(H)	5,500	High	12-35 units/acre	
RWF	6,000		2-22	2-3 car garage
DMU	Flexible (Programs H.E & H.H)	High	25-40 units/acre	A
NMU	7,500	High	25-35 units/acre	A
WG	7,500	Medium/High	20-35 units/acre	A

Source: Hollister Title 17

Note

A: Two spaces per unit; 0.50 space per unit for guest parking.

Second Units

A second unit dwelling is a small accessory unit in addition to the main house (dwelling unit) on a single lot. Sixteen accessory units were approved during the last program period but only five were built due to the moratorium. The City anticipates the construction approximately 50 second units during the 2015-2023 Housing Element period. The estimate is based on the level of interest demonstrated to the Planning Department, and on the desire and willingness of the City to encourage such units. The likelihood of the units serving lower income needs is high based on inquiries of property owners interested in housing an elderly family member because of high health care costs and limited assisted living facilities in the city, but cannot be demonstrated by past

activity. Therefore, the units may serve moderate and above moderate income needs as well. Second units have the following benefits:

- (1) They provide flexibility for the owner of the main home, an apartment for elderly parents, or a source of income;
- (2) When rented they can help make home-ownership affordable for the owner of the home;
- (3) They can provide flexibility for seniors or other homeowners who rent their primary dwelling because they still want to live in the same neighborhood;
- (4) They provide lower cost housing because the units tend to be small and there are no extra land costs;
- (5) They typically provide lower cost housing for younger households (under 34) and seniors (65 years plus), and these are the demographic groups that have been identified as having the most significant low-income housing need in Hollister;
- (6) They are easier to fit into existing neighborhoods since they are small and are often attached to the main house.

In accordance with State law AB 1866, Section 17.22.040 Accessory Secondary Residential uses of the City Zoning Ordinance (part of Title 17, adopted in December, 2008), permits second units in any zoning districts where single family residences are allowed as a permitted land use and eliminates the need for a Conditional Use permit or any other discretionary review. Second units must conform to all zoning and development standards of the applicable district, identified at Section 17.22.040 of Title 17, excluding density standards. The ordinance requires a minimum lot size of 7,000 square feet for interior lots and 8,000 square feet for knuckle or corner lots. The maximum floor area of a second unit is 850 square feet, and the minimum floor area is 150square feet. Off-street parking is required for the second unit, in addition to the parking requirement for the main dwelling unit. The second unit is required to be architecturally compatible with the main unit, but the process is now a ministerial process.

In order to overcome the burdensome process of abating illegal second units, and face the possibility of eliminating an important source of affordable housing, the City approved what has come to be known as an "amnesty program" for such units. Under Section 17.22.040 of Title 17, Accessory Secondary Residential Structures, through an administrate permit only process, are allowed to be converted from an illegal structure, to a conforming legal unit. New second units are of course subject to regular building permit processing and complying with standards for an accessory second unit.

The City of Hollister expects second units to continue to be an important source of affordable housing. Market rents for such units are typically affordable to low and moderate-income families.

2.2.1. INFRASTRUCTURE AVAILABILITY

2.2.1 (1) Wastewater Service and Capacity

The City currently treats domestic and industrial wastewater at its new regional immersed membrane bioreactor (MBR) wastewater treatment plant located on the west side of the City, on the north side of San Juan Hollister Road. The plant has a design capacity of 5.0 million gallons per day (MGD) and produces effluent that meets Title 22 requirements for disinfected tertiary recycled water. The City of Hollister currently treats 2.1 million gallons per day. The city has adequate capacity for receiving and the treatment of flows within the region that the treatment plant serves. This service area encompasses the city, sphere of influence and the planning area of the city's land use plan in general. Because of the capacity of the flow there are no restrictions or prioritization occurring for any development, affordable or market rate, within the City of Hollister City Limits. The city's sewer provider has been notified of the requirement to have a priority program in place to serve sewer for affordable housing.

In accordance with the implementation Long Term Wastewater Management Plan (LTWMP) filed with the Water Quality Control Board, the City is currently implementing a long-term program of phased improvements for the disposal and reuse of reclaimed wastewater including spray fields, non-potable irrigation for landscaping, and certain agricultural uses. The new facility expands the City's ability to provide wastewater treatment service for the next 10 years, with an additional five years of expansion possible with the expansion of the membrane biological reactor. The City will continue to implement efforts to improve water quality and also to implement the water conservation measures.

2.2.1 (2) Water Service and Capacity

The City of Hollister is served by the City water system in the west and central area and the Sunnyslope County Water District (SCWD) is the east and southeast portion of the City. All the development approved or proposed in the City is located within these established service areas and will be served by these agencies; therefore, water capacity is sufficient to serve the identified needs within the City. In accordance with the implementation measures in the General Plan Community Services and Facilities Element, the City will continue to work to identify additional water sources in order to provide adequate water for the additional housing units projected in the City's Sphere of Influence as the City expands beyond its current City limits.

There is plenty of capacity within the current production system and planned upgrades for both City of Hollister and Sunnyslope Water (which serves the eastern portion of the City). Because of this there are no restrictions or prioritization occurring for any development, affordable or market rate, within the City of Hollister City Limits. The city's water providers has been notified of the

requirement to have a priority program in place to serve water for affordable housing.

There is enough capacity to meet the RHNA for lower income households for water and sewer in the City of Hollister. In general, water quality is regulated by the State Water Resources Control Board through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. The goal of the program is to control and reduce pollutants to water bodies from point and non-point discharges for both long-term project activities and construction activities. The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (regional board) issues and enforces NPDES permits for discharges to water bodies in the portion of Monterey County that drains to the Monterey Bay. Storm water from the city drains into the San Benito River and the Pajaro River, which eventually empties into the Monterey Bay. As identified in the city's general plan EIR, groundwater is used in conjunction with surface water to meet water demands within the Gilroy-Hollister underwater Basin (page 4.10-8). "Conjunctive use" of groundwater and surface water can result in a combined yield that is greater than the sum of the separate yields of the surface water and groundwater components. This is achieved by using stored groundwater to supply most of the demand during droughts, when surface water deliveries are curtailed. During wet periods, surface water is used to meet most of the demand, and groundwater storage is allowed to recover.

Per the 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan the total water demand within the city in 2010 was approximately 2,859 acre-feet per year (average of 2.6 million gallons per day). Groundwater supplied most of this water demand. (Todd Engineers 2011). The per capita water use for the city (which includes not only direct residential water use, but also indirect water uses that benefit residents such as irrigation, commercial uses, industrial uses, and other municipal uses) is 149 gallons per day (Todd Engineers 2011, Table 3-6.a). The RHNA water demand would be served by city's existing and planned levels of groundwater extraction, as identified in 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan, and would not cause groundwater levels to drop to the extent that a net deficit in the 16,000 acre-feet per year sustainable capacity of area groundwater aquifers, or the 9,950 acre-feet per year available to Hollister and Sunnyslope in the future would occur.

The City of Hollister entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with San Benito County, and the San Benito County Water District to address the provision of adequate water supplies in the City and surrounding areas. The two primary measures are to implement a Groundwater Management Plan and to develop and implement the Hollister Urban Water (and Wastewater) Master Plan. Development of future sources and delivery mechanisms, paid for by future

development projects, will ensure adequate water supplies through this Housing Element period. Measures being considered by the City to ensure adequate future water supplies include the following:

- Purchase additional water supplies
- Obtaining access to water from the San Felipe Project
- Percolation of the San Felipe Project water into the underlying aquifers
- Monitoring groundwater levels and the quantities of water recharged to and extracted from the underlying sub-basins
- Sharing water resources data between the agencies to allow for responsible decisions regarding water supply development and land use planning
- Developing policies regarding the provision of service to community water systems and small local water systems.

SECTION 2.2.b

2014-2023 SITES INVENTORY

In addressing the estimated housing needs identified in the Housing Needs Assessment section of this housing element, State law requires that this element contain *"An inventory of land suitable for residential development, including vacant sites and sites having potential for redevelopment..."* This inventory must identify adequate sites which will be made available through appropriate zoning and development standards and with public services and facilities needed to facilitate and encourage the development of a variety of housing types for households of all income levels.

According to the State Department of Housing and Community Development's *"Housing Resources - Q&A,"* - *"The analysis of the relationship of suitable sites to zoning provides a means for determining the realistic number of dwelling units that could actually be constructed on those sites within the current planning period of the housing element. The analysis should also identify the zones the locality believes can accommodate its share of the regional housing needs for all income levels."*

The City of Hollister falls under the jurisdiction of, the San Benito County Council of Governments (San Benito COG) for purposes of the RHNA determination. San Benito County COG uses a predominately demographic formula to allocate the regional housing needs among the incorporated cities (Hollister and San Juan Batista) and the unincorporated county. This process results in a Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) and the number reflected in that assessment must be considered when the housing element is prepared.

2.2.a.Hollister RHNA (2014-2023)

The Council of San Benito County Governments Regional Housing Needs Allocation for the period January 1, 2007 to August 31, 2014 determined that 10.9 percent of the households in Hollister are classified as extremely low-income, 10.9 percent very low-income, 17.4 percent low income, 19.7 percent moderate income and 41.1 percent above moderate income. In establishing the percentage of extremely low income households, following the California HCD recommended methodology, half of the very low income (10.9 percent), have been estimated to be extremely low income; therefore, 10.9 percent make up the very low income category. For the period 2007 to 2014, the City of Hollister has been given a construction need of 3,050 new housing units.

For the period 2014 to 2023, the City of Hollister has been given a construction need of 1,316 new housing units. The specific need by income group is depicted in the following table. Construction need is annualized for each of the years of the planning period. Table 49 indicates that 30 single family residential Extremely Low Income (ELI) units have been built and occupied since January 1, 2014.

TABLE 49
HOLLISTER REGIONAL ALLOCATION (2014-2023)

Income Group/Percent of Households	Construction Need	Units Constructed	Units Under Construction	Units Approved	Remaining Need
Extremely Low -11.8%	156	30	0	0	126
Very Low - 11.8%	156	0	0	100	56
Low - 14.3%	189	0	0	72	117
Moderate - 19.6%	258	5	38	399	0
Above Moderate -42.3%	557	72	377	1,173	0
Total	1,316	107	415	1,744	299
Source: San Benito COG, HCD/City of Hollister					

2.2.b. RHNA Allocations and Sites Inventory

As part of the 2003-2008 Housing Element update, and subsequent revisions, an analysis of the residential development potential of vacant and underutilized land in the City of Hollister was completed during 2008. Appendix F provides detailed tables by Zoning District, of the availability of residentially zoned land and underutilized land throughout the City of Hollister that is being utilized to satisfy the RHNA. The City is able to count units approved but not yet built, towards the RHNA. In 2015, there are a total of 1,619 housing units that are approved but not yet constructed. The City will utilize a combination of these approved pending units, vacant land, and some downtown vacant parcels and reuse of upper floors of existing buildings to demonstrate the City's ability to meet the 2015-2023 RHNA goals.

2.2.b. (1) Income Group Goals

For purposes of this submittal, the City has taken into account the requirement to address all income categories, including the extremely low income category. The purpose of the income group goals is to ensure that each jurisdiction within a COG attains its share of the state housing goal without any relative disproportionate distribution of household income groups. The following household income groups are defined according to the HUD Median Family Income (MFI) and Income Limits Table: Extremely Low Income (less than 30% of MFI); Very Low (less than 50 percent of MFI), Low (between 50-80 percent of MFI), Moderate (between 80-120 percent of MFI) and Above Moderate (greater than 120 percent of AMI).

2.2.c. Residential Development Projects

The following projects comprising 1,619 units are existing lots ready for construction or approved projects.

**TABLE 50
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS LIST (2014)**

Project Name	APN	Approval Needed	Housing Type	Total Units	Type
Walnut Park 13		Occupied	SFD	42	AM
Hacienda Square	Eden West (KB Homes)	Occupied	SFD	30	AM
CSDC-Affordable	052-020-014	Building Permits	MF	15	L
Pacific West	052-090-014	Building Permits	MF-affordable	57	L
Valles	057-230-019	Building Permits	MF	50	AM
Braer	054-010-023	Building Permits	MF	6	M
Silver Oaks	057-150-027	Pending Final Map	Senior SFD	170	AM
West of Fairview/ Award Homes	057-710-006	Pending Final Map	SFD	507	AM
			MF	100	VL
			Duettes	60	M
Homestead/Benchmark	057-700-001	Under Construction	SFD	81	AM
			SFD	43	M
Tuscan Sun/ Stone Bridge (Gibson/Sunnyslope Investments)	020-100-021	Under Construction	SFD	29	AM

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

Vista De Oro	052-090-043	Pending Final Map	Condo	80	M
Ladd Lane/Intravia/North Florida	057-230-013	Building Permits	SFD	13	AM
			Courtyard	25	M
			MF	63	M
The Villages/Benchmark	054-059-001; 054-049-059	Building Permits	SFD	154	AM
Cerrato Estates/Benchmark	054-035-031	Pending Final Map	SFD	241	AM
Orchard Park	052-032-002	Pending Final Map	SFD	91	AM
Sywak (South/Westside)	058-060-010	Tentative Map	SFD	8	AM
Dike	058-060-001	Pending Final Map	SFD	39	M
Talifero/Scaglioti		Occupied	MF	5	M
Hillview 2		Occupied	SFD	30	EL
Coria		Building Permit	MF	7	M
Pivetti	075-440-001	Site & Arch. Review	MF	24	AM
Walnut Park 14/Chaney	057-037-016	Pending Final Map	T	54	M
Ray Mariottini	056-120-032	Building Permits	MF	13	M
Pine Drive	054-042-002	Building Permits	Condo	3	M

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

Ladd Ranch/Award	020-280-002	Pending Final Map	SFD	82	AM
Apricot Lane/ Infelise (KB Homes)	020-060-011	Building Permits	SFD	100	AM
			TOTAL UNITS	2222	

Note:**EL: Extremely Low****VL: Very Low****L: Low****M: Moderate****AM: Above Moderate****SFD: Single Family Dwelling****MF: Multi Family Dwelling****2.2.d Available sites from lands zoned for residential and mixed use in the City limits.****Development Projects**

The City is able to demonstrate site suitability, according to HCD guidelines (further discussion below), and has a goal and a vision for a mixture of income levels across zoning districts in the City. City policies have been adopted to support this vision. Based on the needs in the City, and staff's knowledge of the types of projects the City will support, as well as interest from developers in affordable and other mixed use projects, the City has determined that all income categories, including the lower income categories, are needed in each of the identified zoning districts. Recent development interest also supports the development of units in both the lower income and higher income categories in the higher density districts. Units across income categories have been identified according to local development history and the vision for the City's future development pattern, including an adaptive reuse program in the downtown areas.

The residential land inventory primarily includes lands with potential for new construction from vacant parcels and a small number of re-use properties. Potential also exists for additional residential infill development in remaining residential zoning districts. Table 51 below lists the residential and mixed use districts based on the type of new construction that could occur in the future. Appendix F includes a list of lots in the Old Town zoning district with potential for infill development. All listed zoning districts are located within the existing Hollister City limits. Most of the potential units, for purposes of this housing element are considered in reserve, and should be considered a reserve, particularly the infill, with the exception of the Old Town Infill shown below.

TABLE 51
HOLLISTER SURPLUS SITES FOR FUTURE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

	Vacant sites for new construction	Small scale infill potential	Re-use
R1		•	
R2		•	
R3		•	
R4		•	
RWF	• ⁴		
Old Town (M)		•	
Old Town (H)		•	
Home Office		•	
R-1 L/PZ	•		
R-3 M/PZ	•		
R-4 H/PZ	•		
Neighborhood Mixed Use	•	•	•
West Gateway Mixed Use	•		
Downtown Commercial Mixed Use		•	•

2.2.e. LAND SUITABILITY

Appendix F, contains acreage totals across zoning districts, as well as detailed parcel information; the data includes real parcels with acreage, the General Plan designation, the Zoning (as per Ordinance 1038), densities allowed, calculated capacities, etc. The identified sites are available for immediate development from a zoning, public service and development standpoint.

The zoning map and sites are accordance with the General Plan standards. The City has satisfied the requirement for zoned sites. Technical Appendices to this Housing Element contain copies of the Zoning Ordinance development standards and other supporting material. Sites are consistent with the General Plan densities, and in compliance with the default densities prescribed by HCD.

⁴ The approved 667 West of Fairview Development Agreement is located in this zoning district so the acreage of this land is not included the Appendix E inventory as a vacant site.

The ability of either vacant or adaptive reuse sites in the Measure Y Exemption Area, including the Downtown Commercial Mixed Use (DMU) district, to accommodate the development of housing affordable to lower income households is supported by information obtained from local real estate agents, discussed in more detail below. Current projects being processed by the City that are affordable to lower income households within DMU district are as follows:

Mixed Use- HDR

All parcels zoned Mixed Use HDR included in the inventory are larger than 3.1 acres; lot consolidation is not necessary to facilitate development of these parcels. The sites are large enough to support projects serving the housing needs of the community, including the ability to serve lower income households, without the need for lot consolidation techniques or parcel assemblage.

The City of Hollister will allow commercial and residential development in the mixed use districts. There are about 67 acres of vacant land in the West Gateway and Neighborhood Mixed Use districts. The City has taken the following steps in order to provide further assurance that mixed use districts will yield residential development.

- The City Council placed on the November 2007 ballot a measure to exempt from residential growth management allocations development in the Downtown Commercial Mixed Use district.
- Growth management allocations specifically for the mixed use districts to stimulate construction of multi-family housing in the districts.
- Housing Element Program H.Y. requires annual monitoring of development in mixed use areas. If 50% of the inventory in a geographic area is developed at a rate with less than 1 dwelling units per 5,000 sq. ft. of commercial space, then the City of Hollister is required to rezone vacant land in the area to the R4 zoning district based on a standard to assure construction of a minimum of 1 dwelling units per 5,000 square feet of commercial space that has been developed since 2005.

Measure Y Exemption Area (Downtown Commercial Mixed Use (DMU-HDR) and Neighborhood Mixed Use:

The City is poised to re-introduce a residential component to the downtown commercial area with the new 2008 zoning ordinance, lifting of the sewer moratorium and the recently approved ballot initiative Measure Y that exempts all residential growth in the Downtown Commercial Mixed Use district and some Neighborhood Mixed Use lands south and east of downtown that were included in a recently prepared Downtown Strategic Plan. The Redevelopment Agency helped fund, in partnership with the Hollister Downtown Association, property owners and stakeholders the preparation of the 2008 Hollister Downtown Strategy and Plan. The City of Hollister and Redevelopment Agency have taken steps to implement measures in the strategic plan. The City of Hollister recently re-established enforcement of parking in the downtown area. The Redevelopment Agency contributed funds in 2009 for a study to explore the establishment of a downtown Property Business Improvement District. The 2008 zoning ordinance also includes provisions for a

reduction in parking standards with payment of in-lieu fees and for affordable housing and provisions to satisfy off-street parking requirements with a city-approved parking assessment district.

Two projects in the Measure Y exemption area include the a conversion of former second story hotel rooms to five studios and a pending application to construct two condos on a small 2,800 square foot infill lot. Staff has also met with property owners interested in replacing a single story commercial building with a mixed use building and infill development of a small apartment on a large lot.

Housing Element Programs H.F and H.MM require annual outreach with property owners in the Measure Y exemption area to educate property owners about opportunities for re-use and development. Programs H.F. and H.L. require amendments to the mixed use standards in the Measure Y exemption area to allow more flexibility to increase densities on smaller lots with upper floors of residential housing including waiving the minimum parcel size requirement, allowing zero-lot line development, reducing the off-street parking requirements and review of projects on a case by case basis. These goals have been implemented.

City staff conducted a survey (August of 2009) of properties in the downtown Measure Y Exemption area and discovered rental units above existing ground floor retail. The units are affordable. The property owners stated that most renters are long term. The City, through measures identified in this Housing Element, is encouraging and facilitating affordable upper floor units of this same type. A list of units follows:

TABLE 52
MARKET RENTS, as of August 2009

Street Location	Studio	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	Notes
Rental A	\$1,030	\$1,250	\$1,500		Utilities included
Rental B				\$1,750	Rent adjusted for noise of commercial use
Rental C	\$1,150	\$1,350			Utilities included

Source: City of Hollister Planning Department

Projected unit capacities for sites in the Measure Y Exemption area are based on a combination of vacant ground floor infill parcels, on demolition and accompanying re-use of property, and on re-use of the upper level commercial buildings in the historic downtown core. A residential allocation is not required for residential development in this exemption area for two reasons; one reason is that the growth management program identified as Measure U ended in January 2012 and the second reason is that properties within the Measure Y area in the downtown did not need a residential allocation under the former Measure U program. The City's identified capacity of additional units is based on a combination of factors - 1) voluntary inventory of resources in the downtown completed by the City in the summer of 2008; 2) additional building with unsurveyed upper floors; 3) infill sites including vacant lots and underutilized parking lots and parking areas on private property. There are an estimated 160 sites that are available for immediate development. Appendix G includes a list of vacant and underutilized properties (176 sites) in the Measure Y exemption area, including vacant infill in the Downtown Mixed Use district, upper floor units in Downtown Mixed Use, and vacant infill opportunities in Neighborhood Mixed Use. These potential sites are estimated near term re-use of upper floors from the downtown Measure Y areas of the City of Hollister and considered within the RHNA sites in Appendix E.

Implementation of the newly adopted Mixed Use standards in Hollister will act as a draw to incentivize the completion of projects in the DMU-HDR and NMU - HDR districts, carrying out the City's vision for the downtown core. Application of the appropriate historical building codes will further ease the development of properties that would otherwise face higher costs due to stricter code requirements.

As future reserve (not included in this inventory, but shown in the table with an equivalent of "0" units) are some re-use sites primarily associated with a former tar paper facility and an existing cannery in the DMU-HDR and NMU sub-table. The timing for re-use of these properties is uncertain so the City did not include these sites as new construction sites. The City also proposed to use a calculated realistic capacity based on the minimum allowable density in the mixed use district. Going forward, the City will continue to monitor new construction to assure that acreage will be utilized for residential.

Old Town Infill:

The Old Town zoning district comprises much of the original housing stock in the City of Hollister. The City developed slowly over time with the piecemeal development of individual lots. Remaining in the Old Town zoning district are some large lots with the potential for infill development. A number of vacant lots are also present. Development would need to be oriented on the property and designed to retain the historic character of this area of the City. The zoning ordinance includes design and architectural standards for the Old Town zoning district insuring proper design and orientation. Issuance of a building permit for a single family residence is ministerial, not subject to any discretionary action. Architectural review for Old Town standards would be incorporated into any Site and Architectural Review for a multi-family units in the Old Town district.

High Density Residential- R4-H/PZ

Future development of parcels zoned R4-H/PZ have been calculated based on the lowest allowable density for a total of 417 units, with one exception, a site on North Street. As a general rule, the City of Hollister has a generally flat topography; however, the North Street site is located on a small hill known as Park Hill. The combination of possible fault hazard non-buildable areas and site slopes act as a constraint to development at this location. Therefore, the City has reduced the realistic capacity at this location by approximately 45% percent (the calculation based on 12 UPA, the minimum density, would otherwise be 270 units). The reduction makes allowance for the necessary infrastructure and site standards (increased non-buildable areas) due to the slope, and fault hazard building exclusion leading to reduced densities.

The City's new Zoning Ordinance, along with the Density Bonus program will serve to incentivize development of the R4-H/PZ parcels. Several parcels in the High Density (R4-H/PZ) district are 1 acre or smaller; therefore, the City encourages lot consolidation to permit such development to advance. The City's support of the consolidation of small lots into larger parcels is evidenced by a recent demolish and rebuild project, the Westside Apartments. By consolidating several smaller lots into one, the project utilized a density transfer, gaining 11 additional units. A direct result of one of the City's goals, the new Zoning Ordinance supports interconnections between properties, resulting in increased projects involving combined lots. Flexible zoning standards such as stepped back second floors, reduced setbacks, and parking placed behind the building also provide additional opportunities to develop such projects. All parcels in the R4-H/PZ district are eligible to participate in the City's Density Bonus Program. The City's Growth Management allocation program awards points for projects that provide a density mix with housing for lower income groups, including extremely low income households, acting to provide incentive for and encourage higher density projects, including projects involving lot consolidation.

Lot Consolidation efforts

A supplement to the sites inventory (Appendix H) has been included to more accurately portray the status of parcels within the downtown Measure Y exemption area and multi-family zoning districts with a strong potential as candidates for lot consolidation.

Most of the downtown sites are vacant and contain no structures. In the Measure Y exemption area, former derelict structures have been cleared, and in one instance, a building burned down. In other instances, open lots are paved that were used for parking lots for former automobile dealerships. In order to increase the viability of these lots for more intensive development, the City will be allowing reduced parking (see Program H.H) up to and including exemptions from otherwise applicable parking requirements. Several of these lots present opportunities for lot consolidation as a means to make development even more attractive to potential developers. Lots that are prime candidates for consolidation, due to a property line adjacency have been highlighted. With the removal of parking requirements and incentives to draw project developers to the downtown, the City is able to demonstrate the suitability of these underutilized sites for the development of housing for lower income households.

Appendix H lists seven other areas in the West Gateway and other multi-family zoning districts that are prime candidates for lot consolidation efforts. For example, five lots between Jan Avenue and Fourth Street could be assembled into about 3.5 acres. Parcels included in the High Density Residential inventory that are ripe for lot consolidation are located along Line Street, Valleyview/Sunnyslope, West Second, Locust Avenue and Central Avenue. A number of these parcels are less than one-half acre and are well suited to affordable projects, subject to joining two or more parcels to create one larger more attractive piece of property. The City has included programs as part of this housing element to give priority to projects that consolidate smaller lots into more developable parcels, (see Programs H.F H.Q and Appendix H).

In accordance with Government Code Section 65583.2 (c)(3)(B), the City is able to demonstrate the suitability of the higher density zones for the development of affordable housing. In other words, the higher density zones are suitable to provide housing for lower income households, thereby meeting the "appropriate zoning" test as outlined in the HUD guidelines. The "default density" for Hollister, i.e. San Benito County, is 20 units per acre. The R4, High Density Residential District allows for densities from 12 to 35 units per acre; the Mixed Use West Gateway, (WG) (R4-H/PZ) allows densities from 20 to 35 units per acre, and the Mixed Use (MU-HDR) district and the DMU, Downtown Mixed Use District allow for densities of 25-35 units per acre. The new R4-20 zoning district that will be implemented for Program H.N will allow for densities of 20 to 35 units per acre.

The High Density Residential Zoning Districts have the lowest cost of construction per unit and would therefore offer some built in market incentives for very low and low-income construction, although the City's development strategy includes moderate and above moderate income units in the high density residential districts as well. In anticipation of their development strategy in the mixed use districts, the City has made

further reductions in the calculated capacity of the mixed use zones (equating to 50% of the total acreage). Calculations based strictly on the minimum allowable densities in the mixed use zones would allow for a *greater* number of units; however, the City is mandating a reduction to allow for commercial development in addition to residential development.

Realistic density calculations for the Low Density (single family 1-6 UPA) and Medium Density (8-16 UPA), zoned land also rely on the lowest allowable density, as per the zoning. However, for Low Density, an adjustment has been made based on the historical development densities of single family projects recently completed in Hollister. Therefore, the realistic capacity has been calculated based on 4.5 units per acre for the LDR parcels, rather than the lowest allowable density of 1 unit per acre, as per the zoning. The City fully expects these development trends to continue on future projects, and to be a realistic predictor of land development patterns as the City continues to grow.

In order to provide further assurance that mixed use districts will yield residential development, the City requires annual monitoring of development in mixed use areas. Additionally, the City will initiate a rezoning to high density residential if commercial development constrains residential growth.

Annexations in Hollister were stalled between about 1995 and 2001 because there was not a property tax exchange agreement between the City of Hollister and San Benito County. Most residential annexations were stalled again between 2002 and December of 2008 due to the sewer moratorium. Program H.W. prioritizes annexation of 75 acres of unincorporated islands in order to assure a sufficient inventory of sites for the next Housing Element revision. Although the following list of approved annexations and annexations in progress indicate "since 1999," most of the annexations on the list were annexed to the City of Hollister by the San Benito County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) after the year 2011. Numbers 1 through 21 and numbers 39 through 56 on the *Annexations Approved by LAFCO Since 1999* list were annexed after 2011 with a total of 202.80 acres in the Low Density Residential (LDR) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance which requires up to eight (8) dwelling units per acre with a target average of at least six (6) dwelling units per acre. Numbers 18, 19, and 20 on the list and map total 8.48 acres and were annexed to the City of Hollister after 2011 within the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance which requires twenty-five (25) to thirty-five (35) residential dwelling units per acre.

The parcels within the list identified as *Annexations in Progress* are properties that have received approval by the City of Hollister City Council for the initiation of pre-zone to begin the pre-zoning process for annexation of territory. The parcels identified on *Annexations in Progress* list are within reach of city services and most are within the City of Hollister Sphere of Influence and upon approval by LAFCO these properties will be incorporated into the city limits of the City of Hollister. The total acreage in progress for annexation in the Low Density Residential (LDR) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance is 144.50. The total acreage in progress for annexation in the Medium Density Residential (MDR) designation of the general plan and zoning

ordinance is 105.29. The MDR zoning designation requires eight (8) to twelve (12) residential dwelling units per acre. Most of the annexations in progress on the following list are anticipated to be annexed by LAFCO and be incorporated into the City of Hollister city limits in 2016.

Annexations Approved by LAFCO Since 1999				
Number	APN	Owner	Parcel Size in acres	City of Hollister Zoning
1	020 100 021	Gibson Family Partnership	22.45	General Commercial (GC) 16.64 and Low Density Residential (LDR) 5.81
2	020 100 014	Prospect Re, LLC A LTD LIAB Company	0.25	General Commercial (GC)
3	020 110 003	City of Hollister a Municipal Corp	0.6	General Commercial (GC)
4	19 10 09	City of Hollister	2.84	Airport (A)
5	018 110 041	City of Hollister	93.51	Public Facilities (PF)
6	025 370 010	City of Hollister	1.16	Public Facilities (PF)
7	019 040 020	Dassel/Mackie Rental	0.723	Light Industrial (M1)
8	019 040 017	Budd Properties Inc.	0.298	Light Industrial (M1)
9	020 280 002	San Benito Land Title Corporation	19.49	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
10	020 170 035	Matthews James F Trust	1.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
11	020 170 034	Jensen David L	0.75	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
12	020 170 041	Natmar A CALIF LIMITED PART	2.17	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
13	019 330 030	De La Pena Jim-Nancy Living TR ET AL	3.33	North Gateway (NG)
14	020 020 023	Guerra Albert F Et Al	2.68	General Commercial (GC)
15	020 020 024	Guerra Albert F Et Al	6.75	General Commercial (GC)
16	020 020 025	Lowes HIW, Inc.	12.76	General Commercial (GC)
17	020 020 026	Guerra Albert F Et Al	4.6	General Commercial (GC)
18	020 020 018	Guerra Anthony F Decedents Trust Et Al	3.67	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
19	020 020 014	Premier Cinemas Inc. A CA Corp	2.98	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
20	020 020 015	Premier Cinemas Inc. A CA Corp	1.83	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
21	020 060 011	Robert Infelise	22.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ) and Open Space (OS)
22	019 310 019	Brigantino Ralph J In Trust	1.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
23	019 310 059	Brigantino	22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

24	019 310 060	Brigantino	14.36	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
25	054 490 045	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
26	054 490 046	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
27	054 490 047	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
28	054 490 048	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
29	054 490 049	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
30	054 490 050	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
31	054 490 051	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
32	054 490 052	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
33	054 490 053	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
34	054 490 054	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
35	054 490 055	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
36	054 490 056	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
37	054 490 057	Brigantino	0.12	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
38	054 490 058	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
39	057 150 023	Silver Oaks Partners	2.09	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
40	057 150 022	Silver Oaks Partners	1.39	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
41	057 150 026	Silver Oaks Partners	0.66	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
42	057 150 028	Silver Oaks Partners	2.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
43	057 150 029	Silver Oaks Partners	1.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
44	057 150 027	Silver Oaks Partners	4.97	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
45	057 150 021	Silver Oaks Partners	3.62	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
46	057 150 025	Silver Oaks Partners	0.5	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
47	057 150 019	Silver Oaks Partners	0.84	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
48	057 150 018	Silver Oaks Partners	2.84	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
49	057 150 015	Silver Oaks Partners	3.67	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
50	057 710 001	West of Fairview	15.76	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
51	057 710 002	West of Fairview	20.08	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
52	057 710 003	West of Fairview	6.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
53	057 710 004	West of Fairview	1.05	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

3. HOUSING ELEMENT


Final Housing Element, April, 2016

				Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
54	057 710 005	West of Fairview	27.66	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
55	057 710 006	West of Fairview	27.07	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
56	057 710 007	West of Fairview	28.36	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
57	19-03-25	LAFCO No. 2001-403		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
58	19-03-26	LAFCO No. 2001-403		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
59	14-09-27	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
60	14-09-42	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
61	14-09-54	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
62	14-11-03	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
63	057 700 001	Rajkovich Brothers Partnership LP A CA	11	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
64	057 700 002	Rajkovich Brothers Partnership LP A CA	11.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
	Annexations In Progress			
Symbol	APN	Owner	Parcel Size in acres	City of Hollister Zoning
A	020 190 022	Rautman Richard F-Wanita J Et Al	0.27	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
B	020 190 023	Rautman Richard F-Wanita J Et Al	0.35	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
C	020 190 021	Robertson Candie L	0.73	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
D	020 120 096	Rodriguez Ruben L-Alicia B	0.4	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
E	051 100 044		14.06	North Gateway (NG)
F	019 330 011	Cerrato Harold Et Al	12.56	North Gateway (NG) and R1 L/PZ
G	019 250 004	Ivancovich Fam Tr (Triffle-Anne) Et Al	1	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
H	019 250 001	Gonzalez Fernando	11.48	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
I	020 120 141	Kutz Weldon-Helen Liv Tr	0.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
J	020 120 142	Kutz Family Trust	1	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
K	020 120 143	Kutz Weldon-Helen Liv Tr	3.78	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
L	020 120 114	Del Curto Brothers	4.8	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
M	020 120 144	Brigantino Enterprises A Gen	8.88	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

		Part		
N	020 120 145	Mermod Timothy-Georgette	1.05	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
O	020 131 001	Arballo Augustine Sr-Cruz M 2005 Rev		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
P	020 131 002	Pride Shannon C	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Q	020 131 003	Freitas Scott-Tammy	0.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
R	020 131 004	Zavala Anabel G Et Al	0.22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
S	020 131 005	Trejo Jose M	0.22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
T	020 131 006	Castaneda Olivia	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
U	020 131 007	Pruitt Family Trust Et Al	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
V	020 131 008	Teves M & A Family Trust	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
W	020 131 009	Diller Daniel K-Tajni M	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
X	020 131 010	Salvin Christina K	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Y	020 131 011	Weimer E Arazeli	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Z	020 131 012	Hamilton Christopher-Debra	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
AA	020 131 013	Bueno Mata Lupe	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
BB	020 131 014	Nichols Dean A-Laurie	0.31	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
CC	019 130 026	Brigantino J & V Fam Ltd Part Et Al	1.75	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
DD	019 130 027	Hart Donald W-Carol A Tr Et Al	55.83	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
EE	019 340 002	Hugh P. Bikle	5.02	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
FF	020 080 022	Sywak	7.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
GG	019 170 083	PAD Investment Trust	16.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
HH	019 170 084	PAD Investment Trust	17.73	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
ii	019 350 012	PAD Investment Trust	14.04	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
JJ	019 110 031	Gonzalez/Borelli	11.11	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
KK	019 120 038	Gonzalez/Borelli	25.58	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
LL	021 040 017	City of Hollister		Public Quasi-Public Zoning
MM	021 040 018	City of Hollister		Public Quasi-Public Zoning
NN	020 310 009	Hollister Enterprise LLC	53.28	Low Density Residential
OO	019 130 020	CHISPA	5	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)



In conclusion, all parcels in the sites inventory are located within the City limits of Hollister, with utility service and other city services readily available, subject to the extension of service laterals only. The majority of sites in the inventory are vacant and free of encumbrances that would limit the properties' ability to be developed; remaining non-vacant parcels are noted as such. All non-vacant parcels and underutilized properties are noted in the inventory, with prime reuse sites being highlighted, and the appropriate consistent methodology applied, either for vacant sites, upper floor units in the Downtown Commercial (City survey), or non-vacant underutilized parcels in the Measure Y exemption area, including Mixed Use (HDR), to provide a sufficient number of units to satisfy the RHNA. The newly adopted Zoning Ordinance identifies flexible development standards to facilitate development of infill (non-vacant) parcels. The former Growth Management rating scale and implementing Housing Element programs provide incentives and act as a catalyst to encourage the adaptive reuse of such non- vacant infill parcels.

Table 54, indicates the capacity that the City of Hollister has for the allocation of the 2014 – 2023 RHNA sites for AM, Mod, Low, VLI, and ELI housing. The column titled "Remaining need" indicates the remaining need after taking into account the numbers in the columns titled "LDR, MDR, HDR, Old Town Infill, Vacant Mixed Use HDR, West Gateway, and Measure Y Exemption Area" obtained from the RHNA calculated realistic capacity per parcel, acres, and density as indicated on Appendix F of the Housing Element. The "Total Capacity" column on Table 54 demonstrates that there is enough capacity to fulfill the required RHNA for the City of Hollister. In addition, Appendix F

indicates that there are a total of 202.80 acres in the Low Density Residential designation of the general plan and zoning designation which requires up to 8 dwelling units per acre with an average of at least six dwelling units per acre that have been annexed to the City of Hollister since 2011. Appendix F also indicates that there are a total of 8.48 acres annexed to the City of Hollister since 2011 within the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance which requires twenty-five (25) to thirty-five (35) residential dwelling units per acre. There are additional acres of property in progress for annexation.

The units summary in Table 54 indicates that the city has sufficient capacity to meet the RHNA. The HDR, Vacant Mixed Use (HDR), West Gateway, Measure Y Exemption Area, and The "Measure Y Exemption Area" indicate that the city has sufficient capacity to meet the affordable housing requirements for Low, Very Low, and Extremely Low Income housing in the City. Zones that provide at least 20 dwelling units per acre (du/ac) in the City are as follows:

- o Measure Y Exemption Area: 25 – 35 du/ac
- o West Gateway Area: 20 – 35 du/ac
- o Vacant Mixed: 25 – 35 du/ac

Measure Y Exemption Area: The allowed dwelling units per acre (du/ac) that for the Measure Y Exemption Area are 25 – 35. Appendix F indicates that there are 3.66 acres in this zone. However, because the zone can also allow for some commercial use the realistic residential portion would be half of 3.66 which is 1.83 or 1.8 acres rounding down. 1.8 acres at a minimum of 25 dwelling units per acre would be 45. In addition, a realistic capacity for possible units on the upper levels of existing building would be 115 (page 6 of Appendix F) for a total of 160 units.

West Gateway Area: The allowed dwelling units per acre (du/ac) that for the West Gateway Area are 20 – 35. Appendix F indicates that there are 57.84 acres in this zone. However, because the zone can also allow for some commercial use the realistic residential portion would be half of 57.84 which is 28.92 or 28 acres rounding down. 28 acres at a minimum of 20 dwelling units per acre would be 560.

Vacant Mixed: The allowed dwelling units per acre (du/ac) that for the Vacant Mixed Area are 25 – 35. Appendix F (pg. 5) indicates that there are 17.27 acres in this zone. However, because the zone can also allow for some commercial use the realistic residential portion would be half of 17.27 which is 8.635 or 8.6 acres rounding down. 8.6 at a minimum of 25 dwelling units per acre would be 215.

The sum of the Measure Y, West Gateway, and Vacant Mixed available area for residential development to meet the RHNA totals 935. The RHNA required to be met by the City of Hollister in the Low Income Category is 189. The Vacant Mixed Use, West Gateway, and Measure Y Area add up to 245 realistic capacity for the Low Income category.

The RHNA required to be met by the City of Hollister in the Very Low Income Category is 156. The Vacant Mixed Use, West Gateway, and Measure Y Area add up to 215 realistic capacity for the Very Low Income Category.

The RHNA in the Extremely Low Income Category is 156. The Vacant Mixed Use, West Gateway, and Measure Y Area add up to 175 realistic capacity for the Extremely Low

Income Category. In addition the HDR zoning designation and Old Town High Density Residential areas in the City of Hollister allow for a capacity of 12 to 35 dwelling units per acre. Appendix F identifies an additional 417 residential units in the high density residential zoning designation. Table 54 indicates that out of the realistic capacity of 417 residential units for the land available in the high density residential zoning district, 100 can be designated for low income, 115 for very low income, and 152 for extremely low income.

R4-20: In the previous housing element for the City there was a program to establish a new R4-20 zoning district with a minimum development density of 20 units per acre by the end of 2009. The City of Hollister amended the zoning district to meet this requirement. Development in this district would meet the default density for affordable housing. With the implementation of R4-20 projects that propose a portion of the residential development for lower residential development densities than the district allows the City can initiate a rezoning of the remaining portion of the property to the R4-20 zoning district to assure that at least the average minimum development density of the property of the applicable zoning district is met and that affordable multi-family housing will be constructed.

Table 54
RHNA; UNITS SUMMARY

	2014 - 2023 RHNA	LDR	MDR	HDR	Old Town Infill	Vacant Mixed Use (HDR)	West Gateway	Measure Y Exemption Area	Total Capacity calculating realistic capacity per parcel in Appendix F	Remaining Need
AM	557	246	209	25	14	40	50	10	594	0
Mod	258	114	112	25	15	50	110	40	466	0
Low	189	0	120	100	15	50	155	40	480	0
VLI	156	0	0	115	6	40	140	35	336	0
ELI	156	30	0	152	4	35	105	35	361	0
	1,316	390	441	417	54	215	560	160	2,237	0

The City of Hollister meets with developers and encourages developers to work with agencies that Develop special needs/affordable housing such as Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA), Community Services Development Corporation (CSDC) and others to partner and provide affordable housing.

The City of Hollister has a density bonus section in the Hollister Municipal Code under Section 17.04. In accordance with Sections 65915, 65915.5 and 65917 of the California Government Code, the purpose of this Article is to provide density bonuses, incentives, or concessions for the production of housing for extremely low, very-low, lower, and moderate-income households, and senior households. In enacting this Article, it is also the intent of the City to implement the goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan Housing Element and to establish a City density bonus for the provision of affordable senior housing. The Density Bonus Section of the Hollister Municipal Code is attached to this document in its entirety as Appendix K.

The City of Hollister has provided services such as water and sewer outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to proposed low income development. On July 21, 2014 the City of Hollister City Council approved Resolution No. 2014-148 approving a memorandum of understanding between the City and CHISPA for the City to provide extended services outside of its jurisdictional boundaries to approximately 4.71 acres of land identified by apn 019-400-001 through 019-400-014 for the development of 13 single family residential affordable housing units and 41 affordable apartments with the requirement that the property gets annexed into the City of Hollister prior to the issuance of certificate of occupancy of the first residential unit. The project is currently under construction.

Additional incentives in the Hollister Municipal Code include per note number 7 of Table 17.04-2 Residential Lot Size, Lot Area, Density and Open Space Requirements by District of Section 17.04.030 Residential General Development Standards of the Hollister Municipal Code the Planning Commission may waive the minimum density requirement for a development proposal with 100% multifamily affordable housing in the R4, R4-20, and OT-H Zoning Districts where it is demonstrated that the minimum density standard poses a constraint to the viability of the development. The manager's unit may be excluded from the affordability requirement. A conditional use permit shall be required for approval of the waiver.

SECTION 2.3

CONSTRAINTS, EFFORTS, AND OPPORTUNITIES

The purpose of this chapter is to analyze potential and actual governmental and non-governmental constraints on the maintenance, improvement and development of housing in the City of Hollister. A discussion of Hollister's efforts to remove constraints and to promote energy conservation is included.

2.3.a. GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

2.3.a (1) Federal, State, and Local Policy

Actions or policies of governmental agencies, whether involved directly or indirectly in the housing market, can impact the ability of the development community to provide adequate housing to meet consumer demands. For example, the impact of federal monetary policies and the budgeting and funding policies of a variety of departments can either stimulate or depress various aspects of the housing industry. Local or state government compliance or the enactment of sanctions (sewer connection or growth moratoriums) for noncompliance with the federal Clean Air and Water Pollution Control Acts can impact all types of development.

State agencies and local government compliance with statutes can complicate the development of housing. Statutes such as the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and sections of the Government Code relating to rezoning and General Plan Amendment procedures can also act to prolong the review and approval of development proposals by local governments. In many instances, compliance with these mandates establishes time constraints that cannot be altered by local governments.

Local governments exercise a number of regulatory and approval powers which directly impact residential development within their respective jurisdictional boundaries. These powers establish the location, intensity, and type of units that may or may not be developed. The City of Hollister's General Plan, zoning regulations, project review and approval procedures, development and processing fees, utility infrastructure, public service capabilities, and development attitudes all play important roles in determining the cost and availability of housing opportunities in Hollister.

2.3.a (2) Land Use Regulations

The General Plan is the primary land use policy document. This policy document not only establishes the location and amount of land that will be allocated to residential development, but also establishes the intensity of development (in terms of unit densities and total number of units) that will be permitted. While nearly all components or elements of the General Plan contain goals and policies that influence residential development, it is the Land Use Element that has the most direct influence.

The City regulates the use of land within the city limits through the Hollister General Plan, Municipal Code, and the Uniform Building Code. The Zoning Ordinance establishes development standards, intensity of development, minimum site requirements consistent with the 2005-2023 Hollister General Plan. These regulations are considered necessary to protect and preserve the existing housing stock and to ensure the orderly development of available land.

The 2005-2023 General Plan envisions a more compact development pattern than the prior 1999-2005 General Plan; a smaller planning area, increased residential densities, and the addition of new mixed use land use designations to areas that were previously commercial or industrial land use designations. Various residential zones have been established along with a minimum set of requirements for densities, setbacks, lot coverage, and parking. In addressing the requirements of Government Code Section 65583(a), the City has developed standards that do not impede the City's ability to meet maximum allowable densities. For example, in the higher density residential zones and the mixed use zones, the standards provide for allowable heights up to 45 feet and 50 feet, respectively, permitting up to four (4) stories. The City also has mechanisms in place to allow flexible setbacks and reduced parking requirements for projects meeting affordability levels.

The following summary is provided to highlight certain aspects of the Zoning Code, but is not meant to be an all-inclusive description of the zoning districts.

A summary of the City's residential districts and mixed use districts, including housing and permit requirements is detailed in Table 2.1-48, on page 3.120 of Section 2.2.a of the Housing Element. A narrative summary of the Residential zoning districts is also provided in Section 2.2.a. Housing is permitted by right for construction of single family homes on existing lots of record in the Residential Estate and R1 Low Density Residential zoning districts. In mixed use commercial districts and residential zoning districts, new construction of mixed use or multi-family development is subject to Site and Architectural Review. Further discussion of General Plan designations and Zoning districts, including off-street parking and other development standards, is provided in Section 2.2a.

Residential zones: According to the Zoning Code most new residential development in a residential zoning district will be located in a Performance Overlay Zone, which applies to all vacant residential lands in Hollister. The intent of the overlay district is to use flexible standards to foster development that meets the range of densities for the applicable General Plan land use designation to implement policies and programs in the General Plan. Programs and policies call for a variety of lot sizes and housing types in all districts, pedestrian connections between properties, solar access, clustered development to meet the average General Plan density for the property while avoiding development in areas with environmental constraints (e.g. flood, seismic, liquefaction, and habitat): or providing on-site amenities (trail easements, recreation), and to protect the character and scale of existing residential neighborhoods.

Lot sizes may be varied in the Performance Overlay zone with a performance agreement to a minimum of 2,500 square feet where the base General Plan designation is Low Density Residential or Medium Density Residential. A more detailed description of this district is provided in section 2.2k, pages 3.117 to 3.119. In the High Density General Plan designation, the lot size may be reduced to less than 2,500 square feet and the building height may be increased to 75 feet. A variety of housing types, setbacks and densities are allowed within a development with a performance agreement provided that the development density is consistent with the applicable General Plan land use designation for the property. Lot size is required to be similar to existing residential development unless the lot is within a zoning district and General Plan designation with a different density or transitional setbacks and landscaping are incorporated into the project design to assure that the new development is of a scale and character that is compatible with the existing residential neighborhood.

An average development density of one to eight units per net acre is now required in the R1 L/PZ overlay zone with a targeted density of at least six units per net acre. An average development density of eight to 12 units per acre is required in the R3 M/PZ overlay zone and an average of 12 to 35 units per acre is required in the R4 H/PZ overlay zone. The overlay zoning will provide an incentive for property owners to include a variety of housing types with new residential development.

Infill: The City is planning for infill residential development in established residential districts, especially in the Old Town zoning districts. Chapter 4, of the Zoning Ordinance establishes minimum lot sizes ranging from one housing unit per 5 net acres in the Residential Estates district to 40 units per acre in the Downtown Mixed Use districts. The R1 low density district requires 5,500 square feet and 6,500 square feet for corner units, the R2 medium density residential district requires 8,000 square feet per unit, the R3 medium density multi-family district and Old Town medium density residential district require a minimum of 5,000 square feet per unit, 8,000 square feet for two units, and 11,000 square feet for three units and 3,600 square feet for each additional unit. The R4 medium density high density district requires a minimum of 5,000 square feet for a one unit, 8,000 square feet for two units, and 9,000 square feet for three units 1,200 square feet for each additional unit.

Mixed Use: In addition, to the residential districts, housing is allowed in the new mixed use Neighborhood Mixed Use (25- 35 du/ac), West Gateway (20-35 du/ac) and Downtown Commercial Mixed Use (25 – 40 du/ac) zoning districts.

The recently adopted zoning ordinance provides additional areas for housing development and for the expansion in the supply of affordable housing units. In addition, amendments to the Zoning Map have been made to provide additional land for medium and high-density multi-family housing, and high density residential land uses in areas formerly zoned solely for commercial or industrial use in the new mixed-use districts.

2.3.a (3) Local Entitlement Fees and Exactions

Development Fees

Local fees are necessary to process development applications; however, particularly after Proposition 13, cities must be concerned with the need to recover processing costs. Line item fees related to processing, inspection and installation services are limited by California law to the cost of the agencies performing these services. Table 2.3-52 shows typical planning and entitlement fees in Hollister, with a focus on residential fees.

In addition to planning fees, Hollister has established a number of development impact fees in order to pay for the increased demand for City facilities such as waste water treatment, water, park development, storm drains, sewer lines, police and fire protection, and transportation improvements. Hollister has seen a growing need for infrastructure improvements, and costs to develop the City's infrastructure and expand City services have been substantial.

Like all local governments, Hollister also charges plan check fees for building, electrical, plumbing, and mechanical permits. Plan check fees based on project evaluation according to the Uniform Building Code are calculated at 65% of the prescribed building permit fee. An overall comparison of the total fees charged for a single-family and multi-family project is shown in Table 2.3-53. Per unit fees for a typical multi-family development are \$29,694.52 for a 1,000 square foot unit; fees for a 2,000 square foot single family home are \$38,174.88. Impact fees have decreased since the last housing update in 2009 from \$51,196 for single family and \$32,788 for multifamily primarily in the traffic section of the impact fees however in other traffic impact fee line items the fee has increased to pay for necessary infrastructure, particularly the new wastewater treatment plant. Hollister's development fees are passed on to project proponents; the schedule is appropriate to recapture the costs of services provided and maintain the standards set by the community. In order to encourage the development of affordable housing, the City has approved lower traffic and sewer impact fees for multi-family development as compared in Table 56 where fees and exactions are teared for single family and for multi-family development.

TABLE 55
PLANNING FEES

Fees	Cost
Administrative Permit Categories	
Determination Letter	\$153.50
Home Occupation	\$84.00
Wall Sign	\$43.50 + \$10 each add. sign
Small Temporary Use Permit	\$43.50
Large Temporary Use permit	\$463.00
Administrative Permit Review	\$463.00
Flood Zone Determination	\$43.50
Variance	\$1,035.00
Zoning Use Determination	\$844.00
Environmental Review	
Consultant Prepared EIR and Supplement	\$889 plus 15% on first \$75K
Consultant Prepared EIR and Supplement	\$889 plus 10% on 75k-100k
Consultant Prepared EIR and Supplement	\$889 plus 5% on \$100K +
City Prepared Negative Declaration	\$5,270 + Technical Studies
City Prepared Initial Study	\$2,572.00
General Plan Amendment	
GP Amendment	\$4,333 + \$200 publishing
GP Text Amendment	\$3,465 + \$200 publishing
General Plan Update	
Single Family Residential	\$128.07/unit
Multiple Family Residential	\$128.07/unit
Commercial/Industrial	\$250/acre
Prezoning/Rezoning	
Prezoning/Rezoning,	\$3,503.00
Prezoning Initiation	\$704.00

Table 55
Planning Fees
(Continued)

Site and Architectural Review	
Residential	\$1,192.00
Commercial	\$1,366.00
Industrial	\$1,366.00
Revision	50% of base fee
Res. Building Add.	\$1,018.00
Industrial Building Add.	\$1,018.00
Free Standing Sign Review	\$1,018.00
Project Approval Extension	\$677.00
Administrative Sign Review	\$43.50 + 10 each add. sign
Conditional Use Permit-Regular CUP	\$1,366.00
Conditional Use Permit-Approval Extension/Amendment	\$677.00
Subdivision Applications	
Minor Sub (4 lots or less)	\$1,400.00
Major Sub (5 lots or more)	\$2,323 + \$29/lot
Planned Development Permit (Condo)	\$2,323 + 50% CUP
Source: City of Hollister Development Services, Service Application Fees, April, 2013.	

TABLE 56
DEVELOPMENT FEES FOR SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS

Fee Category	Single Family Unit*	Multifamily Unit**	% of total development cost per 2,000 sq. ft. Single Family Unit and 1,000 multifamily Unit
Square Feet	2,000	1,000	
Tentative Map	\$97	\$80	
Final Map	\$44	\$44	
Site and Architectural Review	\$50	\$50	
Building Permit	\$794	\$496	
Plan Check	\$516	\$322	
Plumbing, Electrical and Mechanical Permits	\$266	\$211	
S.M.I.P.	\$14	\$7	
General Plan Fee	\$574	\$269	
Sub-Total	\$2,355	\$1,479	5.2% of total development cost for single family units at 2,000 sq. ft and 4.4% of total development cost for multifamily units at 1,000 sq. ft.
Impact Fees:			
Water	\$2,720	\$2,720	6% for single family 8% for multifamily
Traffic	\$5,803.06	\$3,574.10	12.9% for single fam 10.8% for multifam
Storm Drainage	\$3,210	\$3,210	7.1% for single fam 9.7% for multifam
East Area Storm Drainage ¹	\$1,670	\$0.3082/ sq ft. of net lot area	
Sewer Treatment	\$16,356	\$12,389.21	38.4% single fam 39.2% multifam
Sewer Collection	\$2,240	\$2,240	5.2% single fam 7.0% multi fam
Police	\$410	\$410	0.9% single fam 1.2% multifam
Fire	\$550	\$550	1.2% single fam 1.6% multifam
Park Development	\$2,493.82	\$2,447.01	5.5% single fam 7.4% multi fam

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

Jail and Juvenile Hall	\$367	\$367	0.8% single fam 1.1% multifam
School (\$3.36/sq. ft.) As of Feb. 2016	\$6,720	\$3,360	14.9% single fam 10.1% multifam
Sub-Total	\$42,539.88	\$31,575.52	94.7% single fam 95.9% multi fam
Grand Total	\$44,894.88	\$33,054.52	
*Based on a 25-unit subdivision on 4 acres. (General Plan, 2005-Fees Updated July, 2015)			
**Based on a 1 acre multifamily project with 25 units. (Development Impact Fee Schedule, Updated July,			

¹ This fee applies to portions of eastern Hollister in the Santa Ana Creek Drainage.

The City of Hollister Development Services Department after conducting research with local general contractors in the City of Hollister for building costs has determined that the average current going rate to build an approximate 2,000 sq. ft. single family residential unit is at approximately \$150.00 per sq. ft. At this rate, the cost to build a 2,000 sq. ft. single family home would be \$300,000.00 (2,000 sq. ft. x \$150). Therefore, the percentage of building permit costs over the typical development cost for a 2,000 sq. ft. single family home is 14.9 percent. The average current going rate to build an approximate 1,000 sq. ft. multifamily residential unit in the City of Hollister is at approximately \$130.00 per sq. ft. The cost to build a 1,000 sq. ft. multifamily home at a rate of \$130.00 per sq. ft. would be \$130,000.00 (1,000 sq. ft. x \$130). Therefore, the percentage of building permit costs over the typical development cost for a 1,000 sq. ft. multifamily home is 25.0 percent.

Hollister has updated traffic, sewer and water connection fees between 2008 and 2015. The City's planning fees are comparable to other jurisdictions in San Benito County, (See Table 2.3-54). Fees are set up so that applications/projects with administrative approval have a lower cost; projects with a higher dollar cost are identified as major projects, and are therefore, charged a higher fee. As a general rule, these fees do not act as a constraint against building affordable housing, as they are set so as to recapture the cost of processing. Table 2.3-54 shows the fees charged for typical entitlements in the City of Hollister and neighboring jurisdictions.

TABLE 57
PLANNING APPLICATION DEPOSITS-SURROUNDING JURISDICTIONS

Jurisdiction	Conditional Use Permit	General Plan Amendment	Tentative Parcel Map	Variance
Hollister	\$1366	4,333 + 200 publishing	\$2,323 plus \$29/lot (5 lots or more)	\$1,035
San Juan Batista	\$1,250	\$2500	\$3,000 Plus \$20/lot(5 & more lots)	\$1000
San Benito County	\$4,259	\$1,673 deposit (+ \$27/acre)	\$2,880 plus \$268/lot with lots with both sewer and water service, and \$3,406 plus \$169 per lot for sites with one but not both services or neither.	\$1,529

Sources:

City of Hollister (February, 2016)

City of San Juan Batista (February 2016)

San Benito County (February 2016)

Fees, land dedications, or improvements are also required in most instances to provide an adequate supply of public parkland and to provide necessary public works infrastructure (streets, sewers, and storm drains) to support the new development. Such costs are charged to the developer; however, costs are ultimately passed to the consumer in the form of higher home prices or rents.

The significance of the necessary public works infrastructure improvements in determining final costs varies greatly from project to project. The improvements are dependent on the amount of existing improvements and nature of the project. However, developers are required to install improvements necessary to serve their project in order to not overburden the City's systems, and continue to provide for future growth.

The San Benito Joint Unified School District serves the City of Hollister. The School District assesses a school impact fee on all new residential and commercial development. As of 2016, multi-family and single-family fees are \$3.36 per square-foot and Commercial/Industrial fees are 0.54 per square foot.

In some instances, developers must weigh the benefits of building smaller units at the maximum allowable density or fewer larger units at a density less than the maximum. Either solution can have different impacts on the housing market. Governmental laws and regulations have the potential to increase development costs in any city. Requirements which relate to site coverage, landscaping,

parking, and open space within developments can indirectly increase costs by limiting the number of dwelling units which can occupy a given piece of land. In Hollister, the City Council has determined that required improvements are necessary to maintain a uniform high level of quality community-wide. If the City maintains certainty about their development requirements and they are applied uniformly, the requirements function more as an expected development standard, rather than existing as a constraint.

Building a higher number of small units can reduce costs and provide additional housing opportunities for smaller households but does not accommodate the needs of larger families. Larger units can be made available to families, but because of their size and lower density, the cost of these units is higher.

Other development and construction standards can also impact housing costs. Such standards may include the incorporation of additional design treatments (architectural details or trim, special building materials, landscaping, and textured paving) to improve the aesthetics of the development. Other standards included in the Uniform Building Code lists regulations regarding noise transmission and energy conservation. While some of these features (interior and exterior design treatments) are included by the developer to help sell the product in the competitive market, some features (e.g., energy conservation regulations) may actually reduce monthly living expenses by cutting heating and cooling costs in the long term.

2.3.a (4) Processing and Permit Procedures

Like all jurisdictions, the City of Hollister has procedures and regulations for project review and approval, some of which are mandated by State law. Virtually all development projects require some type of formal local approval and environmental assessment, many of which require public review and noticing and public hearings. A project proposed in Hollister is typically involved in some combination of the following review processes: environmental review; site and architectural review; subdivision maps; rezonings; residential unit allocation; use permits; and building permits. Average processing times for various planning activities in the City of Hollister are shown in Table 55. A conditional use permit and site and architectural review application process takes on average, about 45 to 60 days if no environmental review is required. The City requires the plans to be prepared by a design professional. Tentative map review often requires environmental review, which extends the processing time by about 90 to 120 days. The City of Hollister encourages pre-application meetings to help streamline the application processing time and costs by clarifying General Plan and zoning issues and resolving particular site development issues early on in the application process.

All filing procedures are codified in Section 17.24.070 of the Zoning Ordinance; projects are required to follow application submittal procedures contained therein. For both a single family subdivisions and multi-family project, the required entitlements and review time in Table 55 apply. The primary difference is that multi-family projects require Site and Architectural review in addition to other requirements

The City's Residential Unit Allocation process prioritizes applications and grants development approval under the Growth Management Ordinance. The process is a separate review and action, which comes first, taking approximately 8 months for both single family and multi-family development. The remaining process is compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and

entitlements, including the tentative map process, and the Site and Architectural review (for multi-family only). Usually, Planning Commission consideration of these actions takes place at one (1) meeting. The discretionary approvals would only be considered by the City Council if there is an appeal of a decision. The Site and Architectural review and tentative map process under a best-case scenario, takes approximately 60 days to 18 months depending on the requirement for environmental review. Finally, building permit issuance takes approximately two weeks.

The adopted General Plan prioritizes infill development within the City of Hollister and then the Sphere of Influence. There is sufficient land within the City limits that is planned for residential development to comply with the City of Hollister's new construction need requirements. The growth management rating system prioritizes approvals for projects located within the City of Hollister that are consistent the adopted general plan land use plan and zoning map.

A General Plan Amendment, rezone or prezone for annexation of property must be submitted by a property owner for review and approval by the Planning Commission and City Council. City Council authorization is required to initiate the amendment process and the legislative change requires City Council approval after a recommendation from the Planning Commission. When this occurs, it can add an additional 3-5 months to the process if annexation is not proposed. There may be an additional two to three months for approval of an annexation by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). Program H.W. commits the City of Hollister to annexing at least 75 acres of land in the Sphere of Influence to assure that ample sites are available for the next Housing Element revisions.

Total processing time for residential subdivisions and multi-family projects, from beginning to end, takes approximately 12 months at best, plus an additional two weeks for building permits. When advance review of a General Plan Amendment is required, the processing time becomes 25 months. The City recognizes that undue delays in processing project applications increases development costs and should be avoided, and will continue to actively work with the development community

Development Review Committee

All development applications are reviewed by the Development Review Committee (DRC). The DRC reviews the application within the first weeks of its submittal and helps determine whether the application is complete for processing. The DRC is an advisory level review body only, and has no discretionary ability; rather it is the equivalent of a staff level review. DRC members include staff from City departments and local agencies (e.g. water district, health department,

transportation agency). Hollister's planning application form encourages pre-development meetings to analyze proposals and resolve issues prior to a formal application submittal. If the city receives a complete application, the project is scheduled to appear before the committee with a draft Planning Commission staff report and draft conditions of approval. The DRC meetings are scheduled to occur two weeks after receipt of the application packet and about 24 days before the Planning Commission meeting. Staff routinely places preliminary applications at no cost on the Development Review Committee agenda to help resolve site specific issues prior to submittal of an application. This strategy helps assure submittal of a complete application and helps avoid re-submittals of applications and associated consulting costs.

Project applicants file a standard application form with the City and are also required to submit conceptual site plans, and other supplemental information, such as architectural drawings. The City strives to issue a written response to the project proponent of the decision and/or recommendations of the DRC within five (5) working days following the DRC committee meeting.

Site and Architectural Review

The City requires Site and Architectural Review for new construction of multi-family residential and mixed use buildings. Site and Architectural Review is required to review traffic congestion and safety, multi-modal facilities, drainage, orientation of buildings in relation to surrounding land uses, design and landscaping. Development of mixed use projects require Site and Architectural review identically to other new construction; no Conditional Use Permit or other prerequisite is placed on mixed use projects. In summary, mixed use projects are treated the same as other new construction.

Section 17.24.190 of the Zoning Ordinance contains the City's procedures for Site and Architectural Review, and specifies materials, treatments, and overall architecture. Supplemental procedures are also contained in the City's uniform application form. Applicants are aware at time of project application what is required for Site and Architectural review. During the Development Review Committee hearing, discussed above, staff provides applicants with feedback on their proposal as well as any requests for additional information. Review then follows the same hearing process and is submitted to the Planning Commission. No City Council approval is required for Site and Architectural review.

Program H.OO has been included as part of this housing element to monitor the Site Plan and Architectural review process for possible adverse impacts on the development of affordable housing. If the processes are determined to be a constraint, the City will take adequate measures to modify the program or otherwise mitigate the constraints.

Environmental Review Procedures

According to State environmental law (CEQA), discretionary actions (such as a General Plan Amendment, Zone Change, and Specific Plan), which may have an effect on the environment, require the preparation of an Environmental Impact

Report (EIR) or a Negative Declaration, (Neg. Dec.) or Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) prior to project approval. The need to prepare the necessary environmental review can substantially lengthen the development review process, often taking up to one year to obtain project approval. The local environmental review procedures are contained in Section 17.24.100 of the Zoning Ordinance, and are reflective of statewide standards. For projects requiring a CEQA document, the review is part of the multi-part application package.

The construction of individual single-family dwellings is Categorically Exempt from CEQA requirements (CEQA Guidelines Section 15303) and most multi-family residential projects can be approved with the issuance of a Negative Declaration. Conditional Use Permits and Second Dwelling Units may also be exempt from CEQA, depending on whether the individual project can be considered infill development.

The amount of time required to process CEQA environmental review documents in Hollister is comparable with other jurisdictions across the state, and is not considered a significant constraint to the development of housing. The City will continue to take constructive steps to help facilitate new development and improve the efficiency of the review process, including the periodic review and monitoring of review processes to identify potential constraints.

TABLE 58
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW AND PROCESSING PROCEDURES/TIMEFRAME

Action/Request	Processing Time	Comments
Environmental Review	0 to 12 Months	Adds no time if project receives a negative declaration; adds 12 months if an EIR is required.
CEQA Exemption	60 Days or Less	
Negative Declaration/Mitigated Negative Declaration	90 to 120 Days	
EIR	12 Months	Requires public hearing and approval by the Planning Commission.
General Plan Amendment	0 to 24 Months	18 months if project receives a Negative Declaration; up to 2 years if an EIR is required.
Rezoning	0 to 12 Months	8-10 months for a Negative Declaration; 12 months for projects requiring an EIR.
Tentative Map and if applicable performance agreement	50 days	50 days if complete application.
Final or Parcel Map	0 to 24 Months	Up to 2 years.
Residential Unit Allocation	8 Months	8 Months
Site and Architectural Review	No more than 90 days.	Process usually takes 60 days or less. Multi-Family projects only.
Variances	60 Days	60 Days
Building Permit Review	2 Weeks	2 Weeks
Source: City of Hollister 2005 General Plan, and Planning Department.		

The amount of time required to process permits in Hollister is not considered a significant constraint to the development of housing. The City has taken constructive steps to help facilitate new development and improve the efficiency of the review process, and will continue to do so.

2.3.a(5) Growth Management

The City of Hollister first adopted Growth Management Ordinance 550 in 1982 because of a concern that the rate of growth and development was outpacing the City's ability to provide services, particularly water and wastewater, police, fire, parks, schools, and storm drainage. In the intervening years, Ordinance 550 was amended and a variety of growth management programs have been used to regulate the creation of lots for residential subdivisions or for construction of multi-family residential development in Hollister.

The Hollister General Plan became integral to the implementation of the growth management system. In addition to the community's concern about utility services, citizens also desired to create a higher quality of development and to ensure the provision of units across all income categories. According to a study completed in 2004 by the Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy, out of the University of Michigan, entitled, "Growth Management Policy in California Communities", the City of Hollister generally "fits the profile" of California cities with growth management systems. While much of the focus of the study was on cities with growth boundaries, or urban limit lines, a feature the City of Hollister does not share, lessons can be drawn from this study and applied to Hollister. In many of the cities cited in the study, urban limit lines were part of a larger growth management strategy. The growth management strategy employed in Hollister still yields the same result with respect to growth management in general.

One of the findings contained in the study is that growth management, in a high number of cases, results in increased densities within the community. Logic follows that if growth outward is limited, either by an urban limit line, or by awarding projects higher points for proximity to the City center and multi-family/mixed use housing, the approach taken by Hollister, more compact development and increased densities are encouraged and promoted. The study concluded that communities with growth management tend to grow slower than communities without, and that they grow increasingly more dense over time.

Chapter 2, Section 1.a of this Housing Element documents that even with growth management, the City of Hollister was one of the fastest growing communities in the area between 1990 and 2008. The growth management program has not inhibited the construction of affordable housing projects in the City of Hollister. Rather, between 1984 and 1999, 950 affordable housing units were approved and constructed in Hollister (56 small lot manufactured homes, 327 very low-income, units, and 542 low-income affordable units). Units developed included a combination of apartments, approximately 230 self-help housing units, single family homes, and first-time homebuyer affordable units

According to the University of Michigan report, other cities' experience with growth management in many ways reflects the City of Hollister's experience; that rather than creating an artificial limitation on the development of affordable units, there is a higher propensity for lower income projects to be approved. The City has developed growth management scoring and ranking criteria that reserves allocations for affordable housing as well as categories to foster multi-family housing (mixed zoning district where the minimum density of 20 to 25 units per acre meets the default density for affordable housing and the multi-family zoning districts). The scoring awards projects higher points for providing higher affordability levels (density mix); the system also awards higher point scores for the provision of amenities that work to carry out principles of walkability and sustainability, including green building principles, efficient transportation infrastructure, and the provision of green space. Program H.PP commits the City to remaining vigilant about identifying and mitigating any adverse constraints the program may have on the development of housing affordable to lower income families.

Measure U Growth Initiative

In 2002, the voters of the City of Hollister approved a Growth Management initiative. The Declaration of Intent at Section 16.64.010 provides the following stated intents of the initiative:

- To encourage a rate of residential growth within the City which will not exceed the City's ability to provide adequate and efficient public services, including sewer, water, police, fire, streets, parks, general administration, and maintenance of public facilities, or the ability of the local economy, including the City's financial capacity, to support such growth, maintain and improve the quality of the environment considering the City's natural setting, including water courses, viable agricultural/open lands, recreational, historic and scenic areas;
- To encourage and promote a balanced community with adequate housing to meet the needs of local employment and residents;
- To encourage the construction of an appropriate share of the regional need for housing;
- To encourage and promote housing programs and activities to enable the City to meet the needs of all economic segments of the community, including the provision of adequate levels of rental housing; and
- Provide and maintain a sound economic base for the City.

Under the Measure U Ordinance, the City is able to award allocations of 254 units/building permits per year, of which 40 units are reserved for low and very low income housing. **Measure U expired in January, 2012.**

Post Measure "U" Growth Management: Program: H.N. requires a study to assess whether or not a growth management program is warranted when Measure U expires based on type and prices of housing generated between 2009 and 2011. The study has not been prepared. If it is determined that a growth management program is warranted, Program H.N. includes possible types of exemptions (e.g. 100% affordable, certain types of infill, lot

consolidation, downtown mixed use Measure y exemption area) and requires any growth program to be consistent with general plan growth rate of at least 254 dwelling units per year, as shown in Table LU1 of the General Plan Land Use and Community Design Element. If development continues at the projected rate shown in Table LU1 of the City of Hollister General Plan, approximately 508 new residences are realized based on 254 dwelling units per year.

2.3.a (6) Building Codes and Code Enforcement

The City of Hollister has adopted the California Building Code (2007) part of the Uniform Building Code that sets minimum standards for residential development and all other structures. The standards may add material and labor costs, but are accepted state-wide as necessary minimum standards of safety. They do not pose a special constraint to the production of housing, but rather, protect the community.

Building code compliance for pre-existing units is limited to the enforcement of health and safety standards, rather than new construction building codes. The City's Code Enforcement Department will continue to abate, on a complaint basis, illegal second units that pose significant life-endangering conditions. In these cases, the City enforces an ordinance that requires owners of illegal units to provide three months rent to displaced renters.

As part of the City's ongoing program to encourage legalization of illegal units where life safety is not an issue, the City, through the RDA will offer rehabilitation loans to homeowners to make necessary repairs and modifications to illegal units to encourage conformance with the City's new second unit development standards, subject to the availability of Affordable Housing funds.

The City of Hollister has experienced a high per capita foreclosure rate recently. The Council approved a vacant house ordinance to avoid public nuisance and potential destruction of property associated with vacated homes. Members of the City Council, Redevelopment Agency staff in partnership with San Benito County staff, continue to participate in town hall meetings for educational outreach to the community about how foreclosures can be avoided.

The City maintains an ongoing program of inspection and enforcement with its Code Enforcement officer and temporary staff. Redevelopment and Code Enforcement personnel staff work as partners in the process to identify and convert substandard and dilapidated housing conditions. The Code Enforcement division conducted an average of 42 inspections for "substandard housing" violations over the past four (4) years; activity is currently done on a complaint basis. As funding permits, enforcement related to dilapidated housing within the City will be done on a proactive basis in an effort to reduce the number of dilapidated and unsafe structures in the City. One full time officer and one part time person work through the Community Development Department handling Code Enforcement Violations. The City has a past practice of referring residential rehabilitation violations to the Redevelopment Agency for potential housing rehabilitation assistance and will continue to do so. The City has

identified the need to implement proactive code enforcement, as funding permits, to further enhance the City's ability to maintain the affordable housing stock.

2.3.a (7) On- and Off-Site Improvements

For residential projects, the City requires both on- and off-site improvements. These include: curb/gutter and drainage facilities, sidewalks, paved streets, landscaping and water and sewer service. Such improvements are required as a condition of the subdivision map, or if a map is not required, improvements are required as part of the development project. These on- and off-site improvements promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public.

Curbs/gutters and drainage facilities direct storm and runoff water out of residential developments. City roadways are required to be paved. Pavement creates an all-weather roadway, facilitates roadway drainage, and reduces dust. Pavement also produces a high-speed circulation system and facilitates relatively safe traffic movement. Roadways are classified by the City according to traffic needs, as follows:

- Urban Arterial Highway: highway with 4 lanes and left turn pockets
- Major Highway: highway with 4 lanes and left turn pockets
- Secondary Highway: highway with 4 lanes
- Collector: highway with 2 lanes

Arterials, highways, and collector streets are designated in the Hollister General Plan Circulation Element according to existing and projected needs. Developers are responsible for the development of roadways associated with their residential project. Development impact fees are in place to pay development's fair share of costs for infrastructure needs, including traffic, fire, parks and recreation, water, sewer, and other public facilities.

2.3.a (8) Wastewater Treatment

The City has put in place a Long-term Wastewater Management Plan (LTWMP), and has completed construction of a new state-of-the-art wastewater treatment plant and reclamation facility, that began operation in September, 2008.

Per the 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan the total water demand within the city in 2010 was approximately 2,859 acre-feet per year (average of 2.6 million gallons per day). Groundwater supplied most of this water demand. (Todd Engineers 2011). The per capita water use for the city (which includes not only direct residential water use, but also indirect water uses that benefit residents such as irrigation, commercial uses, industrial uses, and other municipal uses) is 149 gallons per day (Todd Engineers 2011, Table 3-6.a). The RHNA water demand would be served by city's existing and planned levels of groundwater extraction, as identified in 2010 Hollister Urban Area Urban Water Management Plan, and would not cause groundwater levels to drop to the extent that a net deficit in the 16,000 acre-feet per year sustainable capacity of area groundwater aquifers, or the 9,950 acre-feet per year available to Hollister and Sunnyslope in the future would occur.

Waste Discharge Requirements. The City of Hollister Domestic Wastewater Plant has a design capacity of 5.0 million gallons per day, which will provide sufficient capacity for anticipated flows through the year 2023, according to the city's *Long-Term Wastewater Management Program* (City of Hollister 2005) and the 2008 *Urban Area Water and Wastewater Master Plan* (City of Hollister 2008). Currently, the domestic wastewater plant processes approximately 2 million gallons per day which means that there is enough capacity to meet the RHNA for lower income households for sewer. Wastewater facilities and treatment are provided by the City of Hollister. The city operates two wastewater treatment and disposal facilities. The Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant is located west of downtown on both sides of the Highway 156 bypass near the San Benito River. The Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facility is located west of downtown Hollister at the west end of South Street and on the north side of the San Benito River, less than one mile east of the Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant. Treated wastewater from both facilities is disposed of by percolation, which contributes to localized areas of high groundwater in the Hollister West sub-basin. Wastewater generated from future residential development will be collected and conveyed to the City of Hollister Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant for treatment and disposal. The city's wastewater treatment plant utilizes immersed member bioreactor technology to produce effluent that meets state Title 22 requirements for tertiary recycled water. A more detailed discussion of the City's new wastewater treatment plant can be found in Section 2.2 of this document.

Water Capacity. There is enough capacity to meet the RHNA for lower income households for water and sewer in the City of Hollister. In general, water quality is regulated by the State Water Resources Control Board through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. The goal of the program is to control and reduce pollutants to water bodies from point and non-point discharges for both long-term project activities and construction activities. The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (regional board) issues and enforces NPDES permits for discharges to water bodies in the portion of Monterey County that drains to the Monterey Bay. Storm water from the city drains into the San Benito River and the Pajaro River, which eventually empties into the Monterey Bay. As identified in the city's general plan EIR, groundwater is used in conjunction with surface water to meet water demands within the Gilroy-Hollister underwater Basin (page 4.10-8). "Conjunctive use" of groundwater and surface water can result in a combined yield that is greater than the sum of the separate yields of the surface water and groundwater components. This is achieved by using stored groundwater to supply most of the demand during droughts, when surface water deliveries are curtailed. During wet periods, surface water is used to meet most of the demand, and groundwater storage is allowed to recover.

2.3.a (9) Off-Street Parking/Garages

Off Street Parking

Detached single family homes are generally required to provide two spaces per dwelling unit. The provision of off-street parking for multiple family uses is determined by the use. The City is currently reviewing the parking standards for

multi-family housing to link the standard to number of bedrooms. Parking based on the number of bedrooms would reduce off-street parking requirements for studios and one bedroom apartments. The City will continue to implement recently adopted measures to reduce the required parking freeing up more land area for the provision of housing, particularly in the downtown and mixed use zones. Measures include creating shared parking where feasible, utilizing compact spaces, and providing a waiver of the parking requirement for low income housing. Off-street parking requirements for second units include development of a total of four spaces for both the primary and secondary unit, although the planning commission may authorize a total of three spaces if one of the units has one bedroom or less and require one off-street parking space in setback areas per studio unit. Off-street parking requirements and other development standards are included in Section 2.2a; for reference the Residential Sections of the Zoning Ordinance are also included within Technical Appendix T-G to the housing element.

Garages

The City of Hollister encourages minimizing the visual impact of garages and/or covered carports. As stated in the Hollister General Plan, garages and driveways are to be located to the side of buildings and recess, or along rear alleyways or below the building in some higher density developments. The City discourages home designs in which garages dominate the public façade of the home.

2.3.a (10) Open Space

Residential

Residential development should include open space as an integral part of a project or with the dedication of land for open space, and identify limitations to uses in those areas, such as restrictions on ornamental landscaping, structures and fences.

Private/Public Open Space

Through the development review process, the City of Hollister General Plan states that the City will preserve open space areas and encourage the dedication of open space areas that are adjacent to public open space. In addition, when potential open space is not contiguous to existing public open space, the preference is to retain the open space in private ownership. When portions of a site are retained as private open space, the City ensures the preservation and management of that open space through appropriate means, including required maintenance, as determined through development review. The City will work with other public and non-profit agencies to identify sources for acquisition and maintenance of open space. A minimum of 450 square feet of usable private yard area per unit is also required.

2.3.a(11) Persons with Disabilities

The City of Hollister conscientiously and specifically, implements and monitors compliance with SB 520 (Article 10) in the review of its General Plan, zoning ordinances, development codes, construction and re-construction regulations, and in the development of multi-family housing.

In order to provide exceptions in zoning and land use for housing persons with disabilities, the City has adopted written procedures as of October, 2008 to handle written land use and zoning decisions and procedures for formal requests for "Reasonable Accommodation" related to siting, development, funding and use of housing for person with disabilities. A copy of the Resolution and written procedures is included as Technical Appendix T-H to this housing element. It is incumbent on the City to strive to make sure the health and safety of adjacent residents are not jeopardized, by granting reasonable accommodation exceptions.

The zoning ordinance requires submittal of a vehicular and pedestrian plan for new development with requirements for American with Disabilities Act accessible connections between sidewalks, buildings and adjoining properties, shaded (deciduous acceptable) corridors, separation of paths from internal roads and contrasting paving (no paint) where crossing through an internal road cannot be avoided.

Supportive multi-family or single-family housing for the disabled is specifically permitted in any residential zone that permits multi-family complexes. Depending on the size of the development, they are required to provide handicapped parking spaces. The City is flexible and works with the developers of special needs housing and will reduce parking requirements if the applicant can demonstrate a reduced need for parking. The new Zoning Code, Title 17 (see Table 17.04-1) establishes a residential care facility for seven (7) or fewer occupants as a permitted use in all residential districts; facilities for eight (8) or more and supportive housing are permitted with a Use Permit in the High Density districts.

2.3.b. NON-GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

The ability to address the needs of underserved citizens of the City of Hollister must overcome a variety of obstacles, many of which are beyond the scope of municipal government. The responsibility for identifying, responding to, and mitigating these needs rests with the agencies providing services. Funding limitations exist at all levels.

Market forces influence the stability of housing units and rental prices. This includes existing and new dwelling units. While actions within the public sector play important parts in determining the cost of housing, pricing in the private sector is still the primary determinant of residential markets due to development costs (e.g., land, construction materials, financing) and consumer preference. State law requires an analysis of potential and actual governmental and non-governmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing for all income levels. The Housing Element must identify ways, if any, to reduce or overcome these constraints in order to meet the City's housing needs.

2.3.b(1) Land and Construction Costs

A number of costs are involved in the development of housing. These include the cost of land, materials, labor, financing, fees and associated development requirements, sales and marketing costs, and profit. Because many of these costs are determined by economic markets, it is difficult for a local government to mitigate or reduce them. A jurisdiction's land use policies, however, do directly

influence the value of developable land.

The City of Hollister has not approved a subdivision with one acre lots. Larger lots of one-half and 1 acre or more are found outside of the city's limits in the unincorporated area of San Benito County. As an example of 2005 land costs, a developer of a 297-acre subdivision containing 35 lots, improved with undergrounded utilities, is currently marketing 1+ acre lots for \$320,000 to \$335,000. These are intended to be improved with high-end homes that are affordable to above-moderate incomes.

Developable land under the City's control is currently subjected to the General Plan, Zoning, and other development policy. In 2005, according to local realtors, a lot zoned R-1 with a lot size between 4,500 and 6,500 square feet typically sold between \$150,000 and \$160,000.

According to a local engineer, R-1 lots may be valued around \$100,000 to \$125,000 but the real estate market is in uncharted waters. Local land values have been suppressed by the high number of foreclosures in Hollister². Lenders are repossessing homes faster than they are being sold causing declining market values in the City of Hollister. As of January 1st, 2009 the median home sales price for San Benito County has dropped 35.19% from 2007 to \$259,250.00 increasing the number of negative equity at-risk homeowners. According to the San Benito County Assessors' Office, some older homes on 6,000 square foot lots in Hollister are now selling for \$150,000 - \$180,000, which is close to the value of a vacant lot.

Construction costs vary depending upon the type of materials and finishes used. According to local developers, hard construction costs for housing typically range from \$60 to \$70 per square foot. Including the cost of land, site improvements, and development fees, a typical house will cost approximately \$200 per sq. ft. or, for example, \$400,000 for a 2,000 sq. ft. single-family unit. However, a local engineer has stated that due to the current market conditions, a 2000 square foot home may sell for \$350,000.

Other than owner-builders, there may be little incentive to construct market rate homes for re-sale until land values stabilize in the first part of the program period. The City of Hollister is seeking partnerships with the Redevelopment Agency, the San Benito County Housing Coordinator, San Benito County, non-profits and Economic Stimulus funding to address the foreclosure issues in order to avoid additional foreclosures and stabilize land values

² Based on rough estimates from the City of Hollister Code Enforcement between 3000 and 5000 foreclosures have occurred in Hollister over the past couple of years.

2.3.b (2) Availability of Financing

An important factor in determining the availability of affordable homeownership opportunities is the availability of financing at reasonable interest rates. Interest rates are determined by the market and are affected by the general health of the economy, Federal Reserve Board policy, the current and expected rates of inflation, Federal fiscal policy, and other factors. In recent years, interest rates have been at historical lows. Currently 30-year conventional mortgage rates are at around 6%; lower interest rates are available for a variety of financing options.

It has become increasingly difficult for homebuyers without sufficient assets or home equity to qualify for financing without above-moderate incomes. Even those with above moderate incomes are finding it more difficult to obtain financing. The median price for a house in Hollister was \$426,275 in May 2008. Assuming a 10% down payment and an interest rate of 6% with a 30 year fixed loan, the monthly payment of the median priced home would be \$3,011. A monthly payment of \$3,011 would require a household income of \$120,000 to qualify for the loan. The median household income for a family of four is currently \$81,100.

2.3.b(3) Condominium Conversions

As the availability of land decreases and the cost of land increases, there is a trend by developers to actively pursue the conversion of rental stock into more affordable homeownership condominium units. Condominium conversions can become one of the ways the City of Hollister can meet the demand for first time home buyer housing. However, while it does address the demand for homeownership, the conversions can remove necessary rental housing targeted to those households in the moderate incomes who may not be able to afford to buy a house.

The City adopted and will continue to enforce the condominium conversion ordinance No. 1022 in 2007 that links the rate of conversion to the generation of new multi-family housing rental stock. Until the number of multi-family units in the housing stock increases to above 20%, the conversion rate will be limited to 25% of the yearly average of multi-family rental units constructed in the previous to years. The conversion rate increases to 50% when the multi-family stock increases to above 20% of the citywide housing stocks.

City recognizes that some of the housing stock that may be converted would otherwise be affordable to tenants with low or moderate income, thus removing viable rental stock from the City's rental market. The City has approved projects that will generate 205 affordable rental units (Vista Meadows, Westside Apartments and Award Homes). In 2009, the City of Hollister approved allocations for up to 709 dwelling units for 2008, 2009 and 2010. The approved rating scale priorities allocate 58% of the dwelling units to General Plan designations with medium to high density residential densities, which will help encourage the construction of additional multi-family rental housing stock.

2.3.c. CONSTRAINT REMOVAL EFFORTS

Cost implications for developers of low-income housing can be significant when any increase inhibits the ability to provide units affordable to their clients. To offset developer's costs, fees may be lowered or eliminated by the Department Director on a case by case basis. The City continues to participate in funding programs to facilitate the development of affordable housing; the City will also actively seek funding from all sources to facilitate affordable housing development. Concurrent processing and development processing assistance for affordable projects will assist the development community to reduce costs and time associated with the approval process.

The City continues to offer cost reductions to developers, primarily through the activity of the Hollister Redevelopment Agency. The Agency offers cost savings, including financing options for affordable housing, through its Hollister Second Mortgage Program, the Owner Occupied Rehabilitation Program, and is implementing new programs as part of this housing element to increase the supply and availability of affordable housing opportunities. New programs include Tenant Based Rental Assistance, and the Foreclosed Home Reactivation Program to return foreclosed homes to the active market. The City has also participated either directly or indirectly in the following efforts to reduce other potential constraints on housing development in the City.

2.3.c (1) Traffic

Hollister's tremendous growth in the 1990's has impacted the City's ability to maintain roadways with acceptable levels of service. State Highway 25, the City's major east-west two-lane corridor that currently runs through the downtown area, has become increasingly dangerous and congested. Historical records indicate seventy collisions on Highway 25 annually.

In response to the well documented safety concerns, Highway 25 is currently being rerouted around the downtown section of Hollister with a recently completed "Highway 25 By-Pass". The bypass is anticipated to provide a north-

south corridor connecting commuters to residential areas and reduce traffic congestion in the downtown area, improve safety conditions in and around downtown and help foster a transition to a mixed use downtown. The City of Hollister Redevelopment Agency partnered with the Hollister Downtown Association to fund a strategic plan for downtown Hollister that was completed in 2008. The plan includes concepts for a post-bypass pedestrian oriented corridor on Main Street.

At the west entrance to Hollister, the Successor Agency has prioritized a project to construct streetscape, traffic calming, landscaping and lighting in the West Gateway mixed use district.

The San Benito County Council of Governments is preparing a Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan. Gaps in connectivity for pedestrian and bicycle corridors have been identified as an issue with public outreach for the Master Plan and the Housing Element Update at community meetings.

Partnerships between the city, local organizations, the redevelopment agency, the San Benito County Council of Governments for a combination of pedestrian, parking, and streetscape improvements should enhance the economic vitality of the downtown, the West Gateway, and make the area more desirable neighborhood for future residents and visitors, thereby mitigating what has otherwise been a constraint on development in Hollister.

2.3.c (2) Fee Reductions and Other Considerations

Cost implications for developers of low-income housing can be significant when any increase inhibits the ability to provide units affordable to their clients. To offset development costs, fees may be lowered by the City. Further, cost reductions can occur in the form of increased densities and concessions such as flexibility in site development standards and zoning code requirements, and financial aid from the Redevelopment Agency. The City continues to allow the deferment of impact fees until time of Certificate of Occupancy. The City will continue to employ constraint removal efforts to facilitate increased opportunities for the development of affordable housing.

2.3.c (3) Wastewater Treatment Capacity

The City has put in place a Long-term Wastewater Management Plan (LTWMP), and has completed construction of a new state-of-the-art wastewater treatment plant and reclamation facility, that began operation in September, 2008 that has capacity for the 2023 build-out of the general plan and expansion capacity.

2.3.c (4) Density Bonus Program

The City adopted as part of the revised Title 17 a Density Bonus program in accordance with the current provisions of State law. The density bonus provisions will encourage an increase in the supply of housing for lower income

groups, including very low and low income households. Well designed housing is important for all economic segments of the population. The entire Density Bonus program is contained in Technical Appendix T-G to this document. The program contains provision to allow bonuses of at least 25 percent for projects containing affordable units, and provides other incentives/concessions, including, but not limited to the following:

- Up to 15% reduction in landscaping requirements;
- Reduction in required off-street parking;
- Waiver of fees;
- Approval of mixed use buildings or development in conjunction with the residential development, if non-residential land uses will reduce the cost of the residential development, and if the City finds that the proposed non-residential uses are compatible with the residential development and with existing or planned development in the area where the proposed residential development will be located; and
- Up to 15% reduction in lot dimensions.

The City has identified the need to amend Title 17 to comply with recent amendments to State Law. Program H.HH requires the amendments to be completed by January 2010 and subsequent amendments are warranted by changes to state law.

2.3.c (5) Growth Management Rating Scale

Measure U expired in January, 2012. Program: H.N. requires a study to assess whether or not a growth management program is warranted upon the expiration of Measure U.

2.3.c (6) Mixed Use Zoning

As part of Title 17, the City adopted Mixed Use development standards to make possible affordable housing projects as part of a mixture of other uses, such as second and third story residential uses over commercial uses and offices on the ground floor. Overall, the Mixed Use, with flexible zoning standards, such as height bonuses, alternative parking arrangements, and other City concessions, the City will have at its disposal another tool to encourage and facilitate the development of housing affordable to all income groups including lower income. To provide further incentive for mixed use development in the Measure Y exemption area, a program has been included to adopt a Zoning Ordinance amendment to review infill projects on a case-by-case basis.

2.3.c (7) Second Units

As discussed at length in Section 2.2.a of this housing element, the City has completely revised its regulation of second units to eliminate the requirement for a conditional use permit and allow second units in the City with a building permit if performance standards can be met, thereby creating another opportunity for affordable housing opportunities in the City. Second units are achieved through a ministerial permit with the City of Hollister. The City of Hollister had in place a Growth Management Ordinance known as Measure U per Section 16.64 *Growth Management Program* of the Hollister Municipal Code which allowed up to 254 residential dwelling units per year. Although the Measure U Growth Management Program expired in January, 2012 Second Units were exempt from the growth management ordinance in the City of Hollister per Section 16.64.030 *Exemptions* of the Hollister Municipal Code. Additional information regarding the former growth management program is located in Section 2.3.a(5) *Growth Management* of this housing element on page 3.155.

The City previously did not allow construction of single family residences and in-turn accessory second units in mixed use and the R3, R4 zoning districts in order to assure that land was not underdeveloped. A Program (Section 4.0 H.E) recommends amending the zoning ordinance to allow accessory second units with a building permit in the R3, R4 and Mixed Use zoning districts if there is evidence that an existing single family residence has been constructed on a lot that is less than 8,000 square feet in size, there is not potential for lot consolidation and performance standards for the accessory second unit can be met. In addition, Section H.C Page in 3.182 indicates that the City shall amend the Zoning Ordinance to foster infill and re-use of vacant lots in the Measure Y exemption area and to allow second units in select instances in higher density residential and mixed use zones. The City of Hollister City Council has since amended the City of Hollister Municipal Code and in note number 7 of Table 17.04-1 *Residential Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of Section 17.04.020 *Residential Zone Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of the Hollister Municipal Code it states that Second Dwelling Units are *Permitted where a single-family residence was established on a legal lot that is less than 8,000 square feet in size and the accessory second unit complies with the standards in Section 17.22.040 (Accessory Secondary Residential Units) and there is future multifamily development on the parcel or lot consolidation with adjoining parcels to accommodate future multifamily development.* Second Dwelling Units are also permitted in the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) Zoning District of the City of Hollister per note number 23 in Table 17.08-1 *Commercial and Mixed Use Zone Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of Section 17.08.020 *Commercial and Mixed Use Zone Land Uses and Permit Requirements* of the Hollister Municipal Code which also states *permitted where a single-family residence was established on a legal lot that is less than eight thousand (8,000) square feet in size and the accessory second unit complies with the standards in Section 17.22.040 (Accessory Secondary Residential Units) and there is not potential for lot consolidation with adjoining parcels to accommodate future multifamily development.*

2.3.c (8) Lot Consolidation Incentives

For projects where several smaller lots are consolidated to create a more developable site, Programs H.F, H.Q, H.BB, include incentives including Redevelopment Agency participation to offer financing assistance for projects that provide affordable units.

2.3.c (9) Infill Development

To stimulate infill development, the City is offering incentives such as reducing and or eliminating off-street parking requirements, adopting flexible development standards, and creating a new R4-20 Zoning district with allowable densities of 20-35 units per acre (see Program H.H, H.I, and H.N).

2.3.d. OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION

Housing elements are required to identify opportunities for energy conservation. The increasing cost of energy highlights the importance of reducing energy use by homeowners. Energy conservation reduces monthly housing costs and helps to ease the energy shortage. Programs that promote the use of renewable energy technologies can further minimize the use of nonrenewable resources.

The City of Hollister seeks to encourage energy conservation for homeowners. Title 24 energy conservation requirements are required for all new construction. The standards may increase initial construction costs, but over time result in energy savings and reduce utility costs for residents.

Conservation can be accomplished by reducing the use of energy-consuming items, or by physically modifying existing structures and land uses. The California Energy Commission first adopted energy conservation standards for new construction in 1978. These standards, outlined in Title 24 of the California Administrative Code, contain specifications relating to insulation, glazing, heating and cooling systems, water heaters, swimming pool heaters, and several other items. Specific design provisions differ throughout the State depending upon local temperature conditions.

The City of Hollister promotes the following to encourage conservation:

- LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Program Green Building Rating System is a voluntary, consensus-based national standard for developing high-performance, sustainable buildings. LEED provides a complete framework for assessing building performance and meeting sustainability goals. Based on well-founded scientific standards, LEED emphasizes state of the art strategies for sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection and indoor environmental quality. LEED recognizes achievements and promotes expertise in green building through a comprehensive system offering project certification, professional accreditation and training.
- PG&E. PG&E sponsors a variety of programs designed to encourage energy-efficiency by consumers and the building industry. The company sponsors a

comprehensive rebate program for qualifying ENERGY STAR labeled products, which include home appliances, home audio equipment, office equipment, compact fluorescent lightbulbs (CFLs), lighting fixtures, air conditioners, furnaces, windows and roofing products. Through the California ENERGY STAR Homes Program, builders may qualify for rebates up to \$700 per single-family home and \$150 per multi-family unit by incorporating energy-efficient features into new residences. To qualify for the incentives, builders must be accepted into the program before beginning construction and exceed Title 24 requirements by at least 15 percent. PG&E also provides a recycling program for old, energy inefficient refrigerators and offers a \$35 incentive, free pick-up and environmentally-safe disposal.

SECTION 3.0

HOUSING NEEDS, ISSUES, AND TRENDS

3.1 STATE ISSUES AND POLICIES

On January 1, 2007, the State Legislature amended Article 10.6, reauthorizing the Government Code regarding Housing Elements, first enacted in 1980. By reauthorizing this statute, the legislature continues to find that "the availability of housing is of vital statewide importance, and the early attainment of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family is a priority of the highest order. The early attainment of this goal requires the cooperative participation of government and the private sector in an effort to expand housing opportunities and accommodate the housing needs of Californians of all economic levels. Local and state governments have a responsibility to use the powers vested in them to facilitate the improvement and development of housing to make adequate provision for the housing needs of all economic segments of the community..."

A May 22, 2000 update to the statewide (1996-2000) Housing Plan indicates that California may have to accommodate 45 million people by 2020. To meet the enormous needs for housing and other services, the State will have to use all the resources at its disposal.

The five-year housing strategy is intended for the utilization of federal resources toward housing needs in the State. Three broad objectives are identified for the use of federal funds:

- Meeting low-income renters needs
- Meeting low-income homeowners needs
- Meeting the needs of homeless persons and households requiring supportive services

Within the five year strategy is a sub-list of strategies that are intended to address housing as a statewide concern:

- Development of New Housing (assisting local governments in preparing and implementing housing elements of their general plan, expedited permit processing for affordable housing, funding resources, and fostering partnerships between housing providers).
- Preservation of Existing Housing and Neighborhoods (rehabilitation of existing homes, code enforcement, preserving government-assisted housing projects, and mobile home ownership).
- Reduction of Housing Costs (development on surplus and under-utilized land, self-help construction and rehabilitation programs, tax-exempt bonds for development and rehabilitation financing and modular homes, eliminating duplicative environmental review procedures, and revising regulations that add to the cost of housing development).
- Much higher levels of housing construction are needed to adequately house the State's population.

- High housing cost burdens are increasingly an issue for both owners and renters. The combination of upward price pressure in the housing markets and relatively tight urban housing markets has led to increasing cost burdens, particularly for low-income renter residents.
- In some portions of the State, the level of overcrowding has dramatically increased.
- A substantial portion of affordable rental housing developments statewide are at risk of conversion to market rate use.
- Significant numbers of temporary agricultural workers migrate throughout the State, facing housing challenges that impact their welfare.
- Homeless individuals and households face significant difficulties in obtaining shelter and reintegrating themselves into the broader society.

3.2. **TRENDS**

The following is a summary of housing trends which emerged or are continuing to emerge in Hollister that will affect the production of housing and other housing related opportunities, including housing rehabilitation, and the provision of services to special needs housing groups over the course of the 2009-2014 Housing Element:

- Over the last Housing Element period, the Hollister Housing Program was effective in establishing a dialogue and setting goals toward meeting the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) goals for new construction. The City desires to create a balanced community with housing opportunities for all segments of the population. The City will continue to implement its vision for reinvestment in the downtown in order to revitalize not only the downtown but the entire City; housing is a key component of any revitalization effort. A managed growth approach taking into account the specialized need of Hollister, is of key importance to the community.
- Seven key household trends impacting Hollister's housing stock and the development of new housing are:
 - An increasing need for affordable units of all types in the community, including affordable single family units, and rental units.
 - A continuing demand for farmworker housing.
 - A continued and increasing demand for housing for homeless persons and those threatened with homelessness.
 - An increased supply of affordable rental senior housing.
 - The need for partnerships to facilitate high density residential growth where a range of transportation options are available as well as support services such as child care and after school care.
 - Increased needs for duplexes, condominiums, and apartments to provide increased housing opportunities for all income levels.
 - Shifting commuting patterns as an increasing number of Hollister residents commute to areas outside the County for employment opportunities, and the

impacts on housing affordability for residents that work in San Benito County where wages are generally lower.

- According to the 2008 determination, 16.7 percent of Hollister's housing is in need of minor repairs, 2.0 percent is in need of moderate repairs, and .01 percent (5 units) is in need of substantial repairs.
- One project, with a total of 19 units, is considered "at-risk" of converting to market rate over the next five (5) years. Another 212 units contained in four (4) projects are at a "lower risk" of converting, meaning they may convert to market rate in the next 10 years. The City will continue to monitor "at-risk" units on an annual basis.

3.3. **POLICY GOALS**

The goals, objectives, and programs of the 2009-2014 City of Hollister Housing Element focus on: maintaining and increasing housing affordability; pursuing increased mixed use development opportunities, providing a mix of densities and affordability levels; to encourage and facilitate redevelopment activity, including housing, in the downtown; and continuing the efforts of the Redevelopment Agency to both work independently and through partnerships with non-profit and for-profit affordable housing developers to meet the needs of special needs populations.

The objectives in this update will be quantified to meet the RHNA for the City, as prescribed by San Benito County Council of Governments.

The City of Hollister's housing goals are as follows:

1. Work together to build a sense of community and achieve housing goals.
2. Maintain and enhance existing housing and blend well-designed new housing into neighborhoods and communities.
3. Use land efficiently to encourage a diversity of housing types and to implement "smart" and sustainable development principles.
4. Develop affordable housing opportunities.
5. Provide housing for special needs populations.

3.4. QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES

The quantified objectives of this Housing Element for new construction, rehabilitation and conservation would anticipate affordable units as a result of the City's Second Mortgage program (HSMP) funded by the former Redevelopment Agency RDA. The number of units rehabilitated by income category is based on the percentages of units rehabilitated in each income category during the prior housing element period. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012.

TABLE 61
QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES, 2009-2014

Income Groups	New Construction	Rehabilitation	Conservation	First Time Homebuyer (HSBP)
Extremely Low Income	247	31		8
Very Low Income	365	45	34	9
Low Income	490	42	82	8
Moderate Income	493	37		15
Above Moderate Income	724	0		
Total	2,319	155	116	40

As the availability of land decreases and the cost of land increases, there is a trend by developers to actively pursue the conversion of rental stock into more affordable homeownership condominium units. Condominium conversions can become one of the ways the City of Hollister can meet the demand for first time home buyer housing. However, while it does address the demand for home-ownership, the conversions can remove necessary rental housing targeted to those households in the moderate incomes who may not be able to afford to buy a house.

Furthermore, the City will continue to seek assistance of other affordable housing developers, primarily through partnerships, in the rehabilitation and preservation of these units while establishing affordability covenants to ensure the affordability of the project over time. In addition, Section 2.2.i. Preservation Resources of this document provides efforts by the City to retain low-income housing in particular properties listed by the California Housing Partnership Corporation that are at-risk of converting into market rate.

4.1 **HOUSING PROGRAM**

4.2 **KEY HOUSING STRATEGIES**

A. Enhance existing housing and neighborhoods.

Hollister's neighborhoods should be preserved and strengthened so that they continue to improve over time. As new housing is developed, the City shall be proactive, so that changes continue to enhance Hollister, making it an ever-increasingly attractive place to live. Design policies for multifamily housing will help to ensure enhancement of neighborhood identity and sense of community so that new housing will have a sensitive transition or scale and compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.

B. Continue to support affordable housing.

The City's Redevelopment Agency provided low-interest loans for first-time homebuyers for down payment assistance and for low-income residents to rehabilitate their homes for both owners and renters of all ages, including seniors. The former redevelopment agency also provided funding for several affordable housing developments in Hollister. The State of California adopted AB1X26 and clean up legislation AB 1484 that abolished all RDA's on February 1, 2012. The City will support state or federal funded affordable housing in the City. The City will maintain resources for effective partnerships involving the state and other agencies especially those that work in providing affordable housing.

C. Foster land for multi-family housing and for housing mixed with non-residential land uses.

Abundant land is available for development in and around Hollister, but most of the existing stock is single family residential. About 75% of the existing housing units are single family detached residential, about 5% is attached and the remaining 20% is multi-family housing. Forty seven percent of the sites approved or allocated for residential development are single family residential. The 2005-2023 General Plan prioritizes infill development and a more compact development pattern than the previous General Plan. The City of Hollister recently adopted a new zoning map that reduced the acres of land zoned for single family residential use from 88% to 71%, and at the same time increased the percentage of lands zoned for higher density residential, including mixed use zoning districts. Programs proposed in this Housing Element will foster the development of all types of housing, including multi-family housing, and carry out the General Plan goals of more dense development patterns. Mixed use housing and adaptive reuse of underutilized sites will be encouraged and facilitated to increase housing opportunities for lower income households. Mixed-use areas, especially in and around the downtown area, will support multiple City objectives for economic development as well.

- D. Create Partnerships to facilitate effective implementation of affordable housing programs.

Maintain resources for effective partnerships involving neighborhoods, businesses, civic and service organizations, and the County in addressing housing needs. Housing policies should be written so that affordable housing is targeted to a variety of economic levels, integrated into projects and dispersed throughout the community.

- E. Generate affordable housing with a variety of strategies, which may include utilizing the Performance Overlay Zoning District and Mixed Use Districts to allow flexible densities and flexible standards to provide a mix of housing types and prices for all income levels.

- F. Address growth and infrastructure needs to be able to meet the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation.

Housing policies must be integrated with related issues, such as land use, design, traffic capacity, economic development, and adequate infrastructure, to ensure a comprehensive approach.

- G. Encourage appropriately designed second units.

Second units shall be encouraged as a means of developing small, affordable units throughout the community. Second units can provide a significant source of affordable housing. Often smaller than typical homes, second units can provide housing for younger persons, seniors, single parents, and lower-income households. They can also provide a source of income for the owner of the main home, making housing more affordable for the primary homeowner as well.

4.3 HOUSING ELEMENT GOALS AND POLICIES

State law requires the Housing Element to include quantified objectives for the maximum number of units that can be rehabilitated, conserved, or constructed. Policies and programs establish the strategies to achieve these objectives. The City's quantified objectives are described under each program. Assumptions are based on past program performance, infrastructure constraints, construction trends, land availability, and anticipated future program funding. A timeline and description of the City's housing policies and implementing measures are included in the matrix and organized according to the following goals:

Goal H1 Work together to build a sense of community and achieve housing goals.

- Goal H2 Maintain and enhance existing housing and blend well designed new housing into neighborhoods and communities.
- Goal H3 Use land efficiently to encourage a diversity of housing types and to implement “smart” and sustainable development principles.
- Goal H4 Develop affordable housing opportunities.
- Goal H5 Provide housing for special needs populations.

Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
Goal H1	Work together to build a sense of community and achieve housing goals.		
H1.1: Local Government Leadership: Establish affordable housing as a citywide priority, with local government taking a proactive leadership role in working with community groups and other agencies on identified housing element implementation actions in a timely manner.	Planning Commission and City Council	2016	Update the Housing Element Regularly [H.FF] [H.Z] Prepare information and conduct outreach on housing issues [H.Y]
H1.2: Community Participation in Housing and Land Use Plans: Assure effective and informed public participation from all economic segments of the community in the formulation and review of housing and land use issues, policies, and programs.	Planning Division	2016	Establish partnerships with the community to establish neighborhood associations [H.G][H.GG]
H1.3: Managed Growth that meets Regional Housing Needs Determinations: Manage new growth and assure orderly development of vacant land while expanding public services and infrastructure to meet housing need. Assure that a growth management program does not preclude the City's ability to meet affordable housing goals for lower and moderate-income households. The City of Hollister had in place a Growth Management Ordinance known as Measure U per Section 16.64 <i>Growth Management Program</i> of the Hollister Municipal Code which allowed up to 254 residential dwelling units per year. Although the Measure U Growth Management Program expired in January, 2012 Second Units were exempt from the growth management ordinance in the City of Hollister per Section 16.64.030 <i>Exemptions</i> of the Hollister Municipal Code. If Council determines to create a new growth control ordinance then assure that second units will remain exempt from growth management.	Planning Division	2016	Modify the growth Control ordinance after the expiration of Measure U [H.S]

Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
H1.4: Timing of Housing and Infrastructure: Continue to support the timing of new housing with needed infrastructure improvements.	Public Works	2017	Expand sewer and water system capacity to meet housing needs [H.K]
H1.5: Equal Housing Opportunity: Ensure equal housing opportunities for individuals and families seeking housing in Hollister are not discriminated against on the basis of race, color, religion, marital status, disability, age, sex, family status (due to the presence of children), national origin, or other arbitrary factors, consistent with the Fair Housing Act.	Successor Agency and Planning Department	2016 (ongoing)	Respond to fair housing complaints Disseminate fair housing information [H.II]
H1.6: Monitoring, Evaluation and Revisions: Establish a regular monitoring and update process to assess housing needs, opportunities, and achievements, and modify policies, programs and resource allocations as needed.	Successor Agency City Council Planning	2016 On-going	Implement monitoring systems [H.BB] Update the Housing Element regularly [H.Y] Conduct an annual Housing Element review [H.Y]
H1.7: Legislative efforts: Work with other jurisdictions in San Benito County and the surrounding areas to support key legislation that assists cities in providing affordable housing consistent with the General Plan.	Successor Agency	2016 On-going	Prepare information and conduct outreach on housing issues [H.G]
Goal H2	Maintain and enhance existing housing and blend well designed new housing into neighborhoods and communities.		
H2.1: Housing design process: Review proposed new housing to achieve excellence in development and design through an efficient project review process.	Planning Commission	2016 Ongoing	Administer Design review and refine the design review process [H.J]
H2.2: Design that fits into the Neighborhood Context: Enhance neighborhood identity and sense of community by designing new housing with a sensitive transition of scale and compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.	Planning Commission	2016 Ongoing	Adopt Criteria for use in design review and refine the design review process [H.J]

Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
H2.3: Housing Design Principles: Provide stable, safe, and attractive neighborhoods through high quality architecture, site planning, and amenities that address the following principles: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Building Bulk Requirements Street Patterns "Sense of Place" Visual Impact of Parking and Garages Quality Building Materials 	Planning Commission	2016 Ongoing	Adopt criteria for use in design review and refine the design review process [H.J]
H2.4: Resource Conservation: Promote development and construction standards that provide resource conservation by encouraging housing types and designs that use cost-effective energy conservation measures and fewer resources (water, electricity, etc.) and therefore cost less to operate over time, supporting long term housing affordability.	Planning Division and Building	2016 Ongoing	Publicize energy conservation programs and reward energy efficient projects under the allocation program [H.N] [H.X] Apply Title 24 requirements [H.I]
H2.5: Renewable Energy Technologies: Promote the use of renewable energy technologies (such as solar and wind) in new and rehabilitated housing when possible.	Building Division and Planning	2016 Ongoing	Promote solar design [H.L] Encourage "green" building standards and processes
H2.6: Maintenance and Management of Quality Housing: Support good management practices and the long-term maintenance and improvement of existing housing through housing and building code enforcement, and rehabilitation loan assistance for low and moderate income homeowners and rental property owners with lower income tenants. Make the most effective use of rehabilitation loan funds by prioritizing their use to meet the greatest need.	Building Successor Agency	2017 On-Going 2017 On-going	Link code enforcement with public information programs [H.EE] Assist in maximizing use of rehabilitation loan programs [H.Y]

Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
Goal H3	Use Land efficiently to encourage a diversity of housing types and to implement “smart” and sustainable development principles.		
H3.1: Variety of Housing Choices: Achieve a mix of housing types, densities and designs to provide choice in owner and renter housing, housing close to jobs and transit, mixed use housing, varying affordability levels, mobile homes and manufactured housing, assisted living and supportive housing, and other housing types.	Planning Planning	2018	Implement mixed-use development standards [H.E., H.F and H.L] Implement zoning amendments for manufactured homes [H.K]
H3.2: General Plan and Zoning for Housing: Maintain an adequate supply of land designated for all types of residential development to meet the regional housing need. Within this total, maintain a sufficient supply of land for multi-family housing and mixed use housing to meet the quantitative housing need for very low, low, and moderate income housing units.	Planning Planning	2016 On-going	Utilize inventoried sites for multi-family infill housing and support and encourage lot consolidation when opportunities arise [H.N][H.BB][H.CC][H.MM]
H3.3: Efficient Use of Housing Sites and Establishment of Minimum Densities: Approve well-designed projects at the mid to high range of general plan densities; do not approve development below minimum designated General Plan densities unless physical or environmental constraints preclude its achievement. If development on a site is to occur over time require applicants to show that proposed developments do not prevent subsequent development of the site to its minimum density.	Planning	2016 On-going	Update land use plans to facilitate multi-family infill housing [H.N][H.T][H.BB]
H3.4: Impact and Planning Fees: Continue to allow lower sewer and water connection fees and lower traffic impact fees for multi-family development.	Planning	2016 On-going	Review impact and planning fees [H.C] The City of Hollister allows lower impact fees for second units or special needs housing such as senior housing or multifamily low income housing since often times they don't create the same impact. The lower tiered impact fee applied to secondary units is shown on table 56 of this document in the multi-family category.

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

H3.5: Employee Housing: Encourage employers developing large projects (100+ employees) to provide housing opportunities for their employee's onsite or offsite.	Planning	2017	Utilize land use plans to facilitate multi-family infill housing [H.F] [H.Q] [H.T]
Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
H3.8: Second Units: Encourage additional well-designed second units as a desired use in all residential neighborhoods throughout the City by simplifying permit review and encouraging that in new subdivisions over 10 units a portion of the lots construct a second dwelling unit by creating appropriate incentives. Consistent with State housing law, exempt second dwelling units from area density calculations.	Planning	2017	Provide information on second units for homeowners [H.E] Amend Zoning Ordinance to allow second units in R3, R4 and Mixed Use zones where lot consolidation is not possible a lot is less than 8,000 square feet[H.E]
H3.9: Encourage Site Planning Conducive to Physical Activity: Encourage design and site planning of residential developments that have features and amenities that support physical activities.	Planning	2016 Ongoing	Utilize land use plans to facilitate multi-family infill housing [H.H][H.BB]
Goal H4	Develop affordable housing opportunities		
H4.1: Fair Share: Promote a "fair share" of well-designed affordable and varied housing in all neighborhoods throughout the City.	City Council	2020	Implement Condominium Conversion Ordinance and accompanying inclusionary regulations. [H.U and H.AA]
H4.2: Protection of Existing Affordable Housing: Ensure that affordable housing provided through government subsidy programs, incentives and deed restrictions will remain affordable, and intervene when necessary to help preserve such housing.	Successor Agency	2016 Ongoing	Protect "at-risk" units [H.V]
H4.4: Establish options for Meeting future Inclusionary Requirements: The City will establish its primary intent and strategy for adopting an inclusionary requirement. The City will determine the standards by which additional density will be allowed when projects provide a defined percentage of units affordable to low and moderate income households.	Planning Division and Successor Agency	2020	The City will allocate for affordable units while Measure U is in affect and assess the need to adopt an Inclusionary Housing Ordinance [H.Q][H.U]

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

H4.5: Leveraging of Public Funds: The City shall encourage private investments in affordable housing by continuing to leverage public funds where possible.	Finance, Successor, and Planning Division	2016 On-going	Implement plan to expend funding resources [H.DD]
Policies	Lead Responsibility	Time Frame	Implementation Measures
H4.6: Long-Term Housing Affordability: Establish resale controls and rent and income restrictions to ensure that affordable housing provided through incentives and/or inclusionary programs and as a condition of development approval remains affordable over time to the income group for which it is intended. Implement long-term or in perpetuity agreements and/or deed restrictions with developers to govern the affordability in such units. Provide assurance through recorded agreements and monitor their continuing affordability.	Successor Agency Planning Division	2016 Ongoing	Protect "at-risk" units [H.V][H.NN]
H4.9: City Actions to Achieve Affordable Housing Goals: Utilize the unique powers of the City's Successor Agency and other means to assist in achieving the City's housing goals, especially housing for lower income households including Extremely Low Income.	Planning Division	2016 On-going	Facilitate development at key housing sites [H.AA] The City of Hollister meets with developers and encourages developers to work with agencies that Develop special needs/affordable housing such as Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA), Community Services Development Corporation (CSDC) and others to partner and provide affordable housing.
Goal H5	Provide housing for special needs population		

<p>H5.1: Special Needs Groups, including Special Needs Households, and Density Bonus units for Special Needs: Actively promote the development and rehabilitation of housing to meet the needs of special needs groups, including the needs of seniors, people with disabilities, farm workers, the homeless, people with HIV/AIDS and other illnesses, people in need of mental health care, single parent families, large families, and other persons identified as having special housing needs.</p>	<p>Planning Division</p>	<p>2016 On-going</p>	<p>Monitor special needs housing [H.FF]</p> <p>Maintain and develop partnerships with local organizations and agencies [H.FF] [H.PP], [H.QQ], [H.RR]</p> <p>The City of Hollister has a density bonus section in the Hollister Municipal Code under Section 17.04. In accordance with Sections 65915, 65915.5 and 65917 of the California Government Code, the purpose of this Article is to provide density bonuses, incentives, or concessions for the production of housing for extremely low, very-low, lower, and moderate-income households, and senior households. In enacting this Article, it is also the intent of the City to implement the goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan Housing Element and to establish a City density bonus for the provision of affordable senior housing. The Density Bonus Section of the Hollister Municipal Code is attached to this document in its entirety as Appendix K.</p> <p>Table 29 on page 3.91 provides statistical data regarding persons with disability by employment</p>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

3. HOUSING ELEMENT

Final Housing Element, April, 2016

H5.2: Farmworker Housing: Continue to work with the County to find solutions to farmworker housing needs and support efforts and provide assistance to develop appropriate solutions to providing temporary or permanent affordable farmworker housing.	Successor agency	2016 On-going	<p>Establish zoning for farmworker housing [H.R]</p> <p>The City of Hollister meets with developers and encourages developers to work with agencies that Develop special needs/affordable housing such as Community Housing Improvement Systems and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA), Community Services Development Corporation (CSDC) and others to partner and provide affordable housing.</p>
--	------------------	------------------	--

4.4 IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES

1-Year Time Frame

- H.A Implement development impact fees and planning fees that encourage the construction of units affordable to lower income households.

The City has adopted fees on a sliding scale for multi-family projects. Lower fees are charged to encourage development, while maintaining adequate funding levels for infrastructure and public services. The City will approve projects based on lower fees to lower the overall costs of multi-family housing. The City will evaluate additional measures that can be taken to further reduce costs and continue to allow lower sewer and water connection fees and lower traffic impact fees for multi-family development, in an ongoing effort to reduce the cost of development.

- H.B A new Zoning Ordinance was adopted by the City in December, 2008. As per Section 17.22.120, Homeless Shelters and Transitional Housing, identified as Small or Large Temporary Residential Shelter (LTRS or STRS), are permitted in the North Gateway (NG) zoning district provided that each establishment meets specified objective location and operational standards. The City shall also identify sufficient capacity to develop one homeless shelter within a year. The City shall establish a partnership with providers who establish short-term bed facilities for segments of the homeless population, including specialized groups such as the mentally ill and chronically disabled, to further demonstrate the City's support of the development of Transitional and Supportive Housing. The City shall also continue to assist with the identification of potential locations for SRO projects and will offer providers City assistance with grant writing and other technical assistance.

- H.C The City has amended the Zoning Ordinance to foster infill and re-use of vacant lots in the Measure Y exemption area and to allow Second Units in select instances in higher density residential and mixed use zones.

- The Zoning Ordinance has been amended to specify that second units are allowed with a building permit in the R3, R4, and Mixed use zones if there is an existing residence on a lot not less than 8,000 square feet, where there is no potential for lot consolidation, and development standards for the second unit can be met.
- The City shall continue to encourage infill development on small lots, re-use of property and lot consolidation and allow review of multi-family or mixed use development in the Measure Y exemption area on a case-by-case basis with flexible standards.

- H.D The City shall encourage lot consolidation to facilitate the creation of lots more conducive to the development of higher density affordable infill housing projects in the City of Hollister, with a focus on properties located

within the multi-family zoning districts.

- In order to stimulate the development of affordable projects in the multi-family and mixed use districts, in keeping with the goals of the General Plan the City of Hollister will provide assistance to developers to expedite project plan review for proposed infill projects.
- The City will maintain the inventory of potential lot consolidation sites included in Appendix G and facilitate lot consolidation efforts by hosting annual informational meetings or targeted mailings to property owners.

H.E Establish partnerships with the community in the form of neighborhood associations to reach neighborhood level agreements on mutual goals and a vision of the community.

Work with community members and developers to establish neighborhood associations that will have direct communication channels with City Hall, and shared goals and action plans; the City will publicize joint efforts through the City's newsletter and website. The City will also assist the process by making public meeting facilities available free of charge. Seek partnerships to facilitate bridge housing for young adults (foster youth) and the establishment of multi-use facilities for childcare, afterschool youth programs, and adult education.

Review legislation at the City of Hollister/San Benito County Intergovernmental Committee meetings to support legislation that assist in providing affordable housing and special needs housing.

H.F The City and the Successor Agency shall partner to take the following steps to stimulate infill development and re-use of vacant lots and the upper floors in the Measure Y residential growth management exemption area.

- Encourage use the parking reduction measures in 17.18 .090 of the Zoning Ordinance.

- The City Council shall adopt a resolution establishing the “In Lieu Certificate” fee for off-street parking in the Measure Y exemption area.
- The City Council shall amend section 17.24.90 Reduction of Off-street Parking Requirements to waive the in-lieu fees for the first 50 units in the Measure Y exemption area that meet one of the following criteria: 1) the project is re-use of the upper floor of an existing commercial building that lacks off-street parking; 2) a lot consolidation project with multi-family residences at a density of at least 20 units per acre; 3) the project provides affordable housing for extremely low, very low or low income households. The City Council may consider waiving in-lieu fees for an additional 25 multi-family units that meet the criteria above where a parking study demonstrates that the average off-street parking ratio within two blocks of the proposal is 60% or less.
- Amend the zoning ordinance to eliminate the guest space off-street parking requirements for infill projects with two to four units.
- Amend the zoning ordinance to allow zero-lot line development in the Downtown Mixed Use District; eliminating the requirement for common open space and reduce the minimum parcel size requirements.
- After the construction of 100 multi-family residential units in the Measure Y Exemption area, the City of Hollister shall review the need for a parking district, review the effectiveness of the recently established parking enforcement procedures, review the In-Lieu Certificate fee program and encourage continued city/business partnerships such as the possible Property Business Improvement District.
- The City will maintain the inventory of potential reuse and new construction sites in the Measure Y exemption area and provide informational meetings and targeted mailings to educate property owners about opportunities for multi-family residential development.

H.G The City shall amend the Zoning Ordinance, adding provisions to allow flexible standards for proposed mixed use/residential development in the Measure Y exemption area rather than the current requirement to use the

R4 residential standards. The intent is to stimulate development in the Measure Y exemption area for the variety of development types, including re-use of upper floors, infill of small and medium vacant lots, and provide opportunities for lot consolidation. In order to ensure flexibility to approve innovative and denser development types in the Measure Y Exemption area, development approvals will be done on a case-by-case basis.

2-Year Time Frame

H.H The City shall continue to implement the Site and Architectural Review procedures adopted as part of Title 17. The City shall provide public handouts containing information about the review process, and the City will train planners and design review members on the design review process and criteria to be used in reviewing projects. The City will make information available to the public within the first year after the Housing Element adoption.

The City will develop further criteria to guide the development of projects in the City affordable to lower income households. The City shall examine small lot housing, infill and mixed use near established neighborhoods to determine criteria for sites suitable to lower income households. Criteria to include building bulk requirements, enhancing sense of place, minimizing the impacts of garages on the streetscape, and enhancing the sense of place. The City will meet with non-profit housing developers working in Hollister to request assistance identifying any additional development standards that will facilitate the development of housing affordable to lower income households.

a. Building Bulk Requirements.

In multi-unit buildings, require designs to break up the building mass and reduce bulk, including the use of upper story step backs and landscaping. Ensure a human scale in new development, and when possible create multi-family buildings that have the appearance of single-family homes. Windows, doors, and exterior treatment, for example, are an important element of building design and are an indicator of overall building quality.

b. Street Patterns.

Incorporate transitions in height and setbacks from adjacent properties to respect adjacent development character and privacy. Design new housing so that it relates to the existing street pattern and creates a sense of neighborliness with surrounding buildings.

c. Enhance the “Sense of Place”.

Design new housing around natural and designed focal points, through direct pedestrian, transit or automobile connections. Respect existing landforms, paying attention to boundary areas and effects on adjacent properties.

d. Minimize the Visual Impact of Parking and Garages.

Encourage driveways and garages to be located to the side of buildings and recessed, or along rear alleyways or below the building in some higher density developments. Discourage home designs in which garages dominate the public façade of the home.

b. Use Quality Building Materials.

Building materials should be high quality, long lasting, durable and energy efficient.

H.I Partner with water purveyors to continue to provide for future water system capacity to meet housing needs.

Identify additional water sources in order to provide adequate water for the additional development projected under the General Plan (see programs in the Community Services and Facilities Element).

The City will create a schedule that will lay out the procedure and policy decisions necessary to set up the MOU and the approximate time frame to complete.

H.J The City shall research funding opportunities with PG&E and other sources for the development of a program similar to the solar ‘Berkeley First’ program.

The City shall establish and adopt a program including pictures of lighting standards/types, and other established approaches to solar design and

construction to offer incentives to developers to utilize solar technology to offer cost savings in affordable and market rate housing. The City shall provide bi-lingual education materials and explore site review standards for solar access for new development.

H.K The Residential Development Performance Overlay (PRZ) zoning district shall be used to fulfill General Plan goals to provide a variety of housing types and lot sizes for all income levels, to allow transitional densities between established neighborhoods and to facilitate the construction of affordable housing throughout the City of Hollister. When the development density of a portion of a project in a PRZ is lower than the average development density, the City shall initiate the rezoning of a portion of the property to the R4-20 zoning district to assure that the average minimum development density of the property, based on the applicable zoning district, is met and that affordable multi-family housing will be constructed.

H.L Prepare an expedited development review process for affordable housing development by the following means.

a. Priority Processing.

Affordable housing developments shall receive the highest priority. Efforts will be made by staff and decision-makers to: (1) provide technical assistance to potential affordable housing developers in processing requirements, including community involvement; (2) consider project funding and timing needs in the processing and review of the application; and (3) provide the fastest turnaround time possible in determining application completeness.

b. CEQA Review

Use mitigated Negative Declarations, the General Plan EIR, or specific area EIR's to assess potential impacts and mitigation measures. Specific actions include: (1) conduct environmental review and, as appropriate, prepare an EIR for General Plan Amendments and Rezonings for identified key sites; and (2) specify appropriate densities, design guidelines and development standards to avoid known potential environmental impacts or to mitigate potential impacts.

c. Review strategies to meet parking standards in the mixed-use districts and Downtown Hollister.

3 year time frame**H.M**

The City shall amend the zoning ordinance to ensure that local zoning, development standards, and permit processing procedures for farmworker housing comply with Health and Safety Code Sections 17021.5 and 17021.6, which generally require the following:

“Any employee housing consisting of no more than 36 beds in a group quarters or 12 units or spaces designed for use by a single family or household shall be deemed an agricultural land use designation for the purposes of this section. For the purpose of all local ordinances, employee housing shall not be deemed a use that implies that the employee housing is an activity that differs in any other way from an agricultural use. No conditional use permit, zoning variance, or other zoning clearance shall be required of this employee housing that is not required of any other agricultural activity in the same zone. The permitted occupancy in employee housing in an agricultural zone shall include agricultural employees who do not work on the property where the employee housing is located.” The City shall also ensure that such procedures encourage and facilitate the development of housing for farmworkers.

- H.N** Participate in partnership fashion with both CHISPA and Community Services Development Corporation to facilitate the development of affordable housing opportunities.

The City will form a partnership with local developers to assist in achieving the City’s housing goals and implementing various programs proposed in this Housing Element.

- H.O** Measure U expired in January 2012; thereafter the City will amend the Growth Management Ordinance. In 2016, the City of Hollister will initiate a study to determine the need for a growth management program based on the number of residential housing units generated during the program period as of 2011, by type and affordability level. The study shall evaluate not only what the appropriate rate of future growth may be, but should consider the need for an on-going residential growth management program in relationship to other types of development (i.e. commercial and industrial uses). The information shall be used to assess unmet needs for housing types and prices and ensure adequate allocations are available to accommodate the City's remaining regional need by income level throughout the remainder of the planning period.

Possible exemptions shall be considered including: 1) extension of the exemption to growth limits in the Downtown Area; 2) exemption for

affordable housing projects; 3) exemption for infill residential development on parcels less than two acres in size; and, 4) exemption of lot consolidation projects with a density of 20 units per acre or more; 5) exemption for minor subdivisions in the Low Density Residential General Plan land use designation. Any growth management program shall allow construction of at least 254 dwelling units annually to ensure sufficient allocations are available to accommodate the City's remaining regional need by income level throughout the remainder of the planning period. In addition, as the City is relying on growth in the Measure Y exemption area to accommodate a portion of its lower-income need, if by 2023 growth is lower than expected, additional allocations will be made available for projects at densities of 20 units per acre or more equal to the City's remaining unmet need shall be made available to assure that sufficient New Construction needs for lower-income households can be fulfilled.

- H.P The City of Hollister will assess the need to adopt an Inclusionary Housing Ordinance.

5-Year Time Frame

- H.Q Protect “at-risk” units.

The City/Successor Agency will pursue State and Federal funding sources, such as the HOME program, to keep units affordable. The City/Successor Agency will also continually engage property owners to take advantage of deferred loan programs for rehabilitation, mortgage refinancing, and acquisition to keep units affordable long term (typically 55 years).

- H.R The City recognizes that the annexation of residential land for residential development will be necessary to meet the needs of the community going forward and also to meet the future RHNA requirement.

The City of Hollister will seek to annex land located within the City's Sphere of Influence, specifically, those parcels contained in unincorporated islands comprising approximately 75 acres. In addition, the City will target other lands in the City's SOI for annexation

during this housing element period.

On-Going Time Frame

H.S Publicize energy conservation programs.

Provide public information on alternative energy technologies for residential developers, contractors and property owners. Publicize energy conservation programs and weatherization services that are available to provide subsidized or at cost inspection and corrective action by making information available through the City's website and City newsletters.

H.T The City shall continue to engage in a public notification program to publicize the availability of and maximize the use of rehabilitation loan programs.

Facilitate greater participation in the program through educational outreach, by increased advertising and by encouraging resident participation.

H.U The City shall conduct an annual housing element review in accordance with State Law.

Assess Housing Element implementation through annual review by the Hollister Planning Commission and City Council in conjunction with State requirements for a written review by April 1 of each year (per Government Code Section (65583(3))). Provide opportunities for public input and discussion in the review and establishment of annual work priorities for staff, Planning Commission, and City Council. Submit an annual general plan progress report to the California Office of Planning and Research (OPR) and the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) as required pursuant to Government Code Section 5400.

H.V Facilitate affordable housing development at key housing sites by leveraging Agency funding where possible to involve private investments. The City will work with the San Benito County Housing coordinator, local non-profit housing providers, the Successor Agency and other organizations to identify key sites and construct affordable rental and ownership units.

The City will continue to utilize the inclusionary requirement that is a part of the Condominium Conversion Ordinance.

H.W Maintain a list of new sites for multi-family infill housing. City staff will maintain a list of properties; field staff will assist in identifying new sites in the course of daily inspections.

Identify additional sites for multi-family land use for the development of affordable and special needs housing where opportunities are available that will not dramatically change the neighborhood characteristics of an area. Such sites may include, but are not limited to:

- a. Land owned by other groups and governmental agencies (such as school districts).
- b. Vacant land (small, scattered infill sites).
- c. Re-use of underutilized or non-viable commercial sites and, in limited circumstances, industrial sites, to encourage adaptive re-use of vacant buildings with residential or mixed residential and commercial uses.
- d. Parking Lots.
- e. Residentially zoned sites where higher density is feasible or may be accommodated through lot consolidation.

H.X Maintain monitoring systems.

Annually monitor and update housing development accomplishments, including housing type and affordability level of housing units approved and built during the planning period. Monitor general housing conditions and track the number of housing units rehabilitated and replaced against housing program objectives. Maintain an up-to-date inventory of vacant land for distribution to developers and non-profit organizations; mail this inventory to private and non-profit developers on an annual basis and provide this information at the planning counter and by request to all interested parties.

The City shall continue to annually monitor the ratio of residential to commercial development in mixed use areas that have been developed

since 2005. If 50% of the inventory in a geographic area is developed at a rate with less than 1 dwelling unit per 5,000 sq. ft. of commercial space, then the City of Hollister is will rezone vacant land in the area to the R4 zoning district based on a standard to assure construction of a minimum of 1 dwelling unit per 5,000 square feet of commercial space.

H.Y Pursue and expend all obtained funding resources.

Establish specific uses of housing funds and/or land donations generated and designate the Successor Housing Coordinator position to manage them. The City/Successor Agency will continue to work with non-profit and for-profit housing developers, and take actions necessary to expedite processing and approvals for such projects.

All other sources of funds shall be pursued by the City, including HOME and CDBG funds, to assist with the construction and rehabilitation of lower income housing, including extremely low income owner/renter occupied housing. The City will partner with non-profit and for profit affordable housing developers, to support their financing applications for MHP, Joe Serna Farmworker Housing Program, tax-exempt bonds, tax credits and other programs that become available. The City will pursue all funding sources when appropriate or when the Notices of Funding Availability are issued; annual funding cycles will be monitored regularly for ongoing programs.

H.Z Link code enforcement with public information.

Continue to implement housing, building and fire code enforcement to ensure compliance with basic health and safety building standards. Provide information about rehabilitation loan programs offered by the Redevelopment Agency, for use by qualifying property owners who are cited for violations. In particular, contact owners of structures that appear to be in declining or substandard condition, offer inspection services, and advertise on the City's web site and via direct utility bill mailing, promoting programs that will assist in funding needed work. The City will also continue to enforce the property maintenance ordinance that authorizes more rigorous enforcement of codes pertaining to deteriorated dwellings.

H.AA Monitor special housing needs to capture additional opportunities for housing for special needs groups.

Monitor special needs housing, including the following:

- f. Smaller, affordable residential units, especially for lower income single-person households.
- g. Affordable senior housing to meet the expected needs of an aging population, including assisted living and board and care (licensed facilities)
- h. Affordable units with three or more bedrooms for large family households.
- i. Affordable housing that can be adapted for use by people with disabilities (specific standards are established in California Title 24 Accessibility Regulation for new and rehabilitation projects).

H.BB Foster and maintain partnerships with local businesses, housing advocacy groups, and neighborhood groups in building public understanding and support for affordable and special needs housing. Programs offered by the City Successor Agency, include second units, rental assistance, rehabilitation loans. Specific actions include:

- j. Provide information pamphlets on housing issues and programs at public locations and community mailings.
- k. Maintain City web site page with information on housing issues and programs.
- l. Distribute material to neighborhood groups and associations.
- m. Provide public information through articles in the local newspapers and the City's newsletter and on the City's website.
- n. Work with unions, churches, businesses, new housing providers and other groups that might be mobilized to help support affordable and special needs housing developments.

H.CC Review the City's Zoning Ordinance to ensure density bonus policies for the provision of affordable housing opportunities are in compliance with current State law, per Government Code Section 65915-65918. If found to be out of compliance, the City will amend the Ordinance to bring it into compliance with State law. Amendments to the Ordinance shall take place in January of the following calendar year.

H.DD Continue to provide fair housing information to the community and respond to fair housing complaints.

The Successor Agency Project Manager is the designated Equal Opportunity Coordinator in Hollister and will ensure that written materials regarding fair housing law are provided at various public locations in the City and that information regarding fair housing agencies and phone numbers is posted on the City's website and at City Hall, the Post Office, and local transit locations where feasible. Information shall be made available in both English and Spanish. The Redevelopment Project Manager will provide information to real estate professionals, property owners and tenants on their rights, responsibilities, and the resources available to address fair housing issues.

The Successor Agency Project Manager will investigate and deal appropriately with fair housing complaints. The City will refer discrimination complaints to the appropriate legal service, county or state agency. If mediation fails and enforcement is necessary, the City will refer tenants to the State Department of Fair Employment and Housing or HUD, depending on the nature of the complaint.

H.EE Continue to require that, at a minimum, all new residential development complies with the energy conservation requirements of Title 24 of the California Administrative Code.

H.FF Make sites available to meet new construction needs for a variety of income levels with growth management allocations and fostering infill development in the Measure Y Downtown Area of Hollister that is exempt from growth management allocations. The Development Services Department will maintain inventory of vacant buildings/properties in the Downtown Measure Y growth management exemption area and inform property owners about options for infill development on upper levels and under-utilized properties.

H.GG Continue to implement the City of Hollister Successor Agency sponsored resale regulations for very low, low and moderate-income units to ensure that these units remain at an affordable price level. Identify an appropriate nonprofit or government agency to manage affordable housing created through the Agency's programs.

H.HH The City shall monitor the Site and Architectural Review process to ensure that the resulting time and cost does not unduly constrain residential development, particularly multifamily housing affordable to low and moderate income households, and development within Mixed Use districts. The planning department will complete an annual review to evaluate application processing times and the impact of conditions of approval to determine whether the Site and Architectural Review process is a significant constraint on residential development. The review will be presented in an annual staff report to the Planning Commission and made publicly available.

If the Site and Architectural Review process is found to adversely constrain residential projects, particularly affordable projects, the City will take action to amend the process or establish guidelines to reduce processing times to the extent feasible by State law or to develop alternate procedures as may be necessary to mitigate adverse impacts. The review will be conducted as part of the City's Housing Element Annual Report submitted to the state.

H.II The City shall monitor the Growth Management allocation process to ensure that the time and cost does not unduly constrain residential development, particularly multifamily housing affordable to low and moderate income households. If the Growth Management process is found to adversely constrain residential development, the City will amend the process where possible to reduce or eliminate adverse impacts, or to increase allocations to lower income units. The review will be conducted as part of the City's Housing Element Annual Report submitted to the state.

H.JJ The City of Hollister will assess the need to implement an ordinance that will establish a requirement for the improvement of multifamily development in all subdivisions within the Medium and High Density Residential Zoning Districts that proposed to create ten or more residential lots.

H.KK *Work with the California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) and their resources as well as the County of San Benito to implement an outreach program that informs families within the City on housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities. The program could include the development of an informational brochure, including information on services on the City's website, and providing housing-related training for individuals/families through workshops.*

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: Development of Outreach Program by December 2016

H.LL Develop a program to provide rental assistance to fill the gap between income levels and the cost of housing for persons with Developmental Disabilities. The program will include the following steps:

Step one: Work with DDS and their resources and the County of San Benito to identify the housing needs of the clients and assist in identifying available housing that meets those criteria.

Step two: Identify the gaps that limit access to housing for persons with developmental disabilities (i.e. financial, accessibility).

Step three: Develop Guidelines and market program.

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: Begin Program Development January, 2017.

H.MM Explore models to encourage the creation of housing for persons with

developmental disabilities and implement a program by 2018. Such models could include assisting in housing development through the use of set-asides, scattered site acquisition, new construction, and pooled trusts; providing housing services that educate, advocate, inform, and assist people to locate and maintain housing; and models to assist in the maintenance and repair of housing for persons with developmental disabilities. The City shall also seek state and federal monies for direct support of housing construction and rehabilitation specifically targeted for housing for persons with disabilities.

Responsibility: Development Services Department

Timing: January 2018.

Appendix A

CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HOLLISTER MINUTES OF SPECIAL JOINT MEETING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLISTER

April 13, 2015

CALL TO ORDER:

The special joint meeting of the City Council of the City of Hollister with the Planning Commission of the City of Hollister was called to order by Mayor Velazquez on April 13, 2015, at 5:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers of City Hall, 375 Fifth Street, Hollister, California.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL: Roll was answered as follows:

City Council:

PRESENT: Mayor Ignacio Velazquez, City Council Members Karson Klauer, Victor Gomez, Raymond Friend, and Mickie Solorio Luna, City Manager William Avera, City Attorney Paul Rovella, City Clerk Geri Johnson and Deputy City Clerk Christine Black.

ABSENT: Chief of Police David Westrick.

Planning Commission of the City of Hollister:

PRESENT: Chair David Huboi, Commissioners Carol Lenoir, Greg Harvey, Gabriel Torres, and Christopher Alvarez.

VERIFICATION OF AGENDA POSTING:

The Agenda for the City Council of the City of Hollister special joint meeting of April 13, 2015, with the Planning Commission of the City of Hollister, was posted on the bulletin board at City Hall on April 8, 2015, at 4:40 p.m. per Government Code Section 54956.

1. Discussion on Housing, included but not limited to:

(a) Comprehensive Housing Approach

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.
2. Jennifer Coile, Hollister, CA, requested update for housing element.
3. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, commented on zoning and encouraged stepping back and take more time to look at projects.
4. Ray Pierce, Hollister, CA, San Benito County is looking at a plan to interconnect subdivisions through parks via linear pathways. Parks are important to keep our youth healthy.

Chief of Police Westrick present at 5:45 p.m.

(b) Future Residential Developments

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.
2. Council Member Klauer stated that the projects we are developing are not affordable for Hollister residents due to the median income and only those who live outside of Hollister can afford housing here. A mix of allocations should be provided, and affordable housing seems to not be built.
3. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, developers build to make a profit; encouraged looking at ways to fund affordable housing; stated most pay 40% of their income on housing.

Police Chief Westrick absent at 6:05 p.m.

(c) Multi-family Housing

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.
2. Tom Nino, Hollister, CA, developers find it hard to finance multifamily units over 4 units due to the Government not providing standard loans for those projects. Rents had been stagnant; and have gone up in the past few years. Developers will build on smaller lots, which would mean reduction in water use, yet, still provide options for variety.

Appendix A

3. Michael Cady, Benchmark Communities, most want a single family homes, and there are financial issues with affordable housing. Density is based on the community. When talking density, think of the growth. Developers build to make a profit.

4. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA, stated that median income here is \$64,000, in the Bay Area it is \$144,000. No matter where affordable housing is, it is under scrutiny due to owners in the area worried about the value of their homes. If affordable homes were built, they would sell.

5. JB Howard, Hollister, CA, commented that some people come here to live in the country and the market will be what it will be.

(d) Affordable Housing Programs, including Tax Credits

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.

2. Seth Capron, South County Housing- suggested we look at self-help homes, work on grants to help. Self-help homes changes lives and makes it possible for low income families to have a decent place to live. Suggested establishing conditions that owners must stay in the homes and not move away for a generation. The market drives the area for low income housing.

3. Planning Commissioner Alvarez -encouraged affordable housing throughout the city, instead of having it concentrated in an area.

4. Council Member Luna stated many residents seek affordable housing outside of Hollister; additional affordable housing is needed.

(e) Housing Fund

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.

2. Planning Commissioner Torres spoke on the Housing Trust Fund. City Clerk Geri Johnson absent at 7:30 p.m.

f) Impact Fees

1. Received oral report from City Manager Avera.

2. Marty Richman, Hollister, CA thanked the City Council and Planning Commissioner for this meeting.

3. Planning Commissioner Huboi encouraged mixed use housing.

4. Planning Commissioner Lenor also thanked the City Council for this meeting.

5. Planning Commissioner Harvey recommended embracing tourism to help with funding for projects. The City is on the right path and we need to continue.

g) Discussion only; no action taken by the City Council or the Planning Commission.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the City Council and the Planning Commission, the special joint meeting of April 13, 2015, was adjourned at 7:58 p.m. by motion of Council Member Friend. Motion seconded by Planning Commission Chair Huboi and carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Black Deputy City Clerk

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Suggestions from public Misc.

- Add RDA boundary map to housing element – general plan (Gabriel Torres)
- Re-use of upstairs down town? Janet Brians
- What can agencies do to provide incentives - (David Huboi)
-

Farmworker

Existing Needs:

- Affordable units for families who work in agriculture (50% of income or more derived from agriculture, including field workers, cannery workers, packing workers, food processors etc.)
- Affordable rental units
- The migrant center has a waiting list for the first time this year. Appears to workers that work for lettuce processing facility in the San Juan Valley – Earthbound farms. Existing Migrant center have capacity for 150-200 unaccompanied males or females and 67 families from June to November. Local migrant centers have waiting lists
- Zoning designations for multifamily residential
- Rental assistance programs
- Expand existing services provided by local migrant housing centers in an attempt to meet the needs of the migrant population in the community
- Funding Sources

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Farm worker family support services in education, health, housing, tax preparation and others.
- First time home buyer program for family members
- Counseling & Support Services
- There is room for expansion at the Migrant Center

Public's Ideas:

- Why can't seasonal workers be permanent
- Is there training for persons at labor camp for financial? Enrique explained today the focus is health, immunization
- Any programs considered to put migrant farm worker housing on marginal land near the operations – Paul Rovella

Appendix A

- Migrant Center is spending money to feed and house migrant workers but what are they doing for the community (Jess – homeless task force)
- Pursue USDA funds for the 2010 application cycle for farm workers and single families – This funding may not be available in the future (Gabriel Torres)

Solutions:

- Seek additional funding sources for new or ongoing operational expenses
- Work with agricultural employers to create programs to implement employee housing

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Senior

Existing Needs:

- Senior affordable housing
- Affordable rental stock
- Smaller housing units such as one to two bedroom
- Zoning designations for multifamily residential
- Rental assistance programs
- Expand existing services provided by existing organizations to meet the needs of the senior population in the community
- Funding Sources

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Senior support services such as estate planners, accountants, insurance agents, Medicare specialist, real estate attorneys, tax attorneys
- Expand existing support program for seniors with Alzheimer's to provide support that promotes maximum benefit
- First time home buyer program for family members
- Difficulty affording a rental unit with two fixed incomes leads to living with sibling or being homeless. Living with sibling invades sibling's privacy and removes independence of senior.
- Counseling & Support Services
- Education for retirement

Public's Ideas:

- Senior housing should not be confined to senior developments or ethnic groups. Senior housing should be included in all housing programs. (Tony Ruiz)

Appendix A

- Senior housing should be built so that it can be utilized by persons with wheel chairs or walkers (doorways, door handles, bathroom, bedroom).
- The City should explore Tenants and owners development corporation of San Francisco
- Senior services should be integrated into senior housing projects (Gabriel Torres)
- National Council of Aging provides jobs if you are SOIC. They ask a return on their investment. Jobs are minimum wage and 20 hours per week. Typically jobs help community – there are for local residents and help non-profit organizations. Helps prevent homeless for seniors

Solutions:

- Seek additional funding sources for new or ongoing operational expenses
- Palm Court Project. Community Services Development Corporation has received planning commission approval for the construction of 32 affordable apartment units, 10 of which will be affordable senior units. The project location would be south of 4th street, east of West Side Blvd and west of Line Street.
- Vista Meadows. South County Housing Corporation has applied for the construction of 72 affordable senior apartments. The project location would be Rancho Drive and East Park Street.
- Market rate – Annotti project (160 units)
- Growth Management Allocations (reserve a percentage of low income for seniors)

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Homeless/Transitional

Existing Needs:

- Year Around Homeless Shelter (Existing winter shelter is for 18 and over) Provides services with Behavioral Health, coordinate with Economic Development Department for jobs, flu shots, dental and medical services. This year introduced volunteer program for occupants for community clean up.
- Existing transitional for six month at County Migrant Center provide winter emergency shelter for _____ families from Dec to March
- Homeless Shelter to support both individuals & families
- Increase Capacity of Homeless Shelter (more bedrooms)
- Designated Site or Zone for future Homeless Shelter
- Funding Sources

Appendix A

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Rehabilitation Facility for Homeless
- Counseling & Support Services
- Back On Track Again Program (BOTA)
- Single Room Occupancy Units (SRO)

Public's Ideas:

- Back on track program (work for foreclosures, West Nile virus, maintenance of landscaping in Hollister)
- Most non-profits are not sustainable financially. Form a consortium with a super board of non-profits to help establish endowments and pool resources. City and county staff should be part of the consortium.
- Need money to run a house for a Back on Track program (\$24,000) per year – jobs such as house cleaning.
- City planning officials can assist with zoning information
- Prefer facility with training on site versus single family houses
- Examples of facilities can be found in Sun Street Centers in various neighboring communities
- Indemnification program for commercial properties (Jim West)
- The Bill Wilson Center in San Jose is a good example for transitional housing for the youth

Solutions:

- Senate Bill No. 2 (Cities/Counties Must Identify Adequate Site(s) w/Zoning)
- Assembly Bill 335 for homeless assistance
- Develop a Strategic 5 – 10 yr. Plan
- State HCD Funding Programs
- Seek Additional Funding Sources
- Streamline efforts with all stakeholders (For-Profits, Non-Profits, Community, etc...)

We will receive additional Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Local Workforce:

Existing Needs:

- Increase Availability of Affordable Rental Housing
- Increase Availability of Affordable Homeownership Housing

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Add local Industry/Employment Opportunities
- Habitat for Humanity
- Rehabilitate Housing
- Higher Density Zoning

Public's Ideas:

We will receive Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Solutions:

- County's Updating Inclusionary Housing Ordinance
- Proposed City RDA Down Payment Program
- State HCD Funding Programs
- Employer Assisted Housing Programs

We will receive additional Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Female Single Head of Household

Issues: Female Single Head of Household with children

\$\$\$ Makes less money so housing choices typically limited

\$\$\$ Cost of child care

Possible housing discrimination

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Child care facilities are needed
- Youth centers and play areas within or near housing
- More choices for housing types
- Rental housing that is affordable

Public's Ideas:

- Day care for single head of households in an apartment
- Schools could partner to create after school programs

Solutions:

- Consider plans for community centers especially in higher density and mixed use areas
- Programs to establish child care facilities close to housing
- Consider child care center facilities near major employers/employment centers (e.g. Natural Selection)
- Affordable rental housing with facilities for children

We will receive additional Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Large Family Household:

Supply

- There is an adequate supply of housing with three or more bedrooms
HOWEVER...

Existing Needs:

- Many three and four bedrooms home does not meet the local demand \$\$\$\$\$.
- Cost of homes of three or more bedrooms is not affordable for some households.
- Increase availability of affordable Homeownership Housing
- Increase supply of three bedroom apartments

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Housing programs for foreclosed homes may be a way to provide housing for large family households

Public's Ideas:

We will receive Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Solutions:

- RDA - ???
- Rental apartments with three bedrooms
-

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Low Income Household:

Supply

- Not enough housing affordable for all income levels
- Housing prices inflated by Bay area – housing stock is affordable to another region
- Median income is inflated by commuters earning more money the Bay Area

Existing Needs:

- Child care facilities
- Youth centers
- Low income senior housing
- Rental housing (apartments)

Additional Input We've Heard:

- Resale of foreclosed homes

Public's Ideas:

We will receive Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Solutions:

- RDA - ???
- Rental apartments with three bedrooms
- Solar energy (reduce utility costs)
- Research grant program for solar energy with roof replacement (reduces utility costs)
- Programs for a more diverse supply of housing types
 - Starter rentals (foster children, first-time rental)
 - Studios
 - Down sizing – transition from family to semi-retirement-retirement
-

We will receive additional Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

We will receive additional Public Input @ the Workshop for this section

Appendix A

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Foreclosures

Post occupancy program for homeowners transitioning from rental to ownership (Gabriel Torres)

Avoidance programs

Neighborhood Stabilization Program

Right now SBC 7th in state for population ratio no #2

Why only first time home buyer – some people lost homes or want to relocate to Hollister

Housing Element Workshop Topics

Diability

Colloborate with the City and County to identify area to improve sidewalks and accessibility between properties. There is confusion about jurisdiction and why sidewalks are missing. (Dave Rovella said he receives a lot of calls as an attorney.)

Community Assistance Network (CAN) ^{Appendix A}



Dedicated to all Children & Families Since 2003

1111 San Felipe Road ♦ Hollister, CA 95023 ♦ (831) 637-9293 ♦ Web-site: www.sanbenityouthcan.org

Community Assistance Network (CAN)

July 30, 2008

8:15 A.M. – 10:00 A.M.

MINUTES

DRAFT

Mission Statement: The mission of the Community Assistance Network is to advocate for the acquisition of resources for the well-being of San Benito County children and their families.

Vision Statement: CAN believes that all children and families deserve to live in an asset rich community which provides adequate basic needs such as safety, food, shelter, health services, a sound education and a supportive family system.

Present: Andi Anderson, Margie Barrios, Kendra Bobsin, Rochelle Callis, Kay Gibson, Irma Gonzalez, MaryAnne Hughes, Dennis Kurtz, Betty Mason, Diane Ortiz

Absent: Frank Beitz, Brent Cardall, Ron Crates, Kim Dryden, Carley Galarneau, Kellie Guerra, Kellie Kennedy, Tony LaMonica, Stan Rose

Guests: Mary Paxton/Manager City Planning, Abraham Prado/Assistant Manager City Planning, Chris (CJ) Valenzuela/Housing Coordinator, Michael Kelly/County Planning, Gifford Swanson/IHSS Public Authority

I. **Welcome:** Chair, Kendra Bobsin, called the meeting to order at 8:30 A.M.

II. **Addenda to Agenda:** MaryAnne Hughes requested that Special Guest, Mary Paxton, be added under item #V.

III. **Approve Agenda:** The agenda was approved with addition mentioned in item #II. *M/S/C* Rochelle Callis/Dennis Kurtz*

IV. **Review & Approve Minutes:** The minutes of the May 28, 2008 meeting were approved as presented. *M/S/C Dennis Kurtz/Rochelle Callis.*

V. **Special Guests:**

A. **Discuss who members would like to invite:** For future meeting the board suggested inviting

1. Casey Castillo of 1st 5, Kendra Bobsin will ask Casey, and
2. A representative of the Gang Awareness Taskforce to discuss gang paraphernalia, gang color recognition, etc. Andi Anderson will ask Enrique Arreola to invite them since he is on their board.

B. **Housing Element:** (I hope I got all the Depts correct, MaryAnne, please correct me) Mary Paxton: Manager City Planning, Abraham Prado: Assistant Manager City Planning, Chris (CJ) Valenzuela: Planning Department Housing Coordinator, and Michael Kelly: County Planning attended the meeting to discuss the Housing Element and to gain insight on

Leadership Team

Kendra Bobsin – Chair
MaryAnne Hughes – Vice Chair
Andi Anderson – Secretary

Margie Barrios
Frank Beitz
Rochelle Callis
Brent Cardall

Ron Crates
Kim Dryden
Robert England
Kathy Flores
Carly Galarneau

Kellie Guerra
Kay Gibson
Irma Gonzalez
MaryAnne Hughes
Kellie Kennedy

Dennis Kurtz
Tony LaMonica
Betty Mason
Diane Ortiz
Stan Rose

Appendix A

community needs. Mary Paxton distributed a flyer on the "Housing Element Revisions Community Outreach" and explained the goals and vision of the Housing Element. By updating the Housing Element it will be easier to go for more grants. Hoping to hear what the special housing needs are in the community. What programs are working and what needs to be updated. A lengthy discussion ensued and topics discussed were:

1. **Special Needs Housing:**

- a. **Childcare:** Kendra Bobsin discussed the need implementing childcare into the plan. This was a need in the past that has been put on hold. Lack of childcare puts a huge strain on families without a stable childcare system. Developers could contribute to a childcare fund. Kendra has models that can be used.
- b. **Visit Ability:** Gifford Swanson discussed the "Visit Ability" movement in Europe and the minor changes that can be made to homes to assist individuals with disabilities i.e., no steps to enter the front door, wider hallway & doorways. Eliminating steps is a huge deal. Gifford stated that he would like to see in the future is that 100% of homes are built this way. Check out these web-sites:
http://www.baltimorecountymd.gov/Agencies/community/ADA/visit_ability.html
<http://www.ap.buffalo.edu/idea/visitability/designforlifespan/primerva6.htm>
<http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/hhstmpl.asp?url=/content/hhs/ads/DesignForLife/DesignForLifeMontgomery.asp>
- c. **Foreclosure:** CJ Valenzuela stated that he is targeting families facing foreclosures and is working to educate them on their options. It is important to know if families have special needs in order to better assist them. In the present market many clients don't qualify for aid because they own property & vehicles. Many have never used federal programs and don't know where to go to find assistance.
- d. **Farm Worker Housing, In-Fill & Transitional Housing:** MaryAnne Hughes discussed the need for more "In-Fill" homes (enables many low and moderate income families to become first-time homebuyers) & transitional housing based on a fee scale. Needs to be staff & office space in the housing developments to provide support to assist residents with their transition. For more information on In-Fill homes see: http://infill.gisc.berkeley.edu/report_vol-1.pdf.
- e. **Youth Centers & Play Areas:** MaryAnne Hughes and Diane Ortiz discussed the need for Youth Centers & play areas within the housing complexes that would be a safe place for youth to go, especially older youth. The outside areas should include: playgrounds, outside playing area (basketball, softball, etc). Inside areas should include: a full kitchen, computer hookups, lots of electrical outlets, extra rooms for counseling, etc. Gifford discussed a program he was involved with that provided computer skills training for adults & youth that was funded through small grants, the city & school districts. If there is collaboration between city, county & non-profits, there is funding available.
- f. **Foster Youth:** Kay Gibson discussed emancipated foster youth and the lack of options available to them causing them become homeless on their 18th birthday. Discussed purchasing housing for these youth. Foster youth could be a special housing needs group.

Appendix A

- g. **Studio Apartments for College Age:** Betty Mason discussed the need for Studio apartments and boarding houses for young people who can't afford to move out. Often the young people can only afford \$500/month rent. Discussed implementing a program where people with larger homes would be willing to rent their rooms for a transition period. This could be done by educating the public on the needs of the community.
- h. **Affordable Housing:** The lack of affordable housing has created a problem with garage living & "couch surfing". Couch surfing is families moving from one family member/friend to another until they find permanent housing. 5% of youth in schools are considered homeless.
- i. **Housing Survey:** Mary Paxton discussed distributing a survey through the Community Pantry, Public Authority, CSWD, HHSA etc. Survey must be in English/Spanish and should be kept to one page. Planning Department personnel should contact the Homeless Taskforce Manager, Cindy Parr to ask if she and homeless shelter staff could interview the homeless at Dunne Park and Park Hill.
- j. **Community Development Block Grant (CDBG):** Discussed the CDBG grant that the city can't apply for because of the lack of a Housing Element. Diane discussed the CDBG funding standstill with the city.
- k. **Zoning Law:** Mary Paxton discussed a recent law that was passed that mandates implementing homeless shelters and transitional housing into a zoned district and how this affects the city draft plan. Mary Paxton explained that with the adoption of the 2005 General Plan, a Housing Element has been included and the Planning Department is hoping to have the Housing Element certified by next June.
- l. **Self-Sufficiency:** Kendra Bobsin distributed a copy of the self-sufficiency report for San Benito County. Discussed the self-sufficiency standards for San Benito County. For a 1 adult family with 2 children the self-sufficiency rate is \$54,000 a year! Check out the Insight Center for Community Economic Development web-site at: <http://www.insightccd.org/>.
- m. **Schools:** Discussed the need for permanent, accessible schools to support new neighborhoods. It is important that sidewalks be included in new neighborhoods, especially around schools. Crosswalks are also important.
- n. **Downtown Housing:** Mary Paxton discussed the inventory of the upper level of the downtown in order to reuse the property for low-income housing. MaryAnne Hughes stated that it is important that downtown markets provide more nutritional options in their store for those without transportation.

Kendra thanked Mary Paxton & her group for coming, and offered to review their draft plan once it is completed. The CAN would like to invite the planning members back in the future for an update on the General Plan.

VI. **Review Strategic Plan:**

- A. **Survey Report:** MaryAnne Hughes reported that she is still working with Community Foundation and the Compass Point for non-profit training on community building. The Packard Foundation is providing the training to Hollister as a gift. The training title is

Appendix A

"Community Action 101". They are hoping to schedule the training in September or October and are encouraging every non-profit to attend. Once the dates are set the survey and invitation will be sent out. Suggestions for a training site are: the Presbyterian church, Bolado Park, San Juan Oaks, or Ridgemark.

- B. **Packard Foundation**: Andi Anderson reported on the Community Foundation's request to film Hollister Youth Alliance (HYA) for a DVD production sponsored by the Packard Foundation. This will be used to market the HYA agency and community to other Foundations as a way to promote funding services in our County. What is really great about it is that HYA was selected as THE youth agency representative of SBC!! The Community Foundation, GoKids, & YMCA are all creating a DVD as well. Discussed having all non-profits come together for one major fundraiser and dividing the funds between them. Rochelle Callis & Kendra Bobsin will form a committee to discuss further.
- C. **40 Developmental Assets (40DA)**: Dennis Kurtz reported that there has been no action on the 40DA grant since the last meeting. Unfortunately, the person writing the grant was laid-off. Dennis is hoping he will have more to report at next meeting. Discussed purchasing the 40DA brochures and distributing them into the community. Rochelle has some of the materials that were purchased and housed at the YMCA.
- D. **Foster Youth Services (FYS)**: Frank not present items will be tabled to the next meeting.
 - 1. **Current Activities/Update**: Frank Beitz will provide an update on Foster Youth Services.
 - 2. **College Tour**: Frank Beitz invited the student who participated in the college tour to share at the June meeting, however; the meeting was cancelled due to the "Kids at the Park" event. Discuss rescheduling the college student.
 - 3. **Advisory Meeting**: Frank Beitz will report on outcome of the Foster Youth Advisory Council meeting with Behavioral Health that was held in June.
- E. **Committee Reports**:
 - 1. **Education Committee**: *(Margie Barrios, Dennis Kurtz, Rochelle Callis & Diane Ortiz) Due to time constraints, this item will be tabled to next month:*
 - a. **Rotary**: Discuss having the CAN present to Rotary for their support.
 - b. **40DA Brochures**: Kendra Bobsin will report on possible funding sources to purchase the 40DA brochures.
 - c. **40DA Training**: Continue discussion about training CAN members on how to present the 40DAs, and distributing the information to the community.
 - 2. **Marketing**: Andi Anderson made changes to the CAN brochure. Andi has requested quotes and will report. Table & discuss what we would do. Andi provided the quotes received from three printers, with Caputo Printing coming in at \$539 for 5,000 copies of the CAN brochure. Table to next month and also get a quote from Postnet.
 - 3. **Small Grants**: Kendra Bobsin stated that the CAN requested \$5,000 from the Community Foundation and was awarded \$2,000. The funds need to be expended by the end of the calendar year. Discussed using the funds for the 40 DA training: quote for training was \$3500.

Appendix A

F. Informational Items/Upcoming Events:

1. **Youth Golf Tournament:** Andi Anderson reported on the Hillside Christian Fellowship's 4th Annual Golf Tournament that was held for June 14, 2008 at Ridgemark Golf Club.
2. **Joey's Day of FUN!**: Andi Anderson reported on the 1st annual *Joey's Day of FUN* that was held on June 28, 2008.
3. **Sign Language Workshop:** Kendra Bobsin reported on the Sign Language workshop that was held June 14, 2007.
4. **Report on the "Kids at the Park":** Members will report on the "Kids at the Park" event that was held on June 25, 2008.
5. **Drop Zone:** Members will report on Drop Zone activities. Flyer was distributed.
6. **National Night Out:** Scheduled for August 5, 2008 from 5:30 -8:00 P.M. in San Juan Bautista. Flyer was distributed.
7. **Community Pantry:** MaryAnne Hughes will report on the following Community Pantry activities:
 - a. **Nutrition Classes:** Flyer was distributed.
 - b. **Food Stamp Assistance:** Flyer was distributed.
8. **YMCA:**
 - a. **Golf Tournament:** YMCA 10th Annual Golf Tournament is scheduled for Friday, September 19, 2008 at San Juan Oaks Golf Club. Flyer was distributed.
 - b. **After School Education & Safety Program:** Flyer was distributed. Legislation is in the works to stop Prop 49 funding for after school programs. Members were encouraged to write letters advocating that the funds continue.
9. **Library:** Betty Mason reported that the library had exhausted funding for tutor.com, but received more funding. There is a link on library website where students can go to receive on-line tutoring, however they do need a library card number in order to logon. Betty also provided information on a "data base" website that is available through the library.

G. Additional Items:

1. **Next Meeting Coverage:** Kendra Bobsin asked MaryAnne Hughes to chair meeting as she will be out of town.

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 A.M. M/S/C Margie Barrios/ Irma Gonzalez.

The next CAN meeting is scheduled for August 27, 2008 at 8:15 A.M.

***Motion/Second/Concur**

APPENDIX B

March, 2009

DATA SOURCES

Every attempt was made to use the most acceptable, current and reliable data for the City of Hollister Housing Element.


- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census: US Census Reports: Summary Tape File 3 and Summary Tape File 1.
- Department of Finance: Demographic Research Unit, Report E-5: 1990-2015.
- San Benito Council of Governments (SBCOG): 2007 2014 RHNA and 2014 – 2023 RHNA.
- Association of Monterey Bay Area Council of Governments (AMBAG), November, 2015.
- AnySite, Demographic Trends Report (1990-2008), Income Reports (1980-2008).
- State of California, Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division: Labor Force and Industry Employment (June 2005).
- Bureau of Labor Statistics, EA and I Unit: Local Area Unemployment Statistics (2000).
- Intero Real Estate Services and Pivetti Company, January, 2009.
- Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz.
- Laurin Associates: Affordable Housing Database (2005- 2008).
- City of City of Hollister: General Plan, Zoning Code, Redevelopment 5 yr. Plan, Building Permit Records.
- US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Policy Development and Research.
- US Department of Housing and Urban Development/California Housing Partnership Corporation: Federally Assisted Multifamily Housing, Prepayment Eligible and Project-Based Section 8 Expirations (March 2008), www.chpc.net.
- State of California, Department of Housing and Community Development: California's Housing Markets 1990-1997, Statewide Housing Plan Update Phase II (1998), State Consolidated Plan 1995-2000.
- Building Standards: Building Valuation Data (2004- 2007).
- California HCD Building Blocks web site, www.HCD.ca.gov.
- California HCD Income Limits table.
- Hollister Successor Agency (Formerly the Hollister Redevelopment Agency).
- Hollister Planning and Building Departments.
- San Benito County Homeless Task Force, December 2008.
- Hollister Community Pantry, January 2009.

Appendix C

POTENTIAL SHELTER SITES Amended as of July, 2009

APN	Address	Acres	Current Use	Less than 1000 feet transit services	More than 500 feet from R1, R2 or OT District
053-410-009	San Felipe Rd	0.67	Vacant	Yes	Yes
053-370-007	110 San Felipe Rd	1.51	21 unit motel	Yes	Yes
053-370-012	152 San Felipe Rd	0.73	31 unit motel	Yes	Yes
053-270-013	San Felipe Rd	0.71	Parking lot	Yes	Yes
053-370-021	136 San Felipe Rd	1.5	Non-conforming Apartments (11)	Yes	Yes
053-370-025	200 San Felipe Rd	0.3	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
053-370-026	190 San Felipe Rd	0.3	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-020-017	San Felipe Rd	3.5	Vacant	Yes	Yes
051-100-016	San Felipe	0.44	Vacant	Yes	Yes
051-020-019	50 Santa Ana Rd	1.15	Vacant	Yes	Yes
051-100-006	881 N. Chappell Rd	0.24	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-100-014	861 N. Chappell Rd	0.23	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-100-018	871 N. Chappell Rd	0.24	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-100-027	801 N. Chappell Rd	0.31	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-100-030	841 N. Chappell Rd	0.23	Two non-conforming homes	Yes	Yes
051-100-029	831 N. Chappell Rd	0.26	Non-conforming single family home	Yes	Yes
051-100-028	Chappell Rd	0.39	Former apartment use	Yes	Yes
Total		12.71			

Appendix C



APPENDIX D

List of Non-Profit Housing Agencies

CHISPA, Inc.
Dana Cleary
295 Main Street
Salinas, CA 93901
(831) 757-6251

EAH Housing
Alvin Bonnett
2169 East Francisco Blvd. Ste B
San Rafael, CA 94901
(415) 258-1800

Eden Housing
Linda Mandoline
22645 Grand Street
Hayward, CA 94541
(510) 582-1460

Foundation for Affordable Housing, Inc.
Wallace K. Shepherd
2847 Story Rd
San Jose, CA 95127
(408) 923-8260
(408) 923-2706

Housing Corporation of America
Carol Cromar
31423 Coast Highway, Ste. 7100
Laguna Beach, CA 92677
(323) 726-9672

Jamboree Housing Corporation
Lila Lieberthal
2081 Business Center Dr #216
Irvine, CA 92612
(949) 263-8676
(949) 263-0647

Mid-Peninsula Housing Coalition
Ian Lindethal
303 Vintage Park Dr. Ste. 250
Foster City, CA 94404
(650) 356-2900

South County Housing
Matt Huerta
7455 Carmel Street
Gilroy, CA 95020
(408) 842-9181

APPENDIX E

RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO THE CITY OF HOLLISTER

There are a number of resources available to the City and housing developers that can provide financial or other assistance in the development, financing, rehabilitation of housing for low and moderate-income households.

LOCAL RESOURCES

Housing Authority of Santa Cruz is a public agency serving the Counties of Santa Cruz and San Benito, which provides subsidized housing to low and moderate-income individuals and families. In addition to conventional public housing within its service area, the Authority administers several rental assistance programs such as the Section 8 program. The Authority receives federal and state assistance in order to operate these programs and, as such, is governed by any applicable housing regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development.

Public Housing was established to provide decent and safe rental housing for eligible families, the elderly, and persons with disabilities. Public housing comes in all sizes and types, from scattered single-family houses to apartments for elderly families. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) administers Federal aid to local housing agencies (HAs) that manage the housing for residents at rents they can afford. HUD furnishes technical and professional assistance in planning, developing and managing these developments.

Section 8 Program is a partnership between private landlords, low-income families and the Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties' Housing Authority. The Housing Authority administers a number of Section 8 rental assistance programs for low-income families. The objective of these programs is to provide adequate housing for low-income families by subsidizing a family's rent in a privately-owned rental unit.

NON PROFIT PROVIDERS

California Coalition for Rural Housing

The California Coalition for Rural Housing (CCRH) is a statewide nonprofit organization that works to ensure affordable housing opportunities for low-income households in California. CCRH supports the production and preservation of decent, safe, and low-cost housing for rural and low-income Californians. Supporters include nonprofit housing agencies, lending institutions, community advocacy organizations, consumer members, and local government officials. The Coalition advocates for housing at all levels of government before legislative and regulatory bodies. It also provides technical and organizational assistance to community groups and nonprofit agencies and educates the public on housing issues.

STATE HOUSING RESOURCES

CalHome Program is a program, through HCD, which provides grants to local public agencies and nonprofit developers to assist individual households through deferred payment loans, in order to enable very low and low-income households to become or remain homeowners. Grants to local public agencies or nonprofit corporations are for first-time homebuyer down-payment assistance, home rehabilitation, acquisition and rehabilitation, homebuyer counseling, self-help mortgage assistance programs, or technical assistance for self-help and shared housing homeownership. Funding is also set aside for homeowners of manufactured homes. Eligible activities include predevelopment, site development, new construction, rehabilitation, acquisition and rehabilitation, down-payment assistance, mortgage financing, homebuyer counseling, and technical assistance for self-help projects or shared housing.

CalHome Self-Help Housing Component (CSHHP) is a program with the goal to expand the production of decent and affordable housing and to demonstrate that improved housing conditions, ownership and maintenance are within the financial capabilities of low- and moderate-income households through the cost savings provided by self-help construction. An applicant must be a local public entity, nonprofit corporation or a limited-equity housing cooperative engaged in or that will be engaged in developing, conducting, administering or coordinating assistance programs which will aid eligible households construct or rehabilitate residential units for their own use. No more than twenty percent (20%) of available funding may be awarded to any one applicant. Technical Assistance Grants may be awarded to eligible applicants for owner-building in an amount that shall not exceed \$100,000. Technical assistance grants are to be used to assist low- or moderate-income households that participate in a self-help housing program conducted by an eligible sponsor.

California Housing Finance Agency (CHFA) facilitates the Preservation Financing Program. The program offers tax exempt financing for the acquisition or refinancing of a project with an expiring Section 8 contract.

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) provides annual program funding for housing, public facilities, and economic development that meet one of the following objectives: provide a benefit to low-income households, elimination of slums or blight, or resolution of an urgent community development need.

CDBG Program - Enterprise Fund: The purpose for this grant is to create or preserve jobs for low-income and very low-income persons. Grants of up to \$500,000 are allocated to provide loans to businesses, grants for publicly owned infrastructure, and micro-enterprise assistance. Individual project funding decisions are made by the jurisdiction. Eligible Activities include working capital, land acquisition, equipment purchase, inventory purchase, debt restructuring, and other direct assistance. Local grants

may support businesses by providing water and sewer services, access roads, and other public facilities.

CDBG Program – General and Native American provides allocations to fund housing activities, public works, community facilities, and public service projects serving lower-income people in small, typically rural communities. Maximum grant amount: \$500,000.

Eligible activities include: *Housing*, including rehabilitation and activities that complement new construction; *Public Works*, including water and wastewater systems, rural electrification, and utilities such as gas services; *Community Facilities*, including day care centers, domestic violence shelters, food banks, community centers, medical and dental facilities, and fire stations; *Public Services*, including staff and operating costs associated with the community facilities.

CDBG Program—Planning and Technical Assistance Grants provide funds for small cities and counties for planning and evaluation studies related to housing, public works, community development, and economic development. Provides up to \$70,000 per year per jurisdiction. No more than \$35,000 under the General/Native American Allocation and a maximum of \$35,000 under the Economic Development Allocation. Includes studies and plans for housing, public works, community facilities, and economic development activities that meet CDBG national objectives, and provide principal benefit to low-income persons.

CDBG Program - Economic Development Allocation, Over the Counter Component provides grants of up to \$500,000 for eligible cities and counties to lend to identified businesses, or use for infrastructure improvements necessary to accommodate the creation, expansion, or retention of identified businesses. Includes creation or retention of jobs for low-income workers. May include loans or loan guarantees to businesses for construction, on-site improvements, equipment purchase, working capital, and site acquisition. May also include loans for business start-ups, grants for publicly owned infrastructure, and loan or grants for small business incubators.

In order to be eligible a county must have fewer than 200,000 residents in unincorporated areas and cities must have fewer than 50,000 residents and are not participants in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) entitlement program.

Program funds must be used to directly provide affordable housing units. Housing units must be affordable for at least 10 years, with "affordable" being defined in the context of the unmet housing needs and priorities of the locality. HELP Program funds may not be used for technical assistance or administrative costs. The HELP Loan is an unsecured loan to the agency. Local agencies may structure the funds into a loan or grant forming for their development purposes. Includes preservation of Affordable Housing, Rehab of Apartments, and Rehab of Owner-Occupied Housing Acquisition.

Current Emergency Housing Assistance Program (EHAP)

Due to the passage of Proposition 46, approximately \$31,000,000 in EHAP Capital Development funds will be available for 6 years beginning with fiscal year 2002/2003. These funds are available as forgivable deferred loans for capital development to acquire, construct, or rehabilitate sites for emergency shelters and transitional housing for homeless persons.

Division of Codes and Standards

The Division of Codes and Standards is program which administers the following programs:

Occupational Licensing Program

Enforces State laws and regulations governing the sale or lease of manufactured homes, mobile homes, and/or commercial coaches, including the licensing of manufacturers, distributors, dealers, and salespersons. The program also performs functions on behalf of the Mobilehome Ombudsman related to investigating and resolving consumer complaints pertaining to manufactured housing.

Manufactured Housing Program

Assists with the development and enforcement of preemptive federal and state regulations establishing minimum design and construction standards for manufactured homes; multi-unit manufactured housing; commercial coaches and special purpose commercial coaches sold, offered for sale, rented, or leased within the State. Inspections are conducted and the Department Insignias of Approval are issued to indicate compliance.

Factory Built Housing Program

Promotes preemptive regulations establishing construction standards for factory-built homes and factory-built building components manufactured for sale or use within the State. Inspections are conducted and complying homes or components are issued a Department Insignia of Approval.

Registration and Titling Program

Maintains title and registration records and collects fees and taxes on manufactured homes, mobile homes, commercial coaches, floating homes, and truck campers.

Mobilehome Parks Program

Promulgates preemptive statewide regulations for the construction, use, maintenance and occupancy of all privately-owned mobilehome and special occupancy parks in the State, as well as the installation of manufactured homes and mobile homes both in and outside of parks. Directly, or through local governments, inspects and issues permits for park operation.

Employee Housing Program

Promulgates statewide regulations for the maintenance, use, and occupancy of privately-owned and operated employee housing facilities providing housing for five or more employees to assure their health, safety, and general welfare. Directly, or through local governments, inspects and issues permits for facility operation. Through the Office of the Mobilehome Ombudsman, the Department

accepts requests for assistance and initiates investigations of complaints concerning health and safety violations within employee housing facilities.

State Housing Law Program

Promulgates regulations to ensure that hotels, motel, apartments, single-family dwellings, and other residential buildings are maintained in compliance with the model building codes and other more restrictive provisions of State law, and reviews and proposes building standards for construction and rehabilitation of residential structures.

Code Enforcement Incentive Program

Provides financial grants to cities and counties to improve their building code enforcement activities, enhance their administrative and judicial prosecutions, and coordinate all housing improvement agencies.

Code Enforcement Grant Program

The CEGP is a new program with the aim of making grant funds available to cities, counties, and cities and counties for capital expenditures that improve the effectiveness of and supplement existing local funding for code enforcement programs related to housing code maintenance or compliance.

California Homebuyer's Downpayment Assistance Program (CHDAP)

This program offers a deferred-payment junior loan of an amount up to the lesser of three percent (3%) of the purchase price or appraised value. Homebuyers are able to use these funds to help with their downpayment and closing costs without the need to make monthly payments on the loan. Interest will be calculated at 3% simple interest. The assistance may be combined with any CalHFA or non-CalHFA conventional or government first mortgage loan.

Homebuyers using a CalHFA first mortgage may combine the assistance with a CalHFA Housing Assistance Program (CHAP) loan, High Cost Area Home Purchase Assistance Program (HiCAP) loan and with other downpayment assistance programs offered by local government agencies and nonprofit organization, if the subordinate program is pre-approved by CalHFA. The CHDAP loan cannot be combined with loans under CalHFA's Extra Credit Teacher Program and HIRAP.

The CHDAP is available on a statewide basis for first-time homebuyers purchasing a single family residence anywhere in California, that is within CalHFA's sales price limits, is intended as their primary residence, and whose family income does not exceed the Program's moderate income limit requirements. Homebuyers must also meet all first mortgage eligibility requirements according to the lender, investor, mortgage insurer, or guarantor criteria.

Farmworker Housing Grant Program provides housing opportunities for agricultural households. Cities with a population of less than 50,000 are eligible.

Housing Enabled by Local Partnerships - The HELP Program employs a loan-to-lender approach to provide 10-year, 3% simple interest rate per annum, minimally restrictive loans to local government agencies. The program challenges local agencies to prioritize their unmet housing needs and to design housing programs that target their particular priorities. This approach allows the local agency to more closely match local

housing policy and accountability with project performance. Increasingly, the program is being used to facilitate affordable housing within more targeted comprehensive local programs for neighborhood revitalization.

Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program was created under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act enacted on November 28, 1990. HOME funds are made available on an annual competitive basis through HCD's small cities program. Individual grants of up to \$3 million are available annually to develop and support affordable rental housing, and \$800,000 for home acquisition assistance to cities, counties and nonprofit community housing development organizations (CHDOs). Activities include acquisition, rehabilitation, new construction, and rental assistance.

Of particular note with respect to the HOME Program are the Rental Rehabilitation and/or Acquisition Program and the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program. In the Rental Rehabilitation and/or Acquisition Program, funds are provided to a HOME-eligible city or county to assist owners of multi-unit rental housing that is in need of rehabilitation to meet federal, State, or local building codes, or to assist in the purchase and rehabilitation of multi-unit rental housing that is in need of rehabilitation. In the TBRA Program, funds are provided to a HOME-eligible city or county to administer a program to provide rent subsidies to eligible households. This latter activity is commonly undersubscribed, according to staff at HCD.

Coupled with the HOME Program is a federally subsidized program called the American Dream Downpayment Initiative, created in 2003. ADDI aims to increase the homeownership rate, especially among lower income and minority households, and to revitalize and stabilize communities. ADDI helps first-time homebuyers with the biggest hurdle to homeownership: downpayment and closing costs. The program was created to assist low-income first-time homebuyers in purchasing single-family homes by providing funds for downpayment, closing costs, and rehabilitation carried out in conjunction with an assisted home purchase. ADDI provides downpayment, closing costs, and rehabilitation assistance to eligible individuals. The amount of ADDI assistance provided may not exceed \$10,000 or six percent of the purchase price of the home, whichever is greater.

Joe Serna, Jr. Farmworker Housing Grant (JSJFWHG) Program finances the new construction, rehabilitation and acquisition of owner-occupied and rental units for agricultural workers, with a priority for lower income households.

Homeowner Grants: For rehabilitation or new home construction: Lien restrictions are required for twenty years. If the unit is sold to a non-farmworker buyer before completing the tenth year, the full grant amount must be repaid under most circumstances. Between the 10th and 20th anniversaries, the grant is forgiven at a rate of 10 percent per completed year; it is fully forgiven after completing 20 years.

Rental Construction Grants or Loans: Lien restrictions for assisted units are required for 40 years. If assisted units are sold for uses other than farmworker housing before the 40th

year, under most circumstances, the grant must be repaid in full. Loans may be made in conjunction with low-income tax credit financing only.

Rental Rehabilitation Grants or Loans: Lien restrictions for assisted units are required for 20 years. If assisted units are sold for uses other than farmworker housing before the 20th year the grant must be repaid in full, under most circumstances. Loans may be made in conjunction with low-income tax credit financing only.

Those eligible include local government agencies, nonprofit corporations, cooperative housing corporations, and limited partnerships where all the general partners are nonprofit mutual or public benefit corporations.

Multifamily Housing Program (MHP)

MHP assists the new construction, rehabilitation and preservation of permanent and transitional rental housing for lower income households. Projects are not eligible if construction has commenced as of the application date, or if they are receiving 9% federal low income housing tax credits. MHP funds will be provided for post-construction permanent financing only. Eligible costs include the cost of child care, after-school care and social service facilities integrally linked to the assisted housing units; real property acquisition; refinancing to retain affordable rents; necessary onsite and offsite improvements; reasonable fees and consulting costs; and capitalized reserves.

Local public entities, for-profit and nonprofit corporations, limited equity housing cooperatives, individuals, Indian reservations and rancherias, and limited partnerships in which an eligible applicant or an affiliate of an applicant is a general partner. Applicants or their principals must have successfully developed at least one affordable housing project.

FEDERAL PROGRAMS

The Affordable Housing Program (AHP) and Community Investment Program (CIP) are facilitated through the Federal Home Loan System for the purposes of expanding the affordable housing supply. The San Francisco Federal Home Loan Bank District provides local service. Subsidies are awarded on a competitive basis usually in the form of low-interest loans and must be used to finance the purchase, construction, and/or rehabilitation of rental housing.

Farmworker Housing Assistance Program provides grants to public and private non-profits to assist farmworkers in securing temporary or permanent housing. Provides technical assistance to farmworker and farmworker housing agencies. Program also provides counseling, technical assistance, but does not actually fund housing production or rehabilitation.

Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

HUD offers a variety programs and services which include direct financing and management of the redevelopment or construction of low-income rental housing and single-family housing, providing homeownership to the low-income. A subsidy for

public housing provides an annual subsidy to help public housing agencies (PHAs) pay some of the cost of operating and maintaining public housing units

Low-income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC) - The LIHTC Program allocates federal and state tax credits for private and non-profit developers and investors who agree to set aside all or an established percentage of their rental units for households at 60 percent of AMI or below for no less than 55 years. These tax credits may also be utilized on rehabilitation projects, contributing to the preservation program. The program begins when developers and investors apply for an allocation of tax credits from the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC). Tax credits are awarded on a competitive basis each year. Compliance is monitored according to Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rules and regulations.

USDA Rural Development - USDA housing programs have helped over 2 million low- or moderate-income rural Americans buy homes. USDA also finances construction of apartments and other multiple family housing in rural communities that lack sufficient, affordable rental housing. Other programs finance the development of affordable farm labor housing wherever it is needed.

The Self-Help Housing program enables low-income families to become homeowners by helping to build their own homes. Their "sweat equity" becomes their downpayment. Home improvement loans and grants help low-income, often elderly, people remove health and safety hazards from their homes.

Websites:

<http://www.bridgehousing.com/misc/purpose.html>

<http://www.calhfa.ca.gov/>

www.calruralhousing.org

<http://www.hcd.ca.gov/>

<http://www.hcd.ca.gov/ca/loanGrantDir2001.pdf>

<http://www.hcd.ca.gov/ca/prop46programs.xls>

<http://www.hcd.ca.gov/clearinghouse/>

<http://www.hcd.ca.gov/ca/index.html#fac>

<http://www.housingadvocates.org/default.asp?ID=712>

<http://www.hud.gov/assist/siteindex.cfm>

<http://www.nonprohthousing.org/index.atonic>

<http://www.novoco.com/subsidyprograms.shtml>

<http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/ca/housing.html>

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Low Density Residential (LDR)*

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning Ord. 1038	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Capacity based on Historical Density
20-19-8	Cienega	11	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	49.5
20-19-9	Cienega	11.25	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	50.625
52-28-1	South Street	4.12	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	18.54
52-32-7	Buena Vista	4.27	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	19.215
54-32-20	Hillcrest Rd	0.47	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	2.115
54-50-19	NA	0.5	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	2.25
57-44-2	nr Valleyview	0.8	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	3.6
58-06-01	Westside	5	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	22.5
58-06-10	Westside	1.95	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	8.775
58-05-41	Westside Line	1.25	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	5.625
58-05-34	Westside Line	3.45	LDR	R1-L/PZ	None	0	1-6	15.525
19-31-59	Santa Ana/Brig	22	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	99
19-31-61	Santa Ana/Brig	12	LDR	R1	None	0	1-6	54
Subtotal		78						390

* See text discussion regarding historical densities in LDR.

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Medium Density Residential (MDR)

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning Ord. 1038	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity
20-22-18		1.7	MDR	R3	None	0	8-12	13.6
52-23-2	Buena Vista	9	MDR	R3-M/PZ	None	0	8-12	72
54-35-48	Meridian/Hillcrest	40.2	MDR	R3-M/PZ	None	0	8-12	241
54-35-49	Meridian/Hillcrest	2.21	MDR	R3-M/PZ	None	0	8-12	17.6
57-37-16	Union Road	12.11	MDR	R3-M/PZ	None	0	8-12	96.8
Subtotal		65.22				0		441.08

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

High Density Residential (HDR)

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning Ord. 1038	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity
52-2-2	Line St.	0.82	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	9.84
52-2-3	Line St.	0.6	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	7.2
52-2-4	Line St.	0.3	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	3.6
52-2-5	Line St.	0.54	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	6.48
52-2-6	Line St.	1	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	12
52-3-6	Line St.	0.2	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	2.4
52-3-8	Line St.	0.24	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	2.88
52-3-9	4th/Line	0.2	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	2.4
53-33-1	Locust	3.6	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	43.2
53-33-3	Locust	1.23	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	14.76
53-37-2	North St.	22.47	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	Slope/Fault	0	12-35	150
57-23-13	Ladd Lane	6	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	72
57-25-8	Valleyview/Aspen	3.14	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	37.68
57-344-620	Sunnyslope Rd.	1.61	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	19.32
57-344-630	Sunnyslope Rd.	1.63	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	19.56
57-44-1	Valleyview	1.19	HDR	R-4-H/PZ	None	0	12-35	14.28
Subtotal		44.77				0	12	417.6

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Mixed Use, West Gateway, (WG)(HDR)

APN	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning Ord. 1038	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity
West Gateway								
52-7-12	Fourth St	1.95	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	39
52-7-6	Jan/Wests	0.48	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	9.6
52-7-7	Jan	0.24	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	4.8
52-7-8	Jan	0.24	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	4.8
52-8-1	Fourth St	3.3	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	66
52-9-43	Fourth St	8	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	160
52-9-44	Fourth St	0.4	WG	WMU	Commercial Use	0	20-35	0
52-9-45	Vacant	2.5	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	50
52-9-46	Vacant	3.8	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	76
52-131-210	Fourth St	1.6	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	32
52-131-280	Fourth St	1.6	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	32
52-18-5	Fourth St	4.6	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	92
52-9-8	Fourth St (truck)	5.5	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	110
52-9-14	Fourth St	13.73	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	274.6
52-9-49	CSHO	1.6	WG	WMU	Commercial Use	0	20-35	0
52-9-50	Fourth Street	0.5	WG	WMU	Commercial Use	0	20-35	0
52-9-17	Fourth St.	2	WG	WMU	Mobile Home Park	0	20-35	0
52-9-52	Fourth St	1	WG	WMU	Commercial Use	0	20-35	0
52-30-01	Jan Avenue	4.8	WG	WMU	None	0	20-35	96
		57.84				0		1,047
						1/2 acres**	20	560

** Assume one half area will be developed for housing in mixed use district

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Mixed Use (HDR)

APN	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning Ord. 1038	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity
Other								
56-25-19	Prospect	3.36	MU	NMU	Demolition*	0	25-35	84
56-25-24	Prospect	3.1	MU	NMU	Demolition*	0	25-35	77.5
57-7-64	Sunnyslope Rd.	6.97	MU	NMU	None	0	25-35	174.25
57-23-19	Cushman Dr.	3.84	MU	NMU	None	0	25-35	96
Subtotal		17.27						431.75
	1/2 acres**	8.6					25-35	215

* Redevelopment Agency owned demolition environmental review in progress.

** Assume one half area will be developed for housing in mixed use district

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Downtown Commercial Mixed Use (DMU)(HDR)

APN	Location	Acres	General Plan	Zoning (Pending)	Constraints	Rezone Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Realistic Capacity	Lot Consolidation potential
Multiple	Upper Level		DM	DMU	Cost remodel	0	25-35	115***	
54-07-01	McCray Street	3.25	DM	DMU	portion vacant			8	
54-19-09	341 1st Street	0.91	DM	DMU	Converted residential office w/ space for infill devel.	0	25-35	8	
54-19-02	102 San Benito	0.27	DM	DMU	Vacant lot, cleared former gas station			4	w/ 15-19-08
54-19-08	104 San Benito	0.29	DM	DMU	Vacant fire burned building		25-35	2	w/15-19-02
54-19-11	204 San Benito	0.48	DM	DMU	Use car lot (non-conforming use		25-35	4	
54-22-15	near 33 SanBenito	0.04	DM	DMU	com. parkinglot		25-35	2	
54-22-08	33 San Benito	0.16	DM	DMU	com. parkinglot		25-35	2	
54-11-01	4th/Monterey	0.23	DM	DMU	Vacant lot with services (former auto sales)		25-35	4	w/54-11-02
54-11-02	375 4th	0.4	DM	DMU	Vacant developable lot with services		25-35	4	w/54-11-01
54-13-02	3rd/Monterey	0.15	DM	DMU	Vacant developable lot with services		25-35	2	w/54-13-01
54-02-01	San Benito/7th	0.14	DM	DMU	Vacant site, cleared			4	
54-13-01	3rd/Monterey	0.15	DM	DMU	Vacant developable lot with services		25-35	2	w/54-13-02
56-02-04,05	805-813 San Benito	0.28	DM	DMU	Vacant site, fronts San Benito and East		25-35	6	
		3.66				0			
		1.8			1/2 acres			46	
Total								160	

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

Old Town Infill MDR and HDR

Assessor Parcel		Acres (sq. ft.)	General Plan	Zoning	Constraints	Rezone acres	Allowed D/U/Acre	Realistic Capacity	Notes
053-220-010	862 4th Street	19437	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	3	
053-150-009	652 4th Street	9360	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	1	
053-300-011	813 West 2nd Street	9650	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	1	
053-300-009	845 West 2nd Street	9650	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	1	
053-300-005	875 West 2nd Street	9650	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	1	
053-300-016	West 2nd Street	9650	HDR	OT (HD)	vacant	0	12-35	3	Consolidation
053-300-015	797 West 2nd Street	9650	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35		
053-200-008	649 Central Ave	11500	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	2	
053-260-006	650 Central Ave	10050	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	2	
053-260-005	662 Central Ave	10452	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	2	
053-270-011	765 Central Ave	10000	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	2	
053-270-010	766 Central Ave	12000	HDR	OT (HD)	1	0	12-35	2	
Subtotal		Old Town		HDR				20	

053-140-024	594 Fifth Street	9800	MDR	OT (MDR)	vacant	0	8-12	2	
053-091-022	651 Fifth Street	10240	MDR	OT (MDR)	vacant	0	8-12	2	
053-112-022	656 Tiffany Drive	13500	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
055-010-001	477 South Street	22684	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
055-010-002	473 South Street	24921	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
055-010-024	425 South Street	14356	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
055-010-005	834 Monterey Street	14336	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
055-010-007	856 Monterey Street	16128	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
055-010-008	864 Monterey Steeet	21952	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	4	
055-050-022	949 West Street	19500	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	3	
055-050-009	965 West Street	11250	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
055-100-004	459 Hayden Street	19400	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	4	
056-240-009	10 Nash Road	12580	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-230-014	1400 Prune Street	12600	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-230-013	1320 Prune Street	22820	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-100-033	1024 Monterey Street	19936	MDR	OT (MDR)	3	0	8-12	2	
056-120-019	57 1071 Monterey Str	21056	MDR	OT (MDR)	2	0	8-12		
056-120-018	1085 Monterey Street	22400	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	4	
056-130-021	1097 Monterey Street	17633	MDR	OT (MDR)	2	0	8-12	2	

Appendix F
RHNA Sites (2015), Incorporated City Limits

056-120-013	San Benito Street	7280	MDR	OT (MDR)	vacant	0	8-12	4	Consolidation
056-120-032	San Benito Street	5958	MDR	OT (MDR)	vacant	0	8-12		
056-120-012	042 San Benito Stree	12400	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12		
056-120-015	070 San Benito Stree	21728	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	4	Consolidation
056-120-019	7 & 1071 Monterey St	21056	MDR	OT (MDR)	2	0	8-12		
056-120-014	060 San Benito Stree	10920	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-120-017	098 San Benito Stree	10978	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-130-017	126 San Benito Stree	11450	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12		Consolidation
056-0120-016	130 San Benito Stree	9618	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
056-200-029	582 San Benito Stree	8503	MDR	OT (MDR)	vacant	0	8-12	2	
056-200-014	564 San Benito Stree	14,000	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	2	
056-141-018	1045 San Benito Stree	14000	MDR	OT (MDR)	1	0	8-12	1	
Subtotal		Old Town	MDR			54			

* Development of these sites is constrained by the presence of existing uses.


*** Estimated near term re-use of upper floors from Measure Y

Exemption based on summer 2008 Inventory of Upper Level Floors in Downtown

Note: Calculated realistic capacity utilizes the lowest allowable density in each zoning designation according to the recently adopted Zoning Ordinance (see text discussion of allowed density ranges).

Appendix F (Con't)

Hollister RHNA Sites Inventory



Although the following list of approved annexations and annexations in progress indicate "since 1999," most of the annexations on the list were annexed to the City of Hollister by the San Benito County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) after the year 2011. Numbers 1 through 21 and numbers 39 through 56 on the *Annexations Approved by LAFCO Since 1999* list were annexed after 2011 with a total of 202.80 acres in the Low Density Residential (LDR) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance which requires up to eight (8) dwelling units per acre with a target average of at least six (6) dwelling units per acre. Numbers 18, 19, and 20 on the list and map total 8.48 acres and were annexed to the City of Hollister after 2011 within the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance which requires twenty-five (25) to thirty-five (35) residential dwelling units per acre.

The parcels within the list identified as *Annexations in Progress* are properties that have received approval by the City of Hollister City Council for the initiation of pre-zone to begin the pre-zoning process for annexation of territory. The parcels identified on *Annexations in Progress* list are within reach of city services and most are within the City of Hollister Sphere of Influence and upon approval by LAFCO these properties will be incorporated into the city limits of the City of Hollister. The total acreage in progress for annexation in the Low Density Residential (LDR) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance is 144.50. The total acreage in progress for annexation in the Medium Density Residential (MDR) designation of the general plan and zoning ordinance is 105.29. The MDR zoning designation requires eight (8) to twelve (12) residential dwelling units per acre. Most of the annexations in progress on the following list are anticipated to be annexed by LAFCO and be incorporated into the City of Hollister city limits in 2016.


Number	Annexations Approved by LAFCO Since 1999		Parcel Size in acres	City of Hollister Zoning
	APN	Owner		
1	020 100 021	Gibson Family Partnership	22.45	General Commercial (GC) 16.64 and Low Density Residential (LDR) 5.81
2	020 100 014	Prospect Re, LLC A LTD LIAB Company	0.25	General Commercial (GC)
3	020 110 003	City of Hollister a Municipal Corp	0.6	General Commercial (GC)
4	19 10 09	City of Hollister	2.84	Airport (A)
5	018 110 041	City of Hollister	93.51	Public Facilities (PF)
6	025 370 010	City of Hollister	1.16	Public Facilities (PF)
7	019 040 020	Dassel/Mackie Rental	0.723	Light Industrial (M1)
8	019 040 017	Budd Properties Inc.	0.298	Light Industrial (M1)
9	020 280 002	San Benito Land Title Corporation	19.49	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
10	020 170 035	Matthews James F Trust	1.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
11	020 170 034	Jensen David L	0.75	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
12	020 170 041	Natmar A CALIF LIMITED PART	2.17	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

13	019 330 030	De La Pena Jim-Nancy Living TR ET AL	3.33	North Gateway (NG)
14	020 020 023	Guerra Albert F Et Al	2.68	General Commercial (GC)
15	020 020 024	Guerra Albert F Et Al	6.75	General Commercial (GC)
16	020 020 025	Lowes HIW, Inc.	12.76	General Commercial (GC)
17	020 020 026	Guerra Albert F Et Al	4.6	General Commercial (GC)
18	020 020 018	Guerra Anthony F Decedents Trust Et Al	3.67	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
19	020 020 014	Premier Cinemas Inc. A CA Corp	2.98	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
20	020 020 015	Premier Cinemas Inc. A CA Corp	1.83	Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)
21	020 060 011	Robert Infelise	22.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ) and Open Space (OS)
22	019 310 019	Brigantino Ralph J In Trust	1.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
23	019 310 059	Brigantino	22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
24	019 310 060	Brigantino	14.36	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
25	054 490 045	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
26	054 490 046	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
27	054 490 047	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
28	054 490 048	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
29	054 490 049	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
30	054 490 050	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
31	054 490 051	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
32	054 490 052	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
33	054 490 053	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
34	054 490 054	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
35	054 490 055	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
36	054 490 056	Brigantino	0.14	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
37	054 490 057	Brigantino	0.12	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
38	054 490 058	Brigantino	0.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
39	057 150 023	Silver Oaks Partners	2.09	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
40	057 150 022	Silver Oaks Partners	1.39	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
41	057 150 026	Silver Oaks Partners	0.66	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
42	057 150 028	Silver Oaks Partners	2.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

43	057 150 029	Silver Oaks Partners	1.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
44	057 150 027	Silver Oaks Partners	4.97	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
45	057 150 021	Silver Oaks Partners	3.62	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
46	057 150 025	Silver Oaks Partners	0.5	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
47	057 150 019	Silver Oaks Partners	0.84	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
48	057 150 018	Silver Oaks Partners	2.84	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
49	057 150 015	Silver Oaks Partners	3.67	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
50	057 710 001	West of Fairview	15.76	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
51	057 710 002	West of Fairview	20.08	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
52	057 710 003	West of Fairview	6.01	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
53	057 710 004	West of Fairview	1.05	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
54	057 710 005	West of Fairview	27.66	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
55	057 710 006	West of Fairview	27.07	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
56	057 710 007	West of Fairview	28.36	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
57	19-03-25	LAFCO No. 2001-403		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
58	19-03-26	LAFCO No. 2001-403		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
59	14-09-27	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
60	14-09-42	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
61	14-09-54	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
62	14-11-03	Bikle		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
63	057 700 001	Rajkovich Brothers Partnership LP A CA	11	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
64	057 700 002	Rajkovich Brothers Partnership LP A CA	11.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
	Annexations In Progress			
Symbol	APN	Owner	Parcel Size in acres	City of Hollister Zoning
A	020 190 022	Rautman Richard F-Wanita J Et Al	0.27	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
B	020 190 023	Rautman Richard F-Wanita J Et Al	0.35	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
C	020 190 021	Robertson Candie L	0.73	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
D	020 120 096	Rodriguez Ruben L-Alicia B	0.4	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)

E	051 100 044		14.06	North Gateway (NG)
F	019 330 011	Cerrato Harold Et Al	12.56	North Gateway (NG) and R1 L/PZ
G	019 250 004	Ivancovich Fam Tr (Triffle-Anne) Et Al	1	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
H	019 250 001	Gonzalez Fernando	11.48	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
i	020 120 141	Kutz Weldon-Helen Liv Tr	0.38	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
J	020 120 142	Kutz Family Trust	1	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
K	020 120 143	Kutz Weldon-Helen Liv Tr	3.78	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
L	020 120 114	Del Curto Brothers	4.8	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
M	020 120 144	Brigantino Enterprises A Gen Part	8.88	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
N	020 120 145	Mermod Timothy-Georgette	1.05	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
O	020 131 001	Arballo Augustine Sr-Cruz M 2005 Rev		Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
P	020 131 002	Pride Shannon C	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Q	020 131 003	Freitas Scott-Tammy	0.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
R	020 131 004	Zavala Anabel G Et Al	0.22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
S	020 131 005	Trejo Jose M	0.22	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
T	020 131 006	Castaneda Olivia	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
U	020 131 007	Pruitt Family Trust Et Al	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
V	020 131 008	Teves M & A Family Trust	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
W	020 131 009	Diller Daniel K-Tajni M	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
X	020 131 010	Salvin Christina K	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Y	020 131 011	Weimer E Arazeli	0.23	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
Z	020 131 012	Hamilton Christopher-Debra	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
AA	020 131 013	Bueno Mata Lupe	0.19	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
BB	020 131 014	Nichols Dean A-Laurie	0.31	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
CC	019 130 026	Brigantino J & V Fam Ltd Part Et Al	1.75	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
DD	019 130 027	Hart Donald W-Carol A Tr Et Al	55.83	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
EE	019 340 002	Hugh P. Bikle	5.02	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
FF	020 080 022	Sywak	7.25	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
GG	019 170 083	PAD Investment Trust	16.13	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
HH	019 170 084	PAD Investment Trust	17.73	Low Density Residential Performance Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
ii	019 350 012	PAD Investment	14.04	Low Density Residential Performance

		Trust		Overlay Zone (R1 L/PZ)
JJ	019 110 031	Gonzalez/Borelli	11.11	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
KK	019 120 038	Gonzalez/Borelli	25.58	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)
LL	021 040 017	City of Hollister		Public Quasi-Public Zoning
MM	021 040 018	City of Hollister		Public Quasi-Public Zoning
NN	020 310 009	Hollister Enterprise LLC	53.28	Low Density Residential
OO	019 130 020	CHISPA	5	Medium Density Residential (R3 M/PZ)



Appendix G

Measure Y Growth Management Exemption Area

Measure Y Exemption Area	Potential Units
Vacant infill in Downtown Mixed Use	37
Second floor re-use in Downtown Mixed Use	91
Vacant infill in Neighborhood Mixed use	52
Total Potential Measure Y Exemption Area	176

Exhibit C -1
SITES IN THE MIXED USE GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION & NEIGHBORHOOD MIXED USE ZONING DISTRICT
(Density: 25-35 dwelling units per acre)

APN	Location	Acres		Potential Sites
54-07-01	McCray Street	3.25	▪ Buildings from a former tar paper plant are being demolished by property owner.	26
56-04-01	89 South Street	0.085	▪ Re-use – closed plumbing shop	2
56-05-13 and 56-05-14		0.11 0.10	▪ Single family residence ▪ Vacant lot Property owner interested in lot consolidation and replacement of residence with multi-family units.	5
56-91-02	221 Hawkins Street	0.10	▪ Vacant lot – recently demolished home	2
56-92-03 & 20	Hawkins/Sally Street	0.04 0.08		2
			Subtotal Neighborhood Mixed Use District	37

Appendix G

Exhibit C-2
SITES IN THE UPPER FLOORS
DOWNTOWN MIXED USE GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION & DOWNTOWN MIXED USE ZONING DISTRICT
(Density: 25-35 dwelling units per acre)

APN/Name	Location	Participated In 2008 Inventory		Potential Units
Building Permit			▪	
054-140-007/Ball	202 and 204 Fourth	Not applicable	▪ Building permits for conversion of 15 unused hotel rooms for five new studio apartments.	5
Potential sites from upper floors			▪	
054-140-050 San Benito Masonic	347,349,355 San Benito	No	▪ Historic circa 1907 two-story building with lodge/hall on second floor (1)	0
	427 San Benito	No	▪ Cheap Seats first floor second floor unknown	
054-120-007/ Sanchez	435-443	Yes	▪ Property owner wants to demolish single-story structure and rebuild with residential on upper floors	15
Malek	445 San Benito	No	First floor retail second floor primarily office	0
054-110-023/ Velazquez	452 San Benito	No	Two story historic building with vacant second floor	0
054-080-009/Lantz	500 San Benito	Yes	▪ Four story historic building (circa 1926) with three vacant upper floors. Previously used upper floors for a 31 room hotel and commercial offices Potential for fifteen 875 sq. ft. studios	15
054-090-001 Velasquez	501 San Benito	No	▪ Historic four story building recently (2005/2006) remodeled on upper floors for office use	0

Appendix G

APN/Name	Location	Participated In 2008 Inventory		Potential Units
Potential sites from upper floors			▪	
054-080-019/San Benito County Farm Bureau	530 San Benito		▪ New (circa 1990) two story commercial building with office use on second floor	0
054-080-020/Yant	542 San Benito	No (1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two story building. Second floor was used for story in 2008 but retail use now closed on first floor. ▪ Historic second floor apartment use. Potential re-use of former apartments. 	4
054-090-009/Rosati	549 San Benito	No	▪ Retail first floor vacant second floor	2
054-080-024/K&S Properties	554 San Benito	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Potential for re-use of second floor for commercial or residential space ▪ Potential for eight 980 sq. ft. studio apartments 	8
054-060-011/Martin	601 San Benito	No	▪ New two story (circa 1990) building with commercial offices on second floor.	0
054-050-006/K&S Properties	610 San Benito Street	Yes	▪ Two story historic building. Second floor used for recreation classes (dance/kick boxing)	0
054-060-002/Benito LLC	615 San Benito	No	▪ New (circa 1990s) two story commercial building with offices on second floor.	0
054-060-003/Corral	625 & 627 San Benito	No	▪ Two story building with potential for residential use on second floor	2
054-050-003/K&S Properties Loma Prieta Building	628-650 San Benito St	No	▪ New (circa 1990s) two story commercial building with offices on second floor.	0

Appendix G

APN/Name	Location	Participated In 2008 Inventory		Potential Units
Potential sites from upper floors			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 	0
054-010-023/ Bui	710 San Benito	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recently closed gas station and convenience store /pizza and arcade on second floor. Now that business closed, possible re-use of this 7,929 square foot lot with Programs H.H. 	0
Loma Prieta Building	719 San Benito		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Upstairs of historic (circa 1910) building currently developed for commercial office use but potential for two lease areas to be converted. 	2
054-010-016/ LoBue	722 San Benito	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two story historic building. Existing apartments on second floor and retail on first floor 	0
052-020-004/Black Sage-Wood	727-731 San Benito	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two story historic (circa 1912) building with previous 32 room hotel use on second floor. Assessed for apartment use in past (1) ▪ Potential for 16 small studios 	16
054-080-009/ Borelli-Felice	730, 738 & 756 San Benito	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two story historic building (circa early 1900s) with vacant former residential uses on second floor (vacant since 1930's) ▪ Potential for six studios on second floor 	6
San Benito Health Care	321 First Street	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reuse unlikely because of tenant improvement costs 	0
Progresso's Tamale Parlor/Zuniga	230 Third St		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Restaurant on first floor 	2
054-130-013 K&S Properties	310-336 Fourth	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Commercial building with second floor offices ▪ Potential for apartments upstairs but unlikely 	0
054-140-005 Mound Lodge	330 Fourth St.	No	Unlikely reuse – used for a private organization	0

APN/Name	Location	Participated In 2008 Inventory		Potential Units
Potential sites from upper floors			▪	
054-130-014 Wwg Group Inc.	396 Fourth St	No	▪ Former dealership – multi-tenant retail first floor second floor possible reuse	2
054-140-006/ Boscacci/El Lido	220 Fourth St	No	▪ Bar with hotel on second floor that was recently damaged by fire	4
054-102-002 Corotto	101 Fifth Street		▪ Commercial building with second floor – potential re-use	1
Winn	321 Fifth Street	No (1)	▪ Three story commercial office building (1) Potential for conversion from office use unknown.	0
Maheu	336, 338, 338 Fifth St.		▪ Upstairs is currently used for apartments. First floors are used for retail and theater	0
054-110-034/ Venator	342 Fifth Street	Yes	▪ Existing use building with two rentals on second floor ▪ Property owner interested in addition to building for two more units	2
054-110-012/ Rose	370 Fifth Street	No	▪ Office on first floor	1
054-090-007/ Mendoza Whiskey Creek	201 Fifth Street	No	▪ Bar on first floor possible residential on second	2
054-120-027/Perry/ Michelle's Studio	214, 216, 218 Fifth St	No	▪ Retail on first floor possible residential on second	2

Appendix G

	243 Sixth Street	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two story circa 1990 building developed for retail on first floor and commercial offices on second floor – not designed for second floor residential 	0
054-010-025/Renz	339 Seventh St	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ First floor commercial second floor possible re-use to residential unknown 	0
054-020-002/ Emama	219 South	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ First floor commercial second floor possible re-use to residential potential unknown 	0
Total Upper floors			Subtotal re-use upper floors existing buildings	91

Exhibit C-3
SITES IN THE DOWNTOWN MIXED USE ZONING DISTRICT (Density: 25-40 dwelling units per acre)

APN	Location	Acres/ Sq. ft.	Site	Potential Units
54-22-09 & 15	33 San Benito Street	0.20 8,712	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vacant lot and parking lot with potential for re-use. Property is for sale. 	4
54-19-09	341 First Street	0.91	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Converted residential office on property with space for infill development 	8
54-19-02	Corner First/San Benito 102 San Benito Street	0.27 11,761	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vacant lot has been cleared – was former gas station Potential for lot consolidation with 104 San Benito 	4
54-19-08	104 San Benito Street	0.29 12,632	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vacant lot - former fast food restaurant destroyed by fire Potential for lot consolidation with 102 San Benito 	2
54-19-11	204 San Benito Street	0.48 20,908	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing car lot used intermittently for auto sales (non-conforming use now). 	4
54-13-01 & 02	Corner Monterey/Third	0.30 13,068	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vacant developable lot for sale with services. Property provided parking for a former dealership that was located to the south. Dealership buildings are now being re-used primarily for retail use. 	4
54-11-1	Corner Monterey/Fourth	0.23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible lot consolidation with 54-11-02 Vacant lot was used for auto sales but non-conforming use has expired. 	4
54-11-2	375 Fourth	0.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible lot consolidation with 54-11-01 Vacant lot was used for auto sales but non-conforming use has expired. 	4
54-31-6	651 East	0.06	Pending Site & Architectural Review 2009-7 for 2 condos on a 2,800 square foot lot	2
54-31-7	653 East Street	0.06	Meeting with applicant for possible duplex	2
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	

Appendix G

54-02-01	Corner San Benito/7 th	0.14	▪ Vacant site of former theater – site is cleared	4
56-02-04 & 05	805 and 813 San Benito Street	0.28	▪ Vacant site of former second hand store. ▪ Rectangular lot fronts on San Benito & East Street.	6
			▪	
56-050-13 56-050-14	814 Prospect Ave	0.11 0.11	▪ House recently demolished on one lot. Property owner owns adjoining parcels. Interested in lot consolidation to build four multi-family units.	4
			▪	
			▪ Subtotal Downtown Mixed Use Zoning District	52

APPENDIX H
POTENTIAL LOT CONSOLIDATION SITES

APN/	Location	Acres/ Sq. ft.	Site	Zoning
			Measure Y Exemption Area	
54-19-02	Corner First/San Benito 102 San Benito Street	0.27 11,761	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Vacant lot has been cleared – was former gas station ▪ Potential for lot consolidation with 104 San Benito 	DMU
54-19-08	104 San Benito Street	0.29 12,632	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Vacant lot - former fast food restaurant destroyed by fire ▪ Potential for lot consolidation with 102 San Benito 	DMU
54-19-11	204 San Benito Street	0.48 20,908	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing car lot used intermittently for auto sales (non-conforming use now). 	DMU
			Measure Y Exemption Area	
54-13-01 &02	Corner Monterey/Third	0.30 13,068	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Two vacant developable lots that are for sale with services. Property provided parking for a former dealership that was located to the south. Dealership buildings are now being re-used primarily for retail use. 	DMU
			Measure Y Exemption Area	
54-11-01	Corner Monterey/Fourth	0.23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Possible lot consolidation with 54-11-02 ▪ Vacant lot with services - former auto sales 	DMU
54-11-02	375 Fourth	0.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Possible lot consolidation with 54-11-01 ▪ Vacant lot with services - former auto sales 	DMU
			Measure Y Exemption Area	
56-050-13	814 Prospect Ave	0.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing residence – owner same for APN 56-050-14 	NMU
56-050-14		0.11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Vacant – house demolished 	NMU
			Measure Y Exemption Area	
56-050-07 56-050-08 56-050-09 56-050-03	14, 28, 32 Hazel 13 South Street	.07 .07 .14 .09	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Property owner owns four contiguous lots with seven residences. Properties could be assembled for higher density. 	NMU
52-3-6	Line Street	0.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing residence. Potential consolidation with APN 52-30-08 	R4
52-3-8	Line Street	0-.24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing residence. Potential consolidation with APN 52-30-06 	R4
APN/	Location	Acre/SF	Site	Zoning
52-07-07	landlocked	0.48	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Vacant lot potential 	WG

			consolidation with APNs 52-07-03 and 08	
52-07-08	landlocked	0.24	▪ vacant lot potential consolidation with APNs 52-07-06 and 07	WG
52-07-12	Westside/Jan/San Juan	1.5	▪ Potential for consolidation with parcels to the west – APNs 52-07-11, 03, 07, 08, 06	WG
			▪	
52-2-3	Line/Westside	0.6	▪ Six existing residences.. Potential for re-use and lot consolidation with APN 52-20-04	R4-H/PZ
52-2-4	Line/Westside	0.3	▪ Two residences. Potential consolidation with APNs 52-20-03 and 05	R4-H/PZ
52-2-5	Line/Westside	0.54	▪ Existing residence. Potential consolidation with APNs 52-20-06 and 04	R4-H/PZ
52-2-6	Line/Westside	1	▪ Vacant lot potential for consolidation with APN 52-20-05	R4-H/PZ
			▪	
57-44-1	Valleyview/Aspen Cir.	1.19	▪ Vacant landlocked lot with potential for consolidation with APNs 57-44-02 and 55-25-08	R4-H/PZ
57-44-2	Valleyview	0.8	▪ Existing residence. Potential consolidation with APN 57-44-01	R4-H/PZ
57-25-08	Aspen Circle	3.0	▪ Five existing multi-family units. Potential reconstruction and consolidation with APNs 57-44-01 and 57-25-05	R4-H/PZ
57-25-05	Sunnyslope Road	0.4	▪ Existing residence. Potential consolidation with APN 57-44-02	
			▪	
53-30-14	803 W. Second	0.22	▪ Two residences. Potential for consolidation - 53-30 -15 & 16	OT (H)
53-30-15	797 W. Second	0.22	▪ Existing residence. Potential for consolidation - 53-30 -14 & 16	OT (H)
53-30-16	W. Second/Canal Al	0.22	▪ Vacant potential consolidation with 53-30-15	OT (H)
53-292-05	landlocked	1.65	▪ Sloped vacant lot in fault hazard zone but consolidation potential with APNs 53-33-03	R4-H/PZ/ EH
53-33-01	Locust	3.6	▪ Sloped vacant site in fault hazard zone but potential for consolidation with APNs 53-292-05 and 53-33-03	
53-33-03	Locust	1.22	▪ Sloped vacant site in fault hazard zone but potential for consolidation with APNs 53-292-05 and 53-33-01	
APN/	Location	Acres/SF	▪ Site	Zoning
53-20-08	Central & Fremont	0.26	▪ Existing residence. Potential for	OT (H)

			consolidation with 53-32 -06 & 07	
53-20-07	Central & Fremont	0.26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two residences. Potential for consolidation with 53-32 -06 & 08 	OT (H)
53-20-06	Central & Fremont	0.14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing residence. Potential for consolidation with 53-32 -07 & 08 	OT (H)

APPENDIX I, 2008, 2009, AND 2010 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

Table B-2

PHNA Sites (2009-2014), Incorporated City Limits (Revised July 2009)

Medium Density Residential (MDR) R3-M/PZ overlay

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Calculated Realistic Capacity	Measure Allocations to date	Potential Additional Units	Potential future
20-22-18		1.7	MDR	13.6			
52-23-2	Buena Vista	9	MDR	72	91	19	0
54-35--31	Meridian/Hillcrest	45.5	MDR	364	95		269 balance
57-37-16	Union Road	12.95	MDR	103.6	22		64 balance
Subtotal		69.15		553.2			

Note = Site is vacant with utility service available (stubbed out) to all parcels.

High Density Residential (HDR)

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Calculated Realistic Capacity	Measure Allocations to date	Potential Additional Units	Potential future
52-2-2	Line St.	0.82	HDR	9.84			
52-2-3	Line St.	0.6	HDR	7.2			
52-2-4	Line St.	0.3	HDR	3.6			
52-2-5	Line St.	0.54	HDR	6.48			
52-2-6	Line St.	1	HDR	12			
52-3-6	Line St.	0.2	HDR	2.4			
52-3-8	Line St.	0.24	HDR	2.88			
52-3-9	4th/Line	0.2	HDR	2.4			
53-33-1	Locust	3.6	HDR	43.2			
53-33-3	Locust	1.23	HDR	14.76			
53-37-2	North St.	22.47	HDR	269.64			
57-23-13	Ladd Lane	5	HDR	60	54	0	37
57-25-8	Valleyview/Aspen	3.14	HDR	37.68			
57-34-62	Sunnyslope Rd.	1.61	HDR	19.32			
57-34-63	Sunnyslope Rd.	1.63	HDR	19.56			
57-44-1	Valleyview	1.19	HDR	14.28			
Subtotal		47.27		567.24			

APPENDIX I, 2008, 2009, AND 2010 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

Table B-2

RHNA Sites (2009-2014), Incorporated City Limits (Revised July 2009)

MIXED USE ZONING DISTRICT

APN	Location	Acres	Issue and Opportunities	Allowed DU/Acre	Maximum Capacity	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity	Measure U Allocations to date	Potential Additional Units
Other								
56-25-19	Prospect	3.36	Former recycle paper plant purchased	25-35	84	42	0	
56-25-24	Prospect	3.1	by the Redevelopment Agency 2008. CEQA completed to demolish and clear site for new development and re-sale 2009. Demolition 2009		77.5	38.75	0	
57-7-64	Sunnyslo	6.97	Vacant lot with services.	25-35	174	87	0	
57-23-19	Cushman	3.84	Vacant lot with services 2008-2010 allocations - 74 apartments	25-35	96	48	74 (**)	0
Subtotal		17.27			431.5	215.75		
1/2 acres (*)		8.6						

(*) Assume one half of acreage in mixed use district will be developed for commercial uses.

(**) Note: Allocations exceed estimated realistic capacity by 26 multi-family units

APPENDIX I, 2008, 2009, AND 2010 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

Table B-2

PHNA Sites (2009-2014), Incorporated City Limits (Revised July 2009)

Low Density Residential (LDR)* R1-L/PZ overlay

Assessor Parcel Number	Location	Acres	General Plan	Capacity Calculated Realistic Capacity	Measure U Allocations to date	Potential Additional Units	Potential future
20-19-8	Cienega	11	LDR	49.5			
20-19-9	Cienega	11.25	LDR	50.625	175	75	
52-28-1	South Street	4.12	LDR	18.54	4.12		
52-32-1	Buena Vista	5	LDR	22.5	5		
52-32-7	Buena Vista	4.27	LDR	19.215	4.27		
54-32-20	Hillcrest Rd	0.47	LDR	2.115	0.47		
54-50-19	NA	0.5	LDR	2.25	0.5		
57-44-2	nr Valleyview	0.8	LDR	3.6	0.8		
58-06-01	Westside	5	LDR	22.5	5		
58-06-10	Westside	1.95	LDR	8.775	1.95		
58-05-41	Westside Line	1.25	LDR	5.625	8	2	
58-05-34	Westside Line	3.45	LDR	15.525	3.45		
19-31-59	Santa Ana/Brig	22	LDR	99	85	3	
19-31-61	Santa Ana/Brig	12	LDR	54	Future near Future long	61	
Subtotal		83		415			

* See text discussion regarding historical densities in LDR.

APPENDIX I, 2008, 2009, AND 2010 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

Table B-2

PHNA Sites (2009-2014), Incorporated City Limits (Revised July 2009)

WEST GATEWAY ZONING DISTRICT

APN	Location	Issue and Opportunities	Acres	Allowed DU/Acre	Maximum Capacity	Calculated "Realistic" Capacity(*)	Measure U Allocations to date	Potential Additional Units
52-08-01	San Juan/Jan	Vacant lot consolidation site with services.	3.3	20-35	66	33 (**)	74	124
52-30-01	San Juan/Jan	2008-2010 allocations awarded for 75 units. Allocation request is for 64 apts in mixed use building and 10 row houses. Application shows potential for 124 additional apartments on southern portion of lot.	4.8	20-25	96	48 (**)		
Subtotal			8.1		162	81 (**)		
52-07-11	San Juan/Jan	Existing medical clinic on north end of lot	1.1	20-35	10	11		
57-07-03		Vacant lot with one residence	0.2		4	2		
57-07-06	Jan frontage	Vacant lot with services	0.48		9	4		
57-07-07		Vacant landlocked lot	0.24		4	2		
57-07-08	near Jan	Vacant landlocked lot	0.24		4	2		
52-07-12	San Juan/Jan	Vacant	1.95		39	18		
Subtotal			2.26		70	22	0	
52-09-45		Vacant infill lots fronting south side of San Juan Rd but divided by Rajkovich Way - lot is about 230 feet deep	2.5	20-35	50	25		25
52-09-46			3.8		76	38		38
52-09-17	San Juan	Vacant area in front of mobile home park on south side San Juan Road		20-35				
52-09-08	San Juan	Former truck yard, vacant fronts San Juan Road, services available	5.5	20-35	110	55	0	55
52-09-14	San Juan	Re-use site, truck storage, services available	13.73	20-35	274	137	0	
52-9-45	San Juan/Graff/ San Juan Road	Vacant flat rectangular infill lot fronting San Juan Road about 275 feet deep	8	20-35	160	80	0	
52-131-21	San Juan/ Felice	Vacant lot with services on north side of San Juan Road about 200 feet deep	1.6	20-35	32	16	0	
52-18-05	San Juan/ Westside	Vacant lot with services on north side of San Juan Road about 310 feet deep	4.48	20-35	92	46	0	
			62.28		1026	500		

(*) Assume one half of acreage in mixed use district will be developed for commercial uses.

(**) Note: Potential for additional 124 units as second